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## Preface

Large numbers of students appear for M.A./M. Sc. Examinations externally every year. In view of this, Shivaji University has introduced the Distance Education Mode for external students from the year 2007-2008, and entrusted the task to us to prepare the Self Instructional Material (SIM) for aspirants.

It is hoped that students must learn Mathematics not only to become competent mathematicians but also skilled users of Mathematics in the solution of problems in the real world. They must learn how to use their Mathematical knowledge in solving the problems of the real world. Differential equations usually are description of physical systems. This book on Ordinary Differential Equations consists of four chapters. Chapter one contains the complete discussion of linear equations with constant coefficients, including the uniqueness theorem. In chapter two linear equations with variable coefficients are trea. Equations with analytic coefficients are introduced and series solutions are obtained by a simple formal process. A detailed treatment of linear equations with regular singular points is discussed in chapter four. Classification of regular singular points and regular singular points at infinity is studied. In chapter five existence and uniqueness of solutions of first order initial value problem are established. The innumerable examples and exercises are given at the end of each unit.

The book introduces the students to some of the abstract topics that pervade modern analysis. The first chapter deals with the Riemann Stieltjes integration. The problems in Physics and Chemistry which involve mass distribution that are partly discrete and partly continuous can be solved by using Riemann Stietjes integrations. The Chapter 2 deals with convergence and uniform convergence of sequences of functions and series where as the Chapter 3 consists of multidimensional calculus. The Chapter 4 deals with implicit functions and extremum problems which have wide applications in optimization theory. Line integrals, surface integrals and Volume integrals are the subject matter of Chapter 5 . This provides sufficient background to study the Gauss divergence Theorem and Stokes Theorem.

We owe a deep sense of gratitude to the Vice-Chancellor who has given impetus to go ahead with ambitious projects like the present one. Dr. Sarita Thakar, Professor, Department of Mathematics, Shivaji University has to be profusely thanked for the ovations he has poured to prepare the SIM on Differential Equations. We also thank Prof. M. S. Chaudhary, Former Head, Department of Mathematics, Shivaji University, Director of Centre for Distance and Online Education for their help and keen interest in completion of the SIM
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## Contents:

Unit 1: Initial value problems for second order equations.
Unit 2 : Linear dependence and independencce
Unit 3 : The homogenous equation of order $n$
Unit 4: The non-homogeneous equation of order $n$

## Introduction :

We live in a world of interrelated changing entities. The position of the earth changes with time, the velocity of falling body changes with distance, the bending of a beam changes with the weight of the load placed on it, the area of circle changes with the size of the radius, the path of projectile changes with the velocity and angle at which it is fired.

In the language of mathematics changing entities are called variables and the rate of change of one variable with respect to another is called derivative. Equations which express a relation among these variables and their derivatives are called differential equations.

A Linear differential equation of order $n$ with constant coefficients is an equation of the form

$$
a_{0} y^{(n)}+a_{1} y^{(n-1)}+a_{2} y^{(n-2)}+\cdots+a_{n} y=b(x),
$$

where, $a_{0} \neq 0, a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}$ are complex constants
and b is complex valued function on an interval $I: a<x<b$.
The operator $L$ defined by

$$
L(\phi)(x)=\phi^{(n)}(x)+a_{1} \phi^{(n-1)}(x)+a_{2} \phi^{(n-2)}(x)+\ldots .+a_{n} \phi(x) \quad \text { is called } \quad \text { as }
$$

differential operator of order n with constant coefficients.
The equation $L(y)=b(x)$ is called non-homogenous equation. If $b(x)=0$ for all $x$ in I the corresponding equation $L(y)=0$ is called a homogenous equation.

## Unit 1 : Initial Value Problems for Second Order Equations

Here, we are concerned with the equation

$$
L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} y^{\prime}+a_{2} y=0
$$

where $\quad a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are constants.

## Theorem 1.1.1

Let, $a_{1}, a_{2}$ be constants and consider the equation $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} y^{\prime}+a_{2} y=0$

1. If $r_{1}, r_{2}$ are distinct roots of the characteristic polynomial

$$
p(r)=r^{2}+a_{1} \mathrm{r}+a_{2}
$$

then the functions $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{r_{1} x}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=e^{r_{2} x}$ are solutions of $L(y)=0$.
2. If $r_{1}$ is a repeated root of the characteristic polynomial $p(r)$, then the functions $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{r_{1} x}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=x e^{r_{1} x}$ are solutions of $L(y)=0$.

Proof : Let $\phi(x)=e^{r x}$ be a solutions of $L(y)=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(e^{r x}\right) & =\left(e^{r x}\right)^{\prime \prime}+a_{1}\left(e^{r x}\right)^{\prime}+a_{2} e^{r x} \\
& =\left(r^{2}+a_{1} r+a_{2}\right) e^{r x} \\
L\left(e^{r x}\right) & =0 \text { if and only if } p(r)=r^{2}+\mathrm{a}_{1} r+a_{2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

1. If $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are distinct roots of $p(r)$ then $L\left(e^{r_{1} x}\right)=L\left(e^{r_{2} x}\right)=0$ and $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{r_{1} x}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=e^{r_{2} x}$ are solutions of $L(y)=0$.
2. If $r_{1}$ is a repeated root of $p(r)$ then

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(r)=\left(r-r_{1}\right)^{2} \text { and } p^{\prime}(r)=2\left(r-r_{1}\right) \\
L\left(e^{r x}\right)=P(r) e^{r x} \text { for all } r \& x . \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} L\left(e^{r x}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[P(r) e^{r x}\right] \\
\Rightarrow \quad L\left(x e^{r x}\right)=\left[P^{\prime}(r)+x P(r)\right] e^{r x} . \\
\text { At } \quad \mathrm{r}=r_{1}, \quad P\left(r_{1}\right)=P^{\prime}\left(r_{1}\right)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e. $L\left(x e^{r_{1} x}\right)=0$ thus, showing that $x e^{r_{1} x}$ is a solution of $L(y)=0$.

Thus if $r_{1}$ is a repeated root of the characteristic polynomial $P(r)$, then $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{r_{1} x}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=x e^{\gamma_{1} x}$ are solutions of $L(y)=0$.

## Theorem 1.1.2 :

If $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are two solutions of $L(y)=0$ then $C_{1} \phi_{1}+C \phi_{2}$ is also a solution of $L(y)=0$. Where, $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are any two constants.
Proof : Let $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ be two solutions of $L(y)=0$

$$
L\left(\phi_{1}\right)=\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+a_{2} \phi_{1}=0
$$

## Differential Equations

$$
L\left(\phi_{2}\right)=\phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} \phi_{2}^{\prime}+a_{2} \phi_{1}=0
$$

Suppose $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are any two constants then the function $\phi$ defined by $\phi=C_{1} \phi_{1}+C_{2} \phi_{2}$ is also a solution of $L(y)=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(\phi) & =\left(a \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}+a_{1}\left(a \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}\right)+a_{2}\left(a \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}\right) \\
& =c_{1}\left(\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+a_{2} \phi_{1}\right)+c_{2}\left(\phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} \phi_{2}^{\prime}+a_{2} \phi_{2}\right) \\
& =c_{1} L\left(\phi_{1}\right)+c_{2} L\left(\phi_{2}\right) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

The function $\phi$ which is zero for all $x$ is also a solution called the trivial solution of $L(y)=0$.

The results of above two theorems allow us to solve all homogeneous linear second order differential equations with constant coefficients.

## Definition 1.1 :

An initial value problem $L(y)=0$ is a problem of finding a solution $\phi$ satisfying $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{0}$ and $\phi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\beta_{0}$ where, $x_{0}$ is some real number and $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}$ are given constants.

## Theorem 1.1.3: (Existence Theorem)

For any real $x_{0}$ and constants $\alpha, \beta$, there exists a solution $\phi$ of the initial value problem

$$
L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} y^{\prime}+a_{2} y=0, \quad y\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha, y^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \beta, \quad-\infty<x<\infty .
$$

Proof: By theorem 1.1.1 there exist two solutions $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ that satisfy $L\left(\phi_{1}\right)=\mathrm{L}\left(\phi_{2}\right)=0$. From theorem 1.1.2 we know that $c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}$ is a solution of $L(y)=0$. We show that there are unique constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ such that $\phi=c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}$ satisfies $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha$ and $\phi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\beta$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi\left(x_{0}\right)=c_{1} \phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)+c_{2} \phi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha \\
& \phi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=c_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+c_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\beta
\end{aligned}
$$

Above system of equations will have a unique solution $c_{1}, c_{2}$ if the determinant

$$
\Delta=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right|=\phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)-\phi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0 .
$$

By theorem 1.1.1 (1), $\phi_{1}(x) e^{r_{1} x}$ and $\phi_{2}(x) e^{r_{2}, x}$ are two solution of $L(y)=0$ for $r_{1} \neq r_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta & =e^{r_{1} x_{0}} r_{2} e^{r_{2} x_{0}}-e^{r_{2} x_{0}} r_{1} e^{r_{1} x_{0}} \\
& =\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) e^{\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) x_{0}} \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By theorem 1.1.1 (2), $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{r_{1} x}$ and $\phi_{2}(x) x e^{\gamma_{1}, x}$ are solutions of $L(y)=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta & =e^{r_{1} x_{0}}\left[e^{r_{1} x_{0}}+x_{0} r_{1} e^{r_{1} x_{0}}\right]-x_{0} e^{r_{1} x_{0}} r_{1} e^{r_{1} x_{0}} \\
& =e^{2 r_{1} x_{0}} \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the determinant condition is satisfied in both the cases. Therefore, $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are uniquely determined. The function $\phi=c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}$ is a desired solution of the initial value problems.

