Surface Modification of Activated Carbon and its study for water born diseases

Ekta Singh<sup>1</sup>, Manisha N. Wahale<sup>2</sup>, MeenalAgrawal<sup>2</sup>, Vaishnavee S.Khanzode<sup>2</sup>, D.S.Ramteke<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>RTMNU, Nagpur, <sup>2</sup>NEERI, Nagpur, NEERI, Nagpur

EIRA Division, NEERI, Nehru Marg, Nagpur-440020, Maharashtra (India) e-mail: prashu\_71@yahoo.co.in

## Abstract-

Water is major component on the earth. Without water, no life can survive. Water is important for industrial as well as domestic purposes .Commonly all over the world, most of the death occurs due to waterborne diseases such as diarrhoea, typhoid, etc. Major outbreak of these occurred all over the world so it is really necessary to treat the water for the reduction of such pathogenic microorganisms such as *Salmonella Shigella*, *Ecoli*. In this Paper activated carbon synthesized from biological waste material is used for the study of microorganisms present in the water .The surface of activated carbon is modified with modifying agent which result in restricting the further growth of the bacteria. **Keywords** – Waterborne disease, MW-ACF, MFT, Activating agent.

#### Introduction:

The rapid development of industrialization and population growth and the long term projection of water scarcity have produced an increasing demand for clean water sources. To address this problem, various useful strategies and solutions have been adopted, including new forms of water treatment, recovery and reuse. The availability of clean water can increased by introducing low cost and highly efficient water treatment technologies and many efforts have been recently carried out in this field.

The pathogen load to the water body from different contamination sources varies strongly with time, often due to the prevalence of the disease in the population. Under epidemic conditions pathogens are excreted from many more human or animal host then endemic conditions .The estimation of pathogen load to the water body can be performed using traditional faecal indicators such as *Escherichia coli* or indicators that are applied in microbial source tracking to determine the origin of faecal contamination

## **Material And Method:**

#### Sample Collection:

Samples were collected from various representative location of Nagpur region. 125ml sampling bottles with 0.025N Sodium thiosulphate were properly autoclaved at 121°C temperature and 15lbs Pressure. Samples were immediately preserved in ice box

The details are as given below:

| Sample No. | Sample Type   | Sampling Location |
|------------|---------------|-------------------|
| 1          | Surface Water | Ambazari Lake     |
| 2          | Surface Water | Futala Lake       |
| 3          | Surface Water | Naagnala          |
| 4          | Ground Water  | Dugwell           |
| 5          | Ground Water  | Tubewell          |
| 6          | Ground Water  | Tap water         |

#### **Sample Preparation:**

i) ASTM standard activated carbon was purchased from local market of Nagpur from Merck Suppliers and its iodine number was determined.

ii) Preparation of Microwave Activated Carbon for microbial treatment:

Activated carbon was prepared from biological waste material by muffle furnace and modern microwave technique The raw material was processed before activation for moisture removal.

iii) Surface modification of Activated Carbon for Microbial Treatment:

The raw material was impregnated with activating agent. Further this treated raw material was subjected to activation to obtain activated carbon with modified surface

The surface modified activated carbon gave higher iodine number which indicates high adsorptive capacity.

#### Sample Study:

## i)Study of microbes in water sample at initial stage:

Microbial analysis of water samples were carried out. In this study we have discussed about Total coliform, Fecal coliform, *Salmonella* and *Shigella*, their significance and methods of analysis

#### Media used:

- M-Endo agar LES
- M-FC agar base
- Salmonella and Shigella agar
- · Slanetz and Bartley agar

Samples were proceeding by commonly used Membrane Filtration Technique (7). The Membrane Filter (MF)

### Proceeding of International Conference SWRDM-2012 -

Technique was introduced in the late 1950s as an alternative to the Most Probable Number (MPN) procedure for microbiological analysis of water samples. The MF Technique offers the advantage of isolating discrete colonies of bacteria, whereas the MPN procedure only indicates the presence or absence of an approximate number or organisms (indicated by turbidity in test tubes)

# ii) Analysis of water and its Lab-Scale treatment at initial stage

The water samples were analyzed for microbial study of the water at primary stage. The treatment sequence was followed as described below:

Step I : Collection of water samples

Step II : Sterilization of all required materials by autoclaving

Step III : Preparation of selective and differential media plates

Step IV : Microbial analysis of water sample by MFT

Step V : Incubation at 37°C and 44°C as per the respective media

Step VI : Counting of colonies after 24hrs of incubation

# iii) Analysis of water and its Lab-Scale treatment with ASTM standard activated carbon

Microbial study of the water samples was done after the treatment with standard activated carbon. The treatment sequence was followed as described below:

Step I : Collection of water samples

Step II : Sterilization of all required materials by autoclaving

Step III : Preparation of selective and differential media plates

Step IV : Treatment of water samples with ASTM standard carbon

Step V : Microbial analysis of water sample by MFT

Step VI : Incubation at 37°C and 44°C as per the respective media

Step VII : Counting of colonies after 24hrs of incubation

# iv) Analysis of water and its Lab-Scale treatment with Surface Modified activated carbon

The water samples were carried out with surface modified microwave activated carbon in aseptic condition and analyzed for microbial study. The treatment sequence was followed as mentioned below:

| Step I                 | : Collection of water samples                    |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Step II                | : Sterilization of all required materials by     |  |  |  |  |
| autoclavi              | ng   |  |  |  |  |
| Step III               | : Preparation of selective and differential      |  |  |  |  |
| illeula pia            | ites   |  |  |  |  |
| Step IV                | : Preparation of surface modified ACF            |  |  |  |  |
| Step V                 | : Treatment of water samples with surface        |  |  |  |  |
| modified               | ACF  |  |  |  |  |
| Step VI                | : Microbial analysis of water sample by MFT      |  |  |  |  |
| Step VII               | : Incubation at 37°C and 44°C as per the         |  |  |  |  |
| respectiv              | e media  |  |  |  |  |
| Step VIII              | : Counting of colonies after 24hrs of incubation |  |  |  |  |
| Result And Discussion: |  |  |  |  |  |
| i)                     | Microbial analysis of water at initial stage     |  |  |  |  |
| (before ti             | reatment) -                                      |  |  |  |  |

Water samples were analyzed in differential and selective media for initial stage identification of microbial colonies and result in terms of CFU/100ml and CFU/1ml are as follows:

**Department of Environmental Science** 

Proceeding of International Conference SWRDM-2012 -

| Sample | Total    | Faecal   | Bacterial Genus |          |             |
|--------|----------|----------|-----------------|----------|-------------|
| No.    | coliform | coliform | Salmonella      | Shigella | Enterococci |
|        | CFU/     | 100ml    |                 | CFU/ml   |             |
| 1      | 4190     | TNTC     | 65              | 32       | 18          |
| 2      | TNTC     | TNTC     | 28              | 15       | 19          |
| 3      | 6500     | TNTC     | 90              | 43       | 100         |
| 4      | TNTC     | 1058     | 1               | ND       | 3           |
| 5      | 2040     | TNTC     | 43              | 22       | 10          |
| 6      | 1120     | TNTC     | 2               | ND       | ND          |

Table 1- CFU obtained after 24hrs of incubation on different media before treatment

ND- Not detected.

TNTC- Too numerous to count

# ii) Analysis of water and its Lab-Scale treatment with Standard activated carbon

Water samples were first treated with Standard activated carbon and result in terms of CFU/100ml and CFU/1ml is as follows:-

| Sample | Total coliform | Faecal coliform | Ba         | cterial Genus | 5           |
|--------|----------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|-------------|
| No.    |                |                 | Salmonella | Shigella      | Enterococci |
|        | CFU/           | 100ml           |            | CFU/ml        |             |
| 1      | 3100           | TNTC            | 14         | 9             | 11          |
| 2      | 1870           | TNTC            | 7          | 2             | 4           |
| 3      | TNTC           | TNTC            | 22         | 13            | TNTC        |
| 4      | 310            | 1000            | 8          | 6             | 13          |
| 5      | 510            | 760             | 80         | 24            | ND          |
| 6      | 895            | 157             | 15         | ND            | ND          |

 Table 2- CFU obtained after 24hrs of incubation on different media after treatment
 with standard activated

 carbon
 Image: CFU obtained after 24hrs of incubation on different media after treatment
 Image: CFU obtained after 24hrs of incubation on different media after treatment

# iii) Analysis of water and its Lab-Scale treatment with surface modified Microwave activated carbon

Water samples after processing with surface modified MW-ACF gives following results-

| Sample | Total coliform | Faecal coliform | Bacterial Genus |          |             |
|--------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|
| No.    |                |                 | Salmonella      | Shigella | Enterococci |
|        | CFU/100ml      |                 |                 | CFU/ml   |             |
| 1      | 85             | ND              | ND              | ND       | 3           |