## Defination 1.2 :

A solution of a differential equation will be called a particular solution if it satisfies the equation and does not contain arbitrary constants.

## Theorem 1.1.4 :

Let, $\phi$ be any solution of

$$
L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} y^{\prime}+a_{2} y=0
$$

on an interval I containing a point $x_{0}$, Then for all $x$ in I.

$$
\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| e^{-k\left|x-x_{0}\right|} \leq\|\phi(x)\| \leq\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| e^{k\left|x-x_{0}\right|}
$$

Where,

$$
\|\phi(x)\|=\left[|\phi(x)|^{2}+\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \text { and } k=1+\left|a_{1}\right|+\left|a_{2}\right| .
$$

Proof: Let,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(x) & =\|\phi(x)\|^{2} \\
& =|\phi(x)|^{2}+\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \\
& =\phi(x) \bar{\phi}(x)+\phi^{\prime}(x) \overline{\phi^{\prime}}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$
u^{\prime}(x)=\phi^{\prime}(x) \bar{\phi}(x)+\phi(x) \bar{\phi}^{\prime}(x)+\phi^{\prime \prime}(x) \bar{\phi}^{\prime}(x)+\phi^{\prime}(x) \bar{\phi}^{\prime \prime}(x)
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq 2|\phi(x)|\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|+2\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \\
\text { as }|\phi(x)|=|\bar{\phi}(x)|
\end{array}
$$

Since $\phi$ is a solution of $L(y)=0, L(\phi)=\phi^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} \phi^{\prime}+a_{2} \phi=0$
i.e. $\quad \phi^{\prime \prime}(x)=-a_{1} \phi^{\prime}(x)-a_{2} \phi(x)$ and the above inequality becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right| & \leq 2|\phi(x)|\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|+2\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|\left[\left|a _ { 1 } \left\|\phi^{\prime}(x)\left|+\left|a_{2} \| \phi(x)\right|\right]\right.\right.\right. \\
& \leq 2\left[1+\left|a_{2}\right|\right]\left|\phi(x)\left\|\phi^{\prime}(x)|+2| a_{1}\right\| \phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

But,

$$
2\left|\phi(x) \| \phi^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq|\phi(x)|^{2}+\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right| & \leq 2\left(1+\left|a_{1}\right|+\left|a_{2}\right|\right)\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+2\left(1+\left|a_{2}\right|\right)|\phi(x)|^{2} \\
& \leq 2\left(1+\left|a_{1}\right|+\left|a_{2}\right|\right)\left[\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+|\phi(x)|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq 2 k u(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we get

$$
-2 u(x) \leq u^{\prime}(x) \leq 2 k u(x)
$$

$u^{\prime}(x) \leq 2 k u(x)$ is equivalent to $u^{\prime}(x)-2 k u(x) \leq 0$ since exponential functions are positive on multiplying above inequality by $e^{-2 k x}$ we get

$$
e^{-2 k x}\left(u^{\prime}(x)-2 k u(x)\right)=\left(e^{-2 k x} u(x)\right)^{\prime} \leq 0 .
$$

Integrating above inequality between the limits $x_{0}$ to $x$ for $x>x_{0}$ yields.

$$
\begin{gathered}
e^{-2 k x} u(x)-e^{-2 k x_{0}} u\left(x_{0}\right) \leq 0 \\
u(x) \leq e^{2 k\left(x-x_{0}\right)} u\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus,

$$
\|\phi(x)\|^{2} \leq e^{2 k\left(x-x_{0}\right)}\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2}
$$

Similarly, for $x>x_{0}$ the inequality $-2 k u(x) \leq u^{\prime}(x)$ implies

$$
\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} e^{-2 k\left(x-x_{0}\right)} \leq\|\phi(x)\|^{2}
$$

Therefore for $x>x_{0}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} e^{-2 k\left(x-x_{0}\right)} \leq\|\phi(x)\|^{2} \leq e^{2 k\left(x-x_{0}\right)}\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} \tag{1.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x<x_{0}$, the sign of above inequality will get changed

$$
\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} e^{-2 k\left(x-x_{0}\right)} \geq\|\phi(x)\|^{2} \geq e^{2 k\left(x-x_{0}\right)}\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2}
$$

This inequality can be written as

$$
e^{2 k\left(x-x_{0}\right)}\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} e^{-2 k\left(x-x_{0}\right)}
$$

since $x<x_{0}, x_{0}-x>0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-2 k\left(x_{0}-x\right)}\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq\|\phi(x)\|^{2} \leq\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} e^{2 k\left(x_{0}-x\right)} \tag{1.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1.1.1) and (1.1.2) together can be put in the form

$$
e^{-2 k\left|x_{0}-x\right|}\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq\|\phi(x)\|^{2} \leq\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} e^{2 k\left|x_{0}-x\right|}
$$

Since all the terms in above inequality are positive the square root of each term results into the required inequality.

## Theorem 1.1.5 (Uniqueness Theorem)

Let $\alpha, \beta$ be any two constants and let $x_{0}$ be any real number. On any interval I containing $x_{0}$ there exists at most one solution $\phi$ of the initial value problem

$$
L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} y^{\prime}+a_{2} y=0, \quad y\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha, \quad y^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\beta
$$

Proof : Suppose $\phi$ and $\psi$ are two solutions.
Let $\quad \theta=\phi-\psi$. Since $L(\phi)=L(\psi)=0$,

$$
L(\theta)=L(\theta-\psi)=L(\theta)-L(\psi)=0
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha \quad \text { and } \quad \phi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\beta, \\
& \theta\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi\left(x_{0}\right)-\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \text { and } \theta^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi\left(x_{0}\right)-\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $L(\theta)=0, \theta\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ and $\theta^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$.

$$
\left\|\theta\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2}=\left[\left|\theta\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\theta^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)^{2}\right|\right]=0
$$

## Differential Equations

By theorem (1.1.4) we see that

$$
\|\theta(x)\|=\left[|\theta(x)|^{2}+\left|\theta^{\prime}(x)^{2}\right|\right]=0 \text { for all } x \text { in } I
$$

This implies $\theta(x)=0$ for all $x$ in $I$.
But $\theta(x)=\theta(x)-\psi(x)=0 \quad$ i.e. $\quad \phi(x) \equiv \phi(x)$.

## Theorem 1.1.6 :

Let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ be two solutions of $L(y)=0$ given by theorem 1.1.1. If $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are any two constants the function $\phi=c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}$ is a solution of $L(y)=0$ on $-\infty<\mathrm{x}<\infty$.

Conversely, if $\phi$ is any solution of $L(y)=0$ on $-\infty<\mathrm{x}<\infty$, then there are unique constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ such that $\phi=C_{1} \phi_{1}+C_{2} \phi_{2}$.

Proof : First part of the theorem follows from theorem 1.1.2.
Conversely suppose $\phi$ is any solution of $L(y)=0$. Let $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha$ and $\phi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\beta$ for some constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$. In the proof of existence theorem 1.1.3 we showed that there is a solution $\psi$ of $L(y)=0$ satisfying.

$$
\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha, \psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\beta \text { of the form }
$$

$\psi(x)=c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)_{1}$ where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are uniquely determined by $\alpha$ and $\beta$. By uniqueness theorem 1.1.5 $\phi=\psi$, for all $x$.

## Examples :

## 1. Find all solutions of the following equations.

(a) $y^{\prime \prime}-4 y=0$
(b) $y^{\prime \prime}+2 i y^{\prime}+y=0$
(c) $y^{\prime \prime}-4 y^{\prime}+5 y=0$

## Answer :

(a) The characteristic polynomial is $p(r)=r^{2}-4 . r_{1}=2$ and $r_{2}=-2$ are two distinct roots of $p(r)=0$.

Therefore $\phi_{1}(s)=e^{2 x}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=e^{-2 x}$ are two solutions. For any constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}, c_{1} \mathrm{e}^{2 x}+c_{2} e^{-2 x}$ is a solution. Thus the general solution is $\phi_{1}(x)=c_{1} e^{2 x}+c_{2} e^{-2 x}$.
(b) The characteristic polynomial $p(r)=r^{2}+2 i r+1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(r)=0 \Rightarrow \quad r & =\frac{1}{2}\left[-2 i \pm \sqrt{(2 i)^{2}-4}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}[-2 i \pm \sqrt{-8}] \\
& =-i \pm \sqrt{2} i \\
& =(-1 \pm \sqrt{2}) i
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $r_{1}=(-1+\sqrt{2}) i$ and $r_{2}=(-1-\sqrt{2}) i$ are two district roots of $p(r)=0$.
Therefore $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{(-1+\sqrt{2}) i x}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=e^{(-1-\sqrt{2}) i x}$ are two solutions. Thus, for any constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}, \phi(x)=c_{1} e^{(-1+\sqrt{2}) i x}+c_{2} e^{(-1-\sqrt{2}) i x}$ is a general solution.
(c) The characteristic polynomial $p(r)=r^{2}-4 r+5 . \quad p(r)=0$ gives $r_{1}=2+i$ and $r_{2}=2-i$ as two distinct roots. $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{(2+i) x}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=e^{(2-i) x}$ are two solutions of the differential equation. For any constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}, \phi(x)=c_{1} e^{(2-i) x}+c_{2} e^{(2+i) x}$ is a general solution. In particular for $c_{1}=c_{2}=\frac{1}{2}$ we get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi(x)=e^{2 x}\left(\frac{e^{-i x}+e^{i x}}{2}\right)=e^{2 x} \cos x . \text { and for } \\
& c_{1}=\frac{-1}{2 i} \text { and } c_{2}=\frac{1}{2 i} \text { we get } \\
& \phi(x)=e^{2 x}\left(\frac{e^{i x}-e^{-i x}}{2 i}\right)=e^{2 x} \sin x
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\phi(x)=\mathrm{A} e^{2 x} \cos x+\mathrm{B} e^{2 x} \sin x$ is a solution of the differential equation for any constants A \& B.

## 2. Find the solutions $\phi$ of the following initial value problems.

(a) $\phi^{\prime \prime}+\phi^{\prime}-6 \phi=0, \quad \phi(0)=1, \phi^{\prime}(0)=0$
(b) $\phi^{\prime \prime}+\phi=0, \quad \phi(0)=1, \quad \phi\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)=0$
(c) $\phi^{\prime \prime}+k \phi=0, \quad k$ is any constant, $\quad \phi(0)=0, \quad \phi(\pi)=0$
(d) $\phi^{\prime \prime}-2 \phi^{\prime}-3 \phi=0, \quad \phi(0)=0, \quad \phi^{\prime}(0)=1$

## Answer :

(a) The characteristic polynomial $p(r)=r^{2}+r-6 . r_{1}=2$ and $r_{2}=-3$ are distinct roots $\phi(x)=c_{1} e^{2 x}+c_{2} e^{-3 x}$ is a general solution.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi(0)=1 \Rightarrow c_{1}+c_{2}=1  \tag{1}\\
& \phi^{\prime}(0)=0 \Rightarrow \phi^{\prime}(x)=2 c_{1} e^{2 x}-3 c_{2} e^{-3 x} \text { at } x=0, \text { gives } \phi^{\prime}(0)=2 c_{1}-3 c_{2}=0 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

solving equation (1) and (2) for $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ we get $c_{1}=3 / 5$ and $c_{2}=+2 / 5$.
Thus, the required solution is $\phi(x)=\frac{3 e^{2 x}}{5}+\frac{2 e^{3 x}}{5}$.

## Differential Equations

(b) The characteristic polynomial is $p(r)=r^{2}+1 . r_{1}=i$ and $r_{2}=-i$ are distinct roots $\phi(x)=c_{1} \cos x+c_{2} \sin x$ is a general solution.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(0)=1 & \Rightarrow c_{1} \cos 0+c_{2} \sin =1 \text { gives } c_{1}=1 \\
\phi(\pi / 2)=2 & \Rightarrow c_{1} \cos \pi / 2+c_{2} \sin \pi / 2=2 . \text { gives } c_{2}=2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\phi(x)=\cos x+2 \sin x$ is the required solution.
(c) The characteristic polynomial is $p(r)=r^{2}+k$ since $k$ is any constants, $k$ can be positive, negative or zero.

## Case 1. $k>0$

Then $r_{1}=\sqrt{k} i$ and $r_{2}=-\sqrt{k} i$; are distinct roots.
$\therefore \phi(x)=c_{1} e^{\sqrt{k} i x}+c_{2} e^{-\sqrt{k} i x}$ is a general solution
In general $\phi(x)=A \cos \sqrt{k} x+B \sin \sqrt{k} x$ is a solution.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi(0)=0 \Rightarrow A \cos 0+B \sin 0=0 & \text { i.e. } A=0 \\
\phi(\pi)=0 \Rightarrow A \cos \pi+B \sin \pi=0 & \text { i.e. } A=0
\end{array}
$$

Thus, $\phi(x)=B \sin \sqrt{k} x$ is a solution where $B$ is any constant.
Case 2. $k=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(r)=r^{2}=0 \Rightarrow r=0 \text { a repeated root. } \\
& \therefore \phi(x)=c_{1} e^{0}+c_{2} x e^{0}=c_{1}+c_{2} x \text { is a solution } \\
& \phi(0)=0 \Rightarrow c_{1}=0 \\
& \phi(\pi)=0 \Rightarrow c_{1}+c_{2} \pi=0 \Rightarrow c_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore there is no nontrivial solution corresponding to $k=0$.

## Case 3. $k<0$

for $k=0, p(r)=r^{2}+k$ has distinct roots

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{1} & =\sqrt{-k} \quad \& r_{2}=-\sqrt{-k} \quad(\text { Since } k<0,-k>0) \\
\phi(x) & =c_{1} e^{\sqrt{-k} x}+\mathrm{c}_{2} e^{-\sqrt{-k} x} \\
\phi(0) & =\mathrm{c}_{1}+c_{2}=0 \\
\phi(\pi) & =c_{1} e^{\sqrt{-k} \pi}+c_{2} e^{-\sqrt{-k} \pi}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Simultaneous evaluation of above two equations give $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$.
Thus, there is no non-trival solution corresponding to $k<0$.
The only non-trivial solution for the given equation is $\phi(x)=B \sin \sqrt{k} x$.
(d) The characteristic polynomial $p(r)=r^{2}-2 r-3$
$r_{1}=3, r_{2}=1$ are two distinct roots.

## Differential Equations

$$
\therefore \quad \begin{aligned}
& \phi(x)=c_{1} e^{3 x}+c_{2} e^{-x} \text { is a general solution } \\
& \phi(0)=0 \Rightarrow \phi(0)=c_{1}+c_{2}=0 \\
& \phi^{\prime}(x)=3 c_{1} e^{3 x}-c_{2} e^{-x} \\
& \phi^{\prime}(0)=1 \Rightarrow \phi^{\prime}(0)=1=3 c_{1}-c_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $c_{1}+c_{2}=0$ and $3 c_{1}-c_{2}=1$ gives

$$
c_{1}=\frac{1}{4} \text { and } c_{2}=-\frac{1}{4}
$$

Therefore $\phi(x)=\frac{1}{4} e^{3 x}-\frac{1}{4} e^{-x}$ is the required solution.

## EXERCISES

## 1. Fill in the blanks.

(i) If $r_{1}, r_{2}$ are distinct roots of characteristic polynomial $p(r)=r^{2}+a_{1} r+a_{2}$ then $\phi_{1}(x)=\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=$ $\qquad$ are solutions of the differential equation $y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} y^{\prime}+a_{2} y=0$
(ii) If $p(r)=\left(r-r_{1}\right)^{2}$ is a characteristic polynomial then $\phi_{1}(x)=$ $\qquad$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=$ $\qquad$ are two solutions of the differential equation $y^{\prime \prime}-2 r_{1} y^{\prime}+r_{1}^{2} y=0$.
(iii) Uniqueness theorem states that $\qquad$
(iv) Solution of $y^{\prime \prime}-2 y^{\prime}+4 y=0$ are $\phi_{1}(x)=$ $\qquad$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=$ $\qquad$ .. .
(v) The general solution of $y^{\prime \prime}-3 y^{\prime}+2 y=0$ is.....
2. Find the gental solution of each of the following equation.
(i) $y^{\prime \prime}+4 y^{\prime}=0$
(ii) $y^{\prime \prime}-y=0$
(iii) $y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime}-6 y=0$
(iv) $y^{\prime \prime}+4 k y^{\prime}-12 k^{2} y=0$
(v) $y^{\prime \prime}-2 a y^{\prime}+a^{2} y=0$
(vi) $y^{\prime \prime}-4 y^{\prime}+20 y=0$
3. Find the solution of the following initial value problems :
(i) $y^{\prime \prime}=0, \quad y(1)=2, \quad y^{\prime}(1)=-1$
(ii) $y^{\prime \prime}+4 y^{\prime}+4 y=0, \quad y(0)=1, \quad y^{\prime}(0)=1$
(iii) $y^{\prime \prime}-2 y^{\prime}+5 y=0, \quad y(0)=2, \quad y^{\prime}(0)=4$
(iv) $y^{\prime \prime}-4 y^{\prime}+20 y=0, \quad y(\pi / 2)=0, \quad y^{\prime}(\pi / 2)=1$

## Answers :

1. (i) $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{r_{1} x}, \phi_{2}(x)=e^{r_{2} x}$
(ii) $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{r_{1} x}, \quad \phi_{2}(x)=x e^{r_{1} x}$
(iii) theorem 1.1.5
(iv) $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{2 x}, \phi_{2}(x)=x e^{2 x}$
(v) $c_{1} e^{2 x}+c_{2} e^{x}$
2. (i) $c_{1}+c_{2} e^{-4 x}$
(ii) $c_{1} e^{x}+c_{2} e^{-x}$
(iii) $c_{1} e^{2 x}+c_{2} e^{-3 x}$
(v) $\left(c_{1}+c_{2} x\right) e^{a x}$
3. (i) $3-x$
(iii) $e^{x}(2 \cos 2 x+\sin 2 x)$
(iv) $c_{1} e^{-6 k x}+c_{2} e^{2 k x}$
(vi) $e^{2 x}\left(c_{1} \cos 4 x+c_{2} \sin 4 x\right)$
(ii) $(1+3 x) e^{-2 x}$
(iv) $\frac{1}{4} e^{2 x-\pi} \sin 4 x$

## Unit 2 : Linear Dependence and Independence

Every solution of the equation $L(y)=0$ is a linear combination of two solutions obtained in theorem 1.1.1. Therefore these two solutions span the solution space of the differential equation $L(y)=0$.
Defination 1.3: A set of $n$ real or complex functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, \ldots . . ., f_{n}$ defined on an interval ( $a$,
$b)$ is said to be linearly independent when $c_{1} f_{1}(x)+c_{2} f_{2}(x)+c_{3} f_{3}(x)+\cdots+c_{n} f_{n}(x)=0$
for every $x$ in $(a, b)$ implies $c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3}=\cdots=c_{n}=0$.
Defination 1.4: Given the functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, \cdots, f_{n}$ if constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \cdots, c_{n}$ not all zero
exist such $c_{1} f_{1}(x)+c_{2} f_{2}(x)+c_{3} f_{3}(x)+\cdots+c_{n} f_{n}(x)=0$ for every $x$ in $(a, b)$, then these functions are linearly dependent.