Department of Environmental Science

| 2 | 56  | ND  | ND | ND | 5  |
|---|-----|-----|----|----|----|
| 3 | 20  | ND  | ND | ND | 2  |
| 4 | ND  | 8   | ND | ND | ND |
| 5 | 280 | 305 | 8  | ND | 1  |
| 6 | ND  | ND  | ND | ND | ND |

Proceeding of International Conference SWRDM-2012

Table 3- CFU obtained after 24hrs of incubation on different media after treatment with surface modified

ACF

# Comparison among the Different types of treatment

# i) Comparison of commercial and Surface modified activated carbon

| Sample No. | Initial | Treatment with | Treatment with surface modified |
|------------|---------|----------------|---------------------------------|
|            |         | standard       | MW-ACF                          |
| 1          | 4190    | 3100           | 85                              |
| 2          | TNTC    | 1870           | 56                              |
| 3          | 6500    | TNTC           | 20                              |
| 4          | TNTC    | 310            | ND                              |
| 5          | 2040    | 510            | 280                             |
| 6          | 1120    | 895            | ND                              |

Table 4- comparison of Standard and surface modified MW-ACF colonies obtained on M-Endo Agar



Figure1- comparison of Standard and surface modified MW-ACF colonies obtained on M-Endo Agar Above figure shows CFU /100 ml in surface modified treatment was less as compared to treatment with standard.

| Sample No. | Initial | Treatment with | Treatment with surface |
|------------|---------|----------------|------------------------|
|            |         | standard       | modified MW-ACF        |
| 1          | TNTC    | TNTC           | ND                     |

| 2 | TNTC | TNTC | ND  |  |  |  |
|---|------|------|-----|--|--|--|
| 3 | TNTC | TNTC | ND  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1058 | 1000 | 8   |  |  |  |
| 5 | TNTC | 760  | 305 |  |  |  |
| 6 | TNTC | 157  | ND  |  |  |  |

Proceeding of International Conference SWRDM-2012

Table 5 - comparison of Standard and surface modified MW-ACF colonies obtained on M-Fc Agar



Figure2- comparison of Standard and surface modified MW-ACF colonies obtained on M-Fc Agar

Above figure show, surface modified treatment was more effective than standard and show reduced no. of colonies than both Initial and Standard.

| Sample No. | Initial | Treatment with | Treatment with surface modified |
|------------|---------|----------------|---------------------------------|
|            |         | standard       | MW-ACF                          |
| 1          | 32      | 14             | ND                              |
| 2          | 15      | 7              | ND                              |
| 3          | 43      | 22             | ND                              |
| 4          | ND      | 8              | ND                              |
| 5          | 22      | 18             | 8                               |
| 6          | ND      | 15             | ND                              |

Table 6- comparison of Standard and surface modified MW-ACF colonies obtained on SS Agar



INITIAL

STANDARD

SURFACE MD MW-ACF



Figure3- comparison of Standard and surface modified MW-ACF colonies obtained on SS Agar From above figure it was observed that, Surface modified MW-ACF treatment was more effective as colonic constantly reduced from Initial to Surface MD MW-ACF.

| Sample No. | Initial | Treatment with standard | Treatment with surface<br>modified MW-ACF |
|------------|---------|-------------------------|---|
| 1          | 18      | 11                      | 3   |
| 2          | 19      | 4                       | 5   |
| 3          | TNTC    | TNTC                    | 2   |
| 4          | 3       | 13                      | ND  |
| 5          | 10      | ND                      | 1   |
| 6          | ND      | ND                      | ND  |

Table 7- comparison of Standard and surface modified MW-ACF colonies obtained on SNB Agar



INITIAL

STANDARD

SURFACE MD MW-ACF

Figure4- comparison of Standard and surface modified MW-ACF colonies obtained on SNB Agar

Above figure shows CFU/ml was very less in Surface MD MW-ACF than standard and initial.

## **Conclusion**:

It is observed that surface of activated carbon modified by activating agent more efficiently reduces various microbial contaminants such as **total colifrom**, **faecal coliform**, *Salmonella spp.* and *Shigella spp*. of waterborne diseases causing microorganisms than these reduced from treatment with modified Standard Agent . It is further observed that severe disease causing organism of water from surface as well as ground like shigella and salmonella can more effectively removed by surface activated carbon modified by activating agent.