A set which is not linearly independent is said to be linearly dependent.
There are two notions of linear independence, according as we allow the coefficients $c_{k}, k=1,2,3, \ldots ., n$ to assume only real values or also complex values. In the first case, one says that the functions are linearly independent over the field of reals; in the second case, that they are linearly independent over the complex field.

Lemma 1.2.1 : A set of real valued functions on an interval $(a, b)$ is linearly independent over the complex field if and only if it is linearly independent over the real field.

Proof: If the set of real valued functions on an interval $(a, b)$ is linearly independent over the complex field then it is linearly independent over the field of reals.

Conversely suppose the set is linearly independent over the real field. Therefore for $\alpha_{j} \in R, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} f_{j}(x)=\alpha_{1} f_{1}(x)+\alpha_{2} f_{2}(x)+\alpha_{3} f_{3}(x)+\cdots+\alpha_{n} f_{n}(x)=0$ for all $x$ in $(a, b)$ implies $\alpha_{j}=0$ for all $j=1,2,3 \ldots$, n. Let $\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} f_{j}(x)=0$ for all $x$ in $(a, b)$ and for some $c_{j} \in C, j=1,2,3 \cdots, n$. Since the function $f_{j}$ are real valued and $\sum c_{j} f_{j}(x)=0$, $\left[\sum c_{j} f_{j}(x)\right]^{*}=0$. implies $\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j}{ }^{*} f_{j}(x)=0$. Thus, $\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{c_{j}-c_{j}{ }^{*}}{i}\right) f_{j}(x)=0$. But $\left(c_{j}-c_{j}{ }^{*}\right) / i$ are all real and the set is linearly independent over the real field therefore $c_{j}=c_{j}{ }^{*}$. But then $c_{j}$ 's
are all real therefore $\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} f_{j}(x)=0$ implies $c_{j}=0$ for $j=1,2, \ldots . n$.
A set of functions which is linearly dependent on a given domain may become linearly independent when the functions are extended to a larger domain. However, a linearly independent set of functions clearly remain linearly independent on the restricted domain.

Illustration 1: The functions $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ define by $\phi_{1}(x)=\operatorname{Cos} x$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=\operatorname{Sin} x$ are linearly independet on the real line IR and therefore are linearly independent on $(0,2 \pi)$.

Illustration 2: The functions $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ define by $\phi_{1}(x)=x, \phi_{2}(x)=|x|$ are linearly indepent on the interval $(-1,1)$ but is not linearly independent on the interval $(0,1)$ as on the interval $(0,1), \phi_{1}(x)=\phi_{2}(x)$.

## Theorem 1.2.1 :

Let $a_{1}, a_{2}$ be constants and consider the equation $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} y^{\prime}+a_{2} y=0$. The two solutions of $L(y)=0$ given in the theorem 1.1.1 are linearly independent on any interval I.

Proof: Let $r_{1}, r_{2}$ be the roots of characteristic polynomial $p(r)=r^{2}+a_{1} r+a_{2}$.

## Case 1.

If $r_{1} \neq r_{2}$, then $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{r_{1} x}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=e^{r_{2} x}$ are two solutions of the equation $L(y)=0$ on an interval I.

Suppose $c_{1} e^{r_{1}, x}+c_{2} e^{r_{2} x}=0$ for all $x$ in I.
Then $c_{1}+c_{2} e^{\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) x}=0$ for all $x$ in I.
Differentiation of above equation with respect to $x$ gives $c_{2}\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) e^{\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) x}=0$ for all $x$ in I.

Since, $r_{2} \neq r_{1}$ and exponential function in non-zero, $c_{2}$ is zero. But if $c_{2}$ is zero then $c_{1}+c_{2} e^{\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) x}=0$ implies $c_{1}$ is zero. Thus, $c_{1} e^{r_{1} x}+c_{2} e^{r_{2} x}=0$ implies $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$.

Therefore $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{r_{1} x}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=e^{r_{2} x}$ are linearly independent.

## Case 2.

If $r_{1}=r_{2}$, then $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{r_{1} x}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=x e^{r_{1} x}$ are two solutions of the equation $L(y)=0$ on an interval I.

Suppose $c_{1} e^{e_{1} x}+c_{2} x e^{r_{2} x}=0$ then $c_{1}+c_{2} x=0$ for all $x$ in I. Therefore $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$. Thus, $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are linearly independent

Thus, in both cases the two solutions $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ of $L(y)=0$ are linearly independent.
Defination 1.5 : Assume that each of the functions $f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x), f_{3}(x), \cdots, f_{n}(x)$ are differentiable atleast $(n-1)$ times in the interval $(a, b)$. Then the determinant

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
f_{1}(x) & f_{2}(x) & f_{3}(x) & \cdots & f_{n}(x) \\
f_{1}^{\prime}(x) & f_{2}^{\prime}(x) & f_{3}^{\prime}(x) & \cdots & f_{n}^{\prime}(x) \\
f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x) & f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x) & f_{3}^{\prime \prime}(x) & \cdots & f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
f_{1}^{(n-1)}(x) & f_{2}^{(n-1)}(x) & f_{3}^{(n-1)}(x) & \cdots & f_{n}^{(n-1)}(x)
\end{array}\right|
$$

denoted by $\mathrm{W}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)(x)$ is called the wronskian of the $n$ functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, \ldots, f_{n}$.

## Theorem 1.2.2 :

Two solutions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ of $L(y)=0$ are linearly independent on an interval I if and only if $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$ in I .

Proof: Suppose $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(\mathrm{x}) \neq 0$ for all x in I
Let $c_{1}, c_{2}$ be constants such that
$c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)=0$ for all $x$ in I. Then
$c_{1} \phi_{1}{ }^{\prime}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}{ }^{\prime}(x)=0$ for all $x$ in I.
Above two equations can be written as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1}(x) & \phi_{2}(x) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}(x) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{1} \\
c_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since, $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$ in I, the coefficient matrix is invertible. On premultiplying the inverse of the coefficient matrix results in $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$. This proves that $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are linearly independent on I.

Conversely, assume that $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly independent on I. Suppose that there is a point $x_{0}$ in I such that $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. Then the system of equations

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{1} \\
c_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

has a solution $c_{1}, c_{2}$ where at least one of these numbers is not zero. Let $c_{1}, c_{2}$, be such a solution and consider the function $\psi(x)=c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)$. Now $L(\psi)=0$ and $\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=0, \psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. Therefore $\left\|\psi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|=\left[\left|\psi\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}=0$. By theorem 1.1.4 $\|\psi(x)\|=0$. But $\|\psi(x)\|=\left[|\psi(x)|^{2}+\left|\psi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}\right]=0$. Therefore $\psi(x)=0$ for all $x$ in I and thus $c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)=0$ for all $x$ in I. But then $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are linearly dependent. Thus, the supposition $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ must be false and therefore $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$ in I .

In the next theorem we will prove that we need to compute $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)$ at only one point to test the linear independence of the solutions $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$.

## Theorem 1.2.3 :

Let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ be two solution of $L(y)=0$ on an interval I and let $x_{0}$ be any point in I. Then two solutions $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are linearly independent on I if and only if $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$.

Proof: If $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are linearly independent on I then by theorem 1.2.2, $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$ in I. In particular $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$ conversely, suppose $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$ and suppose $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are constants such that $c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)=0$ for all $x$ in I. Then $c_{1} \phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)+c_{2} \phi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ and $c_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+c_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$.
i.e. $\quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}\phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) \\ \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}c_{1} \\ c_{2}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$

But since the determinant of the coefficient is $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$ we obtain $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$. Thus $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly independent on I.

In the next theorem we show that the knowledge of two linearly independent solutions of $L(y)=0$ is sufficient to generate all solutions of $L(y)=0$.

## Theorem 1.2.4 :

Let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ be any two linearly independent solutions of $L(y)=0$ on an interval I. Every solution $\phi$ of $L(y)=0$ can be written uniquely as

$$
\phi=c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2} \text { where } c_{1}, c_{2} \text { are constants. }
$$

Proof: Let $x_{0}$ be a point in I. Let $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha, \phi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\beta$. Since $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly independent on I we know that $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Consider the two equations.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{1} \\
c_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \\
\beta
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$, above system of equations has a unique solution $c_{1}, c_{2}$. For this choice of $c_{1}, c_{2}$ the function $\psi(x)=c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)$ satisfies $\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=c_{1} \phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)+c_{2} \phi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)$ $=\alpha=\phi\left(x_{0}\right)$ i.e. $\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi\left(x_{0}\right)$ similarly $\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $L(\psi)=0$. From the uniqueness theorem 1.1.5 it follows that $\psi=\phi$ on I i.e. $\phi=c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{1} \phi_{2}$.

## Examples :

## Q1. Show that the functions $e^{x}, e^{2 x}, \mathrm{e}^{3 x}$ are linearly independent.

## Ans. :

## Method 1:

Let $c_{1} e^{x}+c_{2} e^{2 x}+c_{3} e^{3 x}=0$
then $c_{1}+c_{2} e^{x}+c_{3} e^{2 x}=0$

## Differential Equations

Differentiate above equation (1) with respect to $x$ then $c_{2} e^{x}+2 c_{3} e^{2 x}=0$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}+2 c_{3} e^{x}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiating equation (2) with respect to $x$ we get $2 c_{3} e^{x}=0$ therefore $c_{3}=0$.
But then by equation (2) $c_{2}=0$ and by equation (1) we get $c_{1}=0$. Thus $c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3}=0$. Therefore the functions $e^{x}, e^{2 x}, e^{3 x}$ are linearly independent.

## Method 2:

Let $\quad \phi_{1}(x)=e^{x}, \phi_{2}(x)=e^{2 x}, \phi_{3}(x)=e^{3 x}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right) & =\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{x} & e^{2 x} & e^{3 x} \\
e^{x} & 2 e^{2 x} & 3 e^{3 x} \\
e^{x} & 4 e^{2 x} & 9 e^{3 x}
\end{array}\right|=e^{x} e^{2 x} e^{3 x}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 3 \\
1 & 4 & 9
\end{array}\right| \\
& =e^{6 x}[1(18-12)-1(9-3)+1(4-2)] \\
& =2 e^{6 x} \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

by theorem 1.2.2 $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}$ are linearly independent.
Q2.: The functions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are defined on $-\infty<x<\infty$. Determine whether they are linearly dependent or independent there.
(i) $\phi_{1}(x)=x, \phi_{2}(x)=e^{r x}, r$ is a complex constant
(ii) $\phi_{1}(x)=x^{2}, \quad \phi_{2}(x)=5 x^{2}$
(iii) $\phi_{1}(x)=x, \phi_{2}(x)=|x|$
(iv) $\phi_{1}(x)=\cos x, \phi_{2}(x)=\sin x$

Ans. (i) :

## Method 1:

Let $c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)=0$
i.e. $c_{1} x+c_{2} e^{r x}=0$
if $r=0, c_{1} x+c_{2}=0$ for all $x \in R$ implies
$c_{1}=0$ and $c_{2}=0 . \quad \therefore \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly independent if $r \neq 0$, differentiate equation (1) with respect to $x$ then $c_{1}+r c_{2} e^{r x}=0$

Again differentiate above equation with respect to $x$ then $r^{2} c_{2} e^{r x}=0$. But $r \neq 0$ and $e^{r x} \neq 0$ therefore $c_{2}=0$ and from equation (1) we get $c_{1}=0$. Thus $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly independent.

## Method 2:

$$
\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
x & e^{r x} \\
1 & r e^{r x}
\end{array}\right|=e^{r x}\left|\begin{array}{cc}
x & 1 \\
1 & r
\end{array}\right|
$$

$$
=e^{r x}(r x-1) \neq 0 \text { for } x \in I R
$$

$\therefore \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly independent

## Method 3:

$$
\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(0)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & r
\end{array}\right|=1 \neq 0 \text { therefore by theorem 1.2.3 } \phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \text { are linearly }
$$

independent.
Ans. (ii) :
Let $c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}=0$
i.e. $c_{1} x^{2}+c_{2} 5 x^{2}=0$
if $\left(c_{1}+5 c_{2}\right) x^{2}=0$
If we choose $c_{1}=-5 c_{2} \neq 0$ then the linear combination $c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}=0$ therefore by definition 1.4, $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly dependent.

Ans. (iii) :
For $x>0 \quad c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}=\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right) x$ as $|x|=x$
and for $x<0 \quad c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}=\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right) x$ as $|x|=-x$
Thus, $c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}=0$ for $x \in R$
$\Rightarrow\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right) x=0$ and $\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right) x=0$
for every $x \in R$ above two equations hold true if and only if $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$. Thus $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ defined by $\phi_{1}(x)=x$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=|x|$ are linearly independent.

Ans. (iv) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}(x)=\cos x ; \quad \phi_{2}(x)=\sin x \\
& \mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\cos x & \sin x \\
-\sin x & \cos x
\end{array}\right|=1
\end{aligned}
$$

$\because \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=1 \neq 0, \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly independent.

## Q3. : Let $\phi_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ be any function satisfying the boundary value problem

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+n^{2} y=0, \quad y(0)=y(2 \pi), \quad y^{\prime}(0)=y^{\prime}(2 \pi), \quad n=0,1,2,3, \ldots .
$$

show that $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \phi_{n}(x) \phi_{m}(x) d x=0$ if $n \neq m$.
Ans. :
The characteristic polynomial $p(r)=r^{2}+n^{2}$ has roots $r_{1}=$ in, $r_{2}=-$ in and therefore the
general solution $\phi_{n}(x)=c_{n} \cos n x+d_{n} \sin n x$
From the given boundary conditions.

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \phi_{n}(0)=c_{n} \text { and } \quad \phi_{n}(2 \pi)=c_{n} \Rightarrow \phi_{n}(0)=\phi_{n}(2 \pi) \\
\text { and } \quad & \phi_{n}^{\prime}(0)=n d_{n} \text { and } \phi_{n}^{\prime}(2 \pi)=n d_{n} \Rightarrow \phi_{n}^{\prime}(0)=\phi_{n}^{\prime}(2 \pi)
\end{array}
$$

Thus, $\phi_{n}(x)=c_{n} \cos n x+d_{n} \sin n x$ satisfies the given boundary conditions.
The solution $\phi_{n}$ satisfies $\phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+n^{2} \phi_{n}(x)=0$ where as $\phi_{m}^{\prime \prime}(x)+m^{2} \phi_{m}(x)=0$ holds.
Thus, $\quad\left(n^{2}-m^{2}\right) \phi_{n}(x) \phi_{m}(x)=\phi_{n}{ }^{\prime \prime}(x) \phi_{m}(x)-\phi_{n}(x) \phi_{m}{ }^{\prime \prime}(x)$

$$
=\left[\phi_{n}^{\prime}(x) \phi_{m}(x)-\phi_{n}(x) \phi_{m}^{\prime}(x)\right]^{\prime}
$$

Integrating above equation from 0 to $2 \pi$
We get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(n^{2}-m^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \phi_{n}(x) \phi_{m}(x) d x & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[\phi_{n}^{\prime}(x) \phi_{m}(x)-\phi_{n}(x) \phi_{m}^{\prime}(x)\right]^{\prime} d x \\
& =\left[\phi_{n}^{\prime}(x) \phi_{m}(x)-\phi_{n}(x) \phi_{m}^{\prime}(x)\right]_{0}^{2 \pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
\phi_{n}(0)=c_{n,} \phi_{n}(2 \pi)=c_{n} ; \quad \phi_{n}^{\prime}(0)=n d_{n,} \phi_{n}^{\prime}(2 \pi)=n d_{n}
$$

Similarly, $\quad \phi_{m}(0)=c_{m}, \phi_{m}(2 \pi)=c_{m} ; \quad \phi_{m}{ }^{\prime}(0)=m d_{m}=\phi_{m}{ }^{\prime}(2 \pi)$
Thus, $\quad\left(n^{2}-m^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \phi_{n}(x) \phi_{m}(x) d x=\left[n d_{n} c_{m}-c_{n} m d_{m}\right]-\left[n d_{n} c_{m}-c_{n} m d_{m}\right]$ $=0$

Since, $\quad n \neq m, \quad \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \phi_{n}(x) \phi_{m}(x) d x=0$.
Q4. (a) : Show that $\phi_{n}(x)=\operatorname{Sin} n x$ satisfies the boundary value problem $y^{\prime \prime}+n^{2} y=0$, $y(0)=0, y(\pi)=0, n=1,2 \ldots$.
(b) : Using (a) show that

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin n x \sin m x d x=0 \text { if } n \neq m
$$

## Ans. 4(a) :

## Method 1:

The characteristic polynomial $p(r)=r^{2}+n^{2}$ has roots $r= \pm$ in and therefore the general solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{n}(x) & =c_{n} \cos n x+d_{n} \sin n x \\
y(0) & =\phi_{n}(0)=0 \Rightarrow \phi_{n}(0)=c_{n}=0 \\
y(\pi) & =\phi_{n}(\pi)=0 \Rightarrow \phi_{n}(\pi)=c_{n}(-1)^{n}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\phi_{n}(x)=\sin n x$ is a solution for $n=1,2,3, \ldots$.

## Method 2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{n}(x) & =\sin n x, \phi_{n}^{\prime}(x)=n \cos n x \\
\phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x) & =-n^{2} \sin n x
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+n^{2} \phi_{n}(x)=-n^{2} \sin n x+n^{2} \sin n x=0 .
$$

Since, $\quad \phi_{n}(x)=\sin n x$ satisfies $\phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)+n^{2} \phi_{n}(x)=0$
and $\quad \phi_{n}(0)=0, \quad \phi_{n}(\pi)=0$
$\phi_{n}(x)=\sin n x$ is a solution of $y^{\prime \prime}+n^{2} y=0, y(0)=y(\pi)=0$.
Ans. 4(b) :
Working on the similar line as in example 2 we get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(n^{2}-m^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\pi} \phi_{n}(x) \phi_{m}(x) d x & =\left(n^{2}-m^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin n x \sin m x d x \\
& =[\sin n x(-m \cos m x)-\sin m x(-n \cos n x)]_{0}^{\pi} \\
& =0(\text { as } \sin 0=\sin n \pi=0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
n \neq m, \int_{0}^{\pi} \phi_{n}(x) \phi_{m}(x) d x=0
$$

Q5: Suppose $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly independent solutions of the constant coefficient equation $y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} y^{\prime}+a_{2} y=0$, Let $\mathbf{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ be abbreviated to $\mathbf{W}$. Show that $\mathbf{W}$ is constant if and only if $a_{1}=\mathbf{0}$.