- If the study is carried out at large scale then it will require only one time investment
- · It is more economical. this technology is cheaper

Greener technology and do not lead to environmental pollution

# **Recommendation:**

- § Further treatment and modification of Surface Modified ACF-MW can help to consider much more parameter affecting water quality including biologically, chemically and giving similar effective results.
- § It is cost effective and Eco-friendly.

## Acknowledgement:

The author would like to thank Dr. S. R. Wate, Director, NEERI, Nagpur for his constant encouragement in carrying out the above work and permission to publish the paper.

## **References:**

A.Farkas et.al. (2012) Opportunistic pathogens and faecal indicators in drinking water associated biofilms in Cluj, Romania. Journal Of Water and Health Vol 10.3 pp.471-476 Proceeding of International Conference SWRDM-2012 -

APHĀ, (1999). Standard Methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 20<sup>th</sup> Ed. American public health association, Washington, D.C.

Bureau of Indian Standards(1991) Drinking Water Specification.1<sup>st</sup> rev, Bureau of Indian standards, New Delhi pp1-8

EPA. "Monitoring and Assesing Water Quality: Fecal Bacteria."

Ericksen,T.H., and A. P.Dufour (1986).Methods to identify the water pathogens and indicator organisms,pp.195-214, In: Waterborne diseases in United States, G.F.Craun, Ed.,CRC press,Boca Raton, Fla.

Garcia, T *et al*(2004).; Role of activated carbon surface chemistry in the adsorption of Phenanthrene; Carbon, 42,pp. 1683-1689..

Geldreich,E.E., H.F.Clark, C.B. Huff and L.C. Best .(1965). Fecal-coliform-organism medium for the membrane filter technique. J.Amer. Water Works Assoc. 57; 208.

Hassler, J.W.(1974) "Purification with Activated Carbon"; 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., copyright publishing company, New York .

Huidobro, A. *et al.*;(2001) Preparation of activated carbon cloth from viscous rayon part IV. Chemical activation, Carbon 39, pp.389-398.

Julien, F; Baudu, M and Mazet, M.(1998), Relationship between chemical and physical surface properties of activated carbon; Water Research, 32 (11), pp. 3414-3424.

Mangun, Christian L. *et.al.*;(2001) Surface chemistry, pore sizes and adsorption properties of activated carbon fibres and precursors treated with ammonia; Carbon, 39, pp. 1809-1820.

Prachasirisakul W., Vitidsant T.,Bunyakiat K (1998) "Production of activated carbon from palm oil shell by activation with microwave energy "Proceeding of Eighth National Chemical Engineering Conference; NakomPrathum, Thailand, pp.186-196.

"Process Design Manual for Carbon Adsorption", U.S. Environmental protection agency, Technology Transfer EPA, 625, 1-71-0029, (1973).

Ramteke,D.S.;(1989) "Physico-chemical studies of pyrolysed product from Industrial wastes."; The Thesis submitted to the Nagpur University.

Rose, R.E., E.E. Geldriech and W.Litsky. (1975). Improved Membrane-Filter method for fecal coliform analysis. Appl. Microbiol.29:532.

Savwe our planet- challenges and hopes, The Status of the Environment (1972-1992), UNEP, pp.5.

Senior, B.W.(1996) Examination of water, milk, food and air. In: Mackie and McCartney. Practical Medical Microbiology (J.G.Collee, A.G.Fraser, B.P. Marmion and A. Simmons, eds.). 14<sup>th</sup> edition. Churchill livingstone, London, pp.883-921.

Suffet and Faust in "Carbon Adsorption Handbook"; P.M.Cheremisinoff and F.Ellerbusch, Eds. (Ann Arbor, MI) Science Publishers Inc.; 241-280, (1978)

U.S. Environmental protection Agency (EPA).Washington DC(2008) Analytical methods approved for drinking water compliance monitoring under total coliform Rule

WHO (2006) meeting the millennium Development Goals Drinking water and Sanitation Target: The Urban and Rural Challenge of the decade. WHO, Geneva, Swizerland.

WHO (2011) Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality, 4th edition.World Health Organization, Geneva, pp1.

### Web Resources-

http://www.epa.gov/microbes/ (EPA methods on pathogens, parasites and indicator organisms)

http://www.cdc.gov/ (Center for disease control and prevention)