Ans. :

$$
\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|=\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}^{\prime}-\phi_{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}^{\prime} & =\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}^{\prime}-\phi_{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\phi_{1} \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}+\phi_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{2}^{\prime}-\phi_{2}^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime}-\phi_{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} \\
& =\phi_{1} \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}-\phi_{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

But $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are solutions of $y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} y^{\prime}+a_{2} y=0$.

Therefore $\quad \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+a_{2} \phi_{1}=0 \Rightarrow \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}=-a_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}-a_{2} \phi_{1}$
Similarly, $\quad \phi_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}=-a_{1} \phi_{2}{ }^{\prime}-a_{2} \phi_{2}$
Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}^{\prime} & =\phi_{1}\left(-a_{1} \phi_{2}^{\prime}-a_{2} \phi_{2}\right)-\phi_{2}\left(-a_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}-a_{2} \phi_{1}\right) \\
& =-a_{1}\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}^{\prime}-\phi_{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =-a_{1} \mathrm{~W}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\mathrm{W}^{\prime}=0 \text { iff } \quad a_{1}=0
$$

Therefore $\quad \mathrm{W}=$ constant if and only if $a_{1}=0$
Q6 : Let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ be two different function on an interval I, which are not necessarily solutions of an equation $L(y)=0$. Prove the following
(a) If $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly dependent on I then $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=0$ for all $x$ in I
(b) If $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$ for some $x_{0}$ in I, then $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly independent on I.
(c) $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=0$ for all $x$ in I does not imply that $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly dependent on I.
(d) $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=0$ for all $x$ in I and $\phi_{2}(x) \neq 0$ on I, imply that are $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ linearly dependent.

Ans. 6(a) :
Suppose $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly dependent on I then $c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)=0$ for some non-zero $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$.
i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}(x)=-\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} \phi_{2}(x) . \\
& \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|=\phi_{1}(x) \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x)-\phi_{2}(x) \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x) \\
& \therefore \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=\left(-\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} \phi_{2}(x)\right) \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x)-\phi_{2}(x)\left(-\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x)\right)=0 \\
& \therefore \mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=0 \text { for all } x \in \mathrm{I} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Ans. 6(b) :
Suppose $\quad c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)=0$ then

$$
c_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x)=0
$$

Thus we have a system of equation

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1}(x) & \phi_{2}(x) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}(x) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{1} \\
c_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Therefore at $x=x_{0}$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{1} \\
c_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus, $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$ if and only if the coefficient matrix is invertible i.e. the determinant of coefficient matrix is non-zero

But $\quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}\phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) \\ \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\end{array}\right]=\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$
Since, $W\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0 \Rightarrow c_{1}=c_{2}=0$

$$
\therefore \quad c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)=0 \Rightarrow c_{1}=c_{2}=0 .
$$

Hence $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are linearly independent on I.
Ans. 6(c) :
Define $\quad \phi_{1}(x)=x^{2}, \quad \phi_{2}(x)=x|x|$
for $\quad x>0,|x|=x \quad \therefore \phi_{1}(x)=x^{2}, \quad \phi_{2}(x)=x^{2}$
$\therefore \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}x^{2} & x^{2} \\ 2 x & 2 x\end{array}\right|=0$.
for $\quad x=0, \phi_{1}(x)=\phi_{2}(x)=0 \quad \therefore \mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)=0$
for $\quad x<0,|x|=-x \Rightarrow \phi_{1}(x)=x^{2}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=-x^{2}$

$$
\therefore \quad \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
x^{2} & -x^{2} \\
2 x & -2 x
\end{array}\right|=0 .
$$

Thus $\quad \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=0$ for $-\infty<x<\infty$
Let $\quad c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)=0$
for $\quad x>0, \quad c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)=\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right) x^{2}=0$.
$\Rightarrow c_{1}+c_{2}=0$
for $\quad x<0, \quad c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)=c_{1} x^{2}-c_{2} x^{2}=0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Rightarrow c_{1}-c_{2}=0 \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $\quad c_{1}+c_{2}=0$ and $c_{1}-c_{2}=0 \Rightarrow c_{1}=c_{2}=0$
Thus, $\quad c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}=0 \Rightarrow c_{1}=c_{2}=0$
Therefore $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly independent.

Ans. 6(d) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=0 \Rightarrow \mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1}(x) & \phi_{2}(x) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}(x) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x)
\end{array}\right|=0 \\
\Rightarrow & \phi_{1}(x) \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x)-\phi_{2}(x) \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)=0 \\
\Rightarrow & \phi_{2}(x) \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)-\phi_{1}(x) \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x)=0 \\
& \phi_{2}(x) \neq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathrm{I} \\
\therefore & \frac{\phi_{2}(x) \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)-\phi_{1}(x) \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x)}{\phi_{2}^{2}(x)}=0 \\
\Rightarrow & \left(\frac{\phi_{1}}{\phi_{2}}\right)^{\prime}=0 \Rightarrow \frac{\phi_{1}}{\phi_{2}}=\text { constant }=k \text { (say) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

Therefore $\phi_{1}(x)=k \phi_{2}(x)$ and hence $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly dependent.
Q7 : If $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are two solution of $L(y)=0$ on an interval I containing a point $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{0}}$, then $\mathbf{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=e^{-a_{1}\left(x-x_{0}\right)} \mathbf{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Ans. :
Since $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are solution of $L(y)=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+a_{2} \phi_{1}=0 \\
& \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} \phi_{2}^{\prime}+a_{2} \phi_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

On multiplying the first equation by $-\phi_{2}$, second equation by $\phi_{1}$ and adding we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{1} \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}-\phi_{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+a_{1}\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}^{\prime}-\phi_{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\right)+a_{2}\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}-\phi_{2} \phi_{1}\right)=0 \\
& \left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}-\phi_{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)+a_{1}\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2}^{\prime}-\phi_{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{i}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1}(x) & \phi_{2}(x) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}(x) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x)
\end{array}\right|
$$

Then

$$
\mathrm{W}=\phi_{1}(x) \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x)-\phi_{2}(x) \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}^{\prime} & =\phi_{1}(x) \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\phi_{1}^{\prime}(x) \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x)-\phi_{2}^{\prime}(x) \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)-\phi_{2}(x) \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x) \\
& =\phi_{1}(x) \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)-\phi_{2}(x) \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, equation (i) becomes

$$
\mathrm{W}^{\prime}+a_{1} \mathrm{~W}=0
$$

Thus W satisfies the first order differential equation

$$
\mathrm{W}^{\prime}+a_{1} \mathrm{~W}=0
$$

$\quad$ Hence, $\quad \mathrm{W}(x)=c \cdot e^{-a_{1} x}$ where $c$ is constant of integration. At $x=x_{0}$ we get

$$
\mathrm{W}\left(x_{0}\right)=c \cdot e^{-a_{1}, x_{0}} \text { i.e. } c=e^{a_{1} x_{0}} \mathrm{~W}\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}(x) & =e^{a_{1} x_{0}} \mathrm{~W}\left(x_{0}\right) e^{-a_{1} x} \\
& =e^{-a_{1}\left(x-x_{0}\right)} \mathrm{W}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=e^{-a_{1}\left(x-x_{0}\right)} \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)$

## EXERCISES

1. The functions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are defined on $-\infty<x<\infty$

Determine whether they are linearly dependent or independent there.
(i) $\phi_{1}(x)=\cos x, \phi_{2}(x)=\sin x$
(ii) $\phi_{1}(x)=\sin x, \phi_{2}(x)=e^{i x}$
(iii) $\phi_{1}(x)=\sin n x, \phi_{2}(x)=\cos n x$
(iv) $\phi_{1}(x)=1, \phi_{2}(x)=\cos x$
(v) $\phi_{1}(x)=\sin ^{2} x, \phi_{2}(x)=\cos ^{2} x$
(vi) $\phi_{1}(x)=1, \phi_{2}(x)=\sin ^{2} x, \phi_{3}(x)=\cos ^{2} x$
(vii) $\phi_{1}(x)=\cos x, \phi_{2}(x)=e^{i x}+e^{-i x}$
2. State whether the following statements are true or false.
(a) If $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly independent functions on an interval I, they are linearly independent on any interval J contained inside I.
(b) If $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly dependent on an internal I, they are linearly dependent on any internal J contained inside I.
(c) If $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly independent solutions of $L(y)=0$ on an internal I, they are linearly independent an any internal $J$ contained inside I.
(d) If $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly dependent solutions of $L(y)=0$ on an interval I, they are linearly dependent on any internal J contained inside I.

Ans.: 1.
(i) independent
(ii) independent
(iii) independent
(iv) independent
(v) independent
(vi) dependent
(vii) dependent.

Ans.: 2.
(a) false
(b) true
(c) true
(d) true

Differential Equations

## Unit 3 : The Homogeneous Equation of Order n

Everything we have done for the second order equation can be carried over to the case of the equation of order n . Here, we are concerned with the equation

$$
L(y)=y^{(n)}+a_{1} y^{(n-1)}+a_{2} y^{(n-2)}+\cdots+a_{n} y=0,
$$

where, $a_{1}, a_{1}, a_{3}, \ldots \ldots ., a_{n}$ are constants.

## Theorem 1.3.1 :

Let $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, \ldots \ldots, r_{\mathrm{s}}$ be the distinct roots of the characteristic polynomial $p(r)=r^{n}+a_{1} r^{n-1}+a_{2} r^{n-2}+\cdots+a_{n}$ and suppose $r_{i}$ has multiplicity $m_{i}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}+\cdots\right.$ $+m_{s}=n$ ). Then $n$ functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{r_{1} x}, x e^{r_{1} x}, \ldots, x^{m_{1}-1} e^{r_{1} x} ; \quad e^{r_{2} x}, x e^{r_{2} x}, \ldots, x^{m_{2}-1} e^{r_{2} x} ; \ldots, ; \\
& e^{r_{s} x}, x e^{r_{s}, x}, x^{2} e^{r_{s} x}, \ldots, x^{m_{s}-1} e^{r_{s} x}
\end{aligned}
$$

are solutions of $L(y)=y^{(n)}+a_{1} y^{(n-1)}+a_{2} y^{(n-2)}+\cdots+a_{n} y=0$
Proof: Suppose $r_{i}$ is a root of $p(r)$ of multiplicity $m_{i}$. Then $p(r)=\left(r-r_{i}\right)^{m_{i}} q(r)$ where $q$ is a polynomial of degree $n-m_{i}$. On differentiating $p(r),\left(m_{i}-1\right)$ times we get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{\prime}(r) & =\left(r-r_{i}\right)^{m_{i}} q^{\prime}(r)+m_{i}\left(r-r_{i}\right)^{m_{i}-1} q(r) \\
& =\left(r-r_{i}\right)^{m_{i}-1}\left[q^{\prime}(r)\left(r-r_{i}\right)+m_{i} q(r)\right] \\
p^{\prime \prime}(r) & =\left(r-r_{i}\right)^{m_{i}} q^{\prime \prime}(r)+2 m_{i}\left(r-r_{i}\right)^{m_{i}-1} q^{\prime}(r)+m_{i}\left(m_{i}-1\right)\left(r-r_{i}\right)^{m_{i}-2} q(r) \\
& =\left(r-r_{i}\right)^{m_{i}-2}\left[\left(r-r_{i}\right)^{2} q^{\prime \prime}(r)+2 m_{i}\left(r-r_{i}\right) q^{\prime}(r)+m_{i}\left(m_{i}-1\right) q(r)\right] \\
& =\left(r-r_{i}\right)^{m_{i}-2}\left[\text { Polynomial of order } n-m_{i}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and so on

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{\left(m_{i}-1\right)}(r) & =\left(r-r_{i}\right)^{m_{i}-\left(m_{i}-1\right)}\left[\text { Polynomial of order } n-m_{i}\right] \\
& =\left(r-r_{i}\right)\left[\text { Polynomial of order } n-m_{i}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $p\left(r_{i}\right)=p^{\prime}\left(r_{i}\right)=p^{\prime \prime}\left(r_{i}\right)=\cdots=p^{\left(m_{i}-1\right)}\left(r_{i}\right)=0$.
Let $e^{r x}$ be a solution of $L(y)=0$. We see that $L\left(e^{r x}\right)=p(r) e^{r x}$ where $p(r)=r^{n}+a_{1} r^{n-1}$ $+a_{2} r^{n-2}+\cdots+a_{n}$.

Therefore $L\left(e^{r_{i} x}\right)=p\left(r_{i}\right) e^{r_{i} x}=0$. Thus $e^{r_{i} x}$ is a solution of $L(y)=0$.
If we differentiate $L\left(e^{r x}\right)=p(r) e^{r x} k$ times with respect to $r$ we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial r^{k}} L\left(e^{r x}\right)=L\left(\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial r^{k}} e^{r x}\right)=L\left(x^{k} e^{r x}\right)
$$

## Differential Equations

$$
=\left[p^{(k)}(r)+k p^{(k-1)}(r) x+\frac{k(k-1)}{2!} p^{(k-2)}(r) x^{2}+\cdots+p(r) x^{k}\right] e^{r x}
$$

Thus for $r=r_{i}$ and $k=0,1,2, \ldots . m_{i}-1$ we get $L\left(x^{k} e^{r_{i} x}\right)=0$. Therefore $x^{k} e^{r_{i} x}, k=0,1,2, \ldots \ldots m_{i}-1$, are solutions of $L(y)=0$. This is true for every characteristic root $r_{i}$ with multiplicity $m_{i}$. i.e. $x^{k} e^{r_{i} x}, k=0,1,2, \ldots m_{i}-1, \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots . s$ are solutions of $L(y)=0$ and the result follows.

## Theorem 1.3.2 :

The $n$ solutions of $L(y)=0$ given in theorem 1.3.1 are linearly independent on any interval I.
Proof: We prove that functions given in theorem 1.3.1 satisfy the condition given in defination 1.3.

Suppose we have $n$ constants $c_{i j}, i=1,2 \ldots s, j=0, \ldots . . m_{i}-1$
Such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(c_{10} e^{r_{1} x}+c_{11} x e^{r_{1} x}+c_{12} x^{2} e^{r_{1} x}+\ldots .+c_{1\left(m_{1}-1\right)} x^{m_{1}-1} e^{r_{1} x}\right) \\
& +\left(c_{20} e^{r_{2} x}+c_{21} x e^{r_{2} x}+c_{22} x^{2} e^{r_{2} x}+\ldots .+c_{2\left(m_{2}-1\right)} x^{m_{2}-1} e^{r_{2} x}\right) \\
& +\ldots .+\left(c_{s_{0}} e^{r_{s} x}+c_{s_{1}} x e^{r_{s} x}+c_{s_{2}} x^{2} e^{r_{s} x}+\ldots .+c_{s\left(m_{s}-1\right)} x^{m_{s}-1} e^{r_{s} x}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define

$$
p_{i}(x)=c_{i 0}+c_{i 1} x+c_{i 2} x^{2}+\ldots+c_{i\left(m_{i}-1\right)} x^{m_{i}-1}
$$

Then

$$
p_{1}(x) e^{r_{1} x}+p_{2}(x) e^{r_{2} x}+p_{3}(x) e^{r_{2} x}+\ldots . .+p_{s}(x) e^{r_{s} x}=0
$$

Assume that not all constants $c_{i j}$ are zero. Then there will be at least one of the polynomials $p_{i}$ which is not identically zero on I. Suppose $p_{s}(x)$ is not identically zero on I. On dividing above equation by $e^{r_{1} x}$ we get

$$
p_{1}(x)+p_{2}(x) e^{\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) x}+p_{3}(x) e^{\left(r_{3}-r_{1}\right) x}+\ldots .+p_{s}(x) e^{\left(r_{s}-r_{1}\right) x}=0 .
$$

Upon differentiating above equation sufficiently many (at most $m_{i}$ ) times, we obtain the expression of the form

$$
Q_{2}(x) e^{\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right) x}+Q_{3}(x) e^{\left(r_{3}-r_{1}\right) x}+\ldots .+Q_{s}(x) e^{\left(r_{s}-r_{1}\right) x}=0
$$

i.e.

$$
Q_{2}(x)+Q_{3}(x) e^{\left(r_{3}-r_{2}\right) x}+\ldots .+Q_{s}(x) e^{\left(r_{s}-r_{2}\right) x}=0
$$

where the $Q_{i}$ 's are polynomials, degree of $Q_{i}$ is equal to degree of $P_{i}$ and $Q_{s}$ does not vanish identically.

Continuing this process we finally arrive at a situation where,

$$
R_{s}(x) e^{r_{s} x}=0
$$

on I and $R_{s}$ is a polynomial, degree of $R_{s}$ is equal to degree of $P_{s}$, which does not vanish identically on I. But $R_{s}(x) e^{r_{s} x}=0$ implies $R_{s}(x)=0$ is a contradiction. Therefore our supposition that $P_{s}(x)$ is not identically zero is not true. Thus $P_{s}(x)=0$ for all $x$ in I.

## Differential Equations

Thus all constants $C_{i j}=0$ proving that the $n$ solutions given in theorem 3.1 are linearly independent on an interval I.

## * Initial value problem for $\boldsymbol{n}^{\text {th }}$ order equations.

The problem of finding a solution $\phi$ of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(y)=y^{(n)}+a_{1} y^{(n-1)}+a_{2} y^{(n-2)}+\ldots .+a_{n} y=0 \text { satisfying } \\
& \phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{2}, \ldots \ldots ., \phi^{n-1}(x)=\alpha_{n} \text { where } a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots \ldots ., a_{n} \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$ $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \ldots \ldots ., \alpha_{n}$ are constants is denoted by

$$
L(y)=0, \quad y\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1}, y^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{2}, \ldots \ldots, y^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{n}
$$

and is called an initial value problem.

## Theorem 1.3.3 :

Let $\phi$ be any solution of

$$
L(y)=y^{(n)}+a_{1} y^{(n-1)}+a_{2} y^{(n-2)}+\ldots .+a_{n} y=0
$$

on an interval I containing a point $x_{0}$. Then for all $x$ in I
where,

$$
\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| e^{-k\left|x-x_{0}\right|} \leq\|\phi(x)\| \leq\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| e^{k\left(x-x_{0}\right)}
$$

and $\quad\|\phi(x)\|=\left[|\phi(x)|^{2}+\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+\ldots+\left|\phi^{(n-1)}(x)\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$
Proof: This proof is similar to the proof of theorem 1.1.4.
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(x) & =\|\phi(x)\|^{2} \\
& =|\phi|^{2}+\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\ldots+\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|^{2} \\
& =\phi \bar{\phi}+\phi^{\prime} \bar{\phi}^{\prime}+\ldots .+\phi^{(n-1)} \bar{\phi}^{(n-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
u^{\prime}(x)=\phi^{\prime} \bar{\phi}+\phi \bar{\phi}^{\prime}+\phi^{\prime \prime} \bar{\phi}^{\prime}+\bar{\phi}^{\prime} \bar{\phi}^{\prime \prime}+\ldots .+\phi^{(n-1)} \bar{\phi}^{(n)}+\phi^{(n)} \bar{\phi}^{(n-1)}
$$

Therefore $\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq 2|\phi(x)|\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|+2\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}\right|+\ldots .+2\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|\left|\phi^{(n)}\right|$
Since $\phi$ is solution of $L(y)=0, L(\phi)=0$ and therefore

$$
\phi^{(n)}=-a_{1} \phi^{(n-1)}-a_{2} \phi^{(n-2)}-a_{3} \phi^{(n-3)}-\ldots-a_{n} \phi
$$

On substituting the expression for $\phi^{(n)}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|u^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq 2|\phi|\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|+2\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}\right|+\ldots . .+2\left|\phi^{(n-2)}\right|\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right| \\
& +2\left|a_{1}\right|\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|^{2}+2\left|a_{2}\right|\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|\left|\phi^{(n-2)}\right|+\ldots . .+2\left|a_{n}\right|\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right||\phi| \\
& {\left[(|a|-|b|)^{2} \geq 0 \Rightarrow|a|^{2}+|b|^{2} \geq 2|a||b|\right]} \\
& \left|u^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq\left(|\phi|^{2}+\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)+\left(\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}\right)+\ldots .+\left(\left|\phi^{(n-2)}\right|^{2}+\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& +\left|a_{1}\right|\left(\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|^{2}+\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|^{2}\right)+\ldots .+\left|a_{n}\right|\left(\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|^{2}+|\phi|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \left(1+\left|a_{n}\right|\right)|\phi|^{2}+\left(1+1+\left|a_{n-1}\right|\right)\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left(2+\left|a_{n-2}\right|\right)\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2} \\
& +\ldots+\left(2+\left|a_{2}\right|\right)\left|\phi^{(n-2)}\right|^{2}+\left(1+2\left|a_{1}\right|+\left|a_{2}\right|+\ldots+\left|a_{n}\right|\right)\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since each coefficient on the right hand side is less than $2 k$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right| & \leq 2 k\left(|\phi|^{2}+\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\ldots .+\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& =2 k\|\phi(x)\|^{2}=2 k u(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq 2 k u(x)$
Thus, we get $-2 k u(x) \leq u^{\prime}(x) \leq 2 k u(x)$

$$
u^{\prime}-2 k u(x) \leq 0 \text { implies }\left(e^{-2 k x} u(x)\right)^{\prime} \leq 0
$$

Integrating above inequality between the limits $x_{0}$ to $x$ for $x>x_{0}$ yields

$$
e^{-2 k x} u(x)-e^{-2 k x_{0}} u\left(x_{0}\right) \leq 0
$$

i.e.

$$
u(x) \leq e^{2 k\left(x-x_{0}\right)} u\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

Thus, $\quad\|\phi(x)\| \leq e^{k\left(x-x_{0}\right)}\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|$
Similarly for $x>x_{0}$ the inequality
$-2 k u(x) \leq u^{\prime}(x)$ implies

$$
\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| e^{-k\left(x-x_{0}\right)} \leq\|\phi(x)\|
$$

Combining the above two inequalities we get the required result for $x>x_{0}$.
For $x<x_{0}$ interchange the role of $x$ and $x_{0}$
We get $\quad\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \leq e^{k\left(x_{0}-x\right)}\|\phi(x)\| \Rightarrow\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| e^{-k\left(x_{0}-x\right)} \leq\|\phi(x)\|$ and $\|\phi(x)\| e^{-k\left(x_{0}-x\right)} \leq\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \Rightarrow\|\phi(x)\| \leq e^{k\left(x_{0}-x\right)}\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|$
Thus, $\quad\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| e^{-k\left(x_{0}-x\right)} \leq\|\phi(x)\| \leq e^{k\left(x_{0}-x\right)}\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|,\left(x<x_{0}\right)$
which is the required result for $x<x_{0}$

## Theorem 1.3.4 (Uniqueness theorem)

Let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ be any $n$ constants and let $x_{0}$ be any real number. On any interval I containing $x_{0}$ there exists at most one solution $\phi$ of $L(y)=0$ satisfying $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{2}$, ,......., $\phi^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{n}$
Proof: Suppose $\phi$ and $\psi$ were two solutions of $L(y)=0$ on I satisfying the above conditions at $x=x_{0}$. i.e.

$$
\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{2}, \ldots ., \phi^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\psi^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{n}
$$

Define $\theta=\phi-\psi$. Since $\phi$ and $\psi$ satisfy $L(\phi)=L(\psi)$ therefore $L(\theta)=0$ and

Thus

$$
\theta\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi\left(x_{0}\right)-\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=0, \theta^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 .
$$

$$
\left\|\theta\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|=\left[\left|\theta\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\theta^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{2}+\ldots .+\left|\theta^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}=0
$$

Applying theorem 1.3.3 we obtain $\|\theta(x)\|=0$ for all $x$ in I. This implies $\theta(x)=0$ for all $x$ in I.
i.e. $\quad \phi(x)=\psi(x)$ for all $x$ in I.

## Theorem 1.3.5

If $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots \phi_{n}$, are $n$ solutions of $L(y)=0$ on an interval I, they are linearly independent if and only if $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots . \phi_{n}\right)(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$ in I. (definition 1.5)

Proof: The proof is entirely similar to the proof of theorem 1.2.2
Suppose $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots . \phi_{n}\right)(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$ in I. Let $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots, c_{\mathrm{n}}$ be constants such that $c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)+\ldots .+c_{n} \phi_{n}(x)=0$ for all $x$ in I.

By differentiating above equation $(n-1)$ times we get a system of equations as follows.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_{1}(x) & \phi_{2}(x) & \phi_{3}(x) & \cdots & \phi_{n}(x) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}(x) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x) & \phi_{3}^{\prime}(x) & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime}(x) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x) & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x) & \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime}(x) & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}^{(n-1)}(x) & \phi_{2}^{(n-1)}(x) & \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-1)}(x) & & \phi_{n}^{(n-1)}(x)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
c_{3} \\
\vdots \\
c_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The coefficient matrix is invertible because the determinant of coefficient matrix is (definition 1.5) $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots \phi_{n}\right)(x) \neq 0$. On premultiplying the inverse of the coefficient matrix we get, $c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3} \ldots . .=c_{n}=0$. This proves that $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots . \phi_{n}$ are linearly independent.

Conversely, assume that $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots \phi_{n}$ are linearly independent on I. Suppose there is a point $x_{0}$ in I such that $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots \phi_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. Then the system of equations

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{3}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & \phi_{n}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}{ }^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}{ }^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right) & & \phi_{n}^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
c_{3} \\
\vdots \\
c_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

has a solution $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots ., c_{n}$ where at least one of these numbers is not zero. Let $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots ., c_{n}$ be such a solution and consider a function

$$
\psi(x)=c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)+\ldots .+c_{n} \phi_{n}(x) .
$$

Now $L(\psi)=0$ and $\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\psi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\ldots . .=\psi^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$.
Therefore $\left\|\psi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|=0$. But then by theorem 1.3.3, $\|\psi(x)\|=0$, for all $x$ in I. Therefore
by defination of $\|\psi(x)\|, \psi(x)=0$ for all $x$ in I. But then $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots \phi_{n}$ are linearly dependent. Thus the supposition $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots . \phi_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ must be false. Therefore $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots \phi_{n}\right)(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$ in I.

## Theorem 1.3.6 (Existence Theorem)

Let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ be any $n$ constants and let $x_{0}$ be any real number. There exists a solution $\phi$ of $L(y)=0$ on $-\infty<x<\infty$ satisfying

$$
\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{2}, \phi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{3}, \ldots,, \phi^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{n}
$$

Proof: Let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots . \phi_{n}$ be any set of $n$ linearly independent solutions of $L(y)=0$ on $-\infty<x<\infty$. We will show that there exist unique constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots . ., c_{n}$ such that

$$
\phi=c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}+c_{3} \phi_{3}+\ldots .+c_{n} \phi_{n}
$$

is a solution of $L(y)=0$ satisfying the given initial conditions $\phi^{(i)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{i}, i=0,1,2, \ldots, n-1$. These constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots \ldots, c_{n}$ would have to sartisfy

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{3}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & \phi_{n}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}{ }^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right) & & \phi_{n}{ }^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
c_{3} \\
\vdots \\
c_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{1} \\
\alpha_{2} \\
\alpha_{3} \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots \phi_{n}$ are linearly independent, by theorem 1.3.5, the determinant of the coefficients i.e. $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots . \phi_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Thus the coefficient matrix is invertible. Therefore there is a unique set of constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots . ., c_{n}$ satisfying above system of equations. For this choice of $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots . ., c_{n}$ the function

$$
\phi(x)=c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)+c_{3} \phi_{3}(x)+\ldots .+c_{n} \phi_{n}(x)
$$

will be the desired solution.

## Theorem 1.3.7 :

Let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots \phi_{n}$ be $n$ linearly independent solutions of $L(y)=0$ on an interval I. If $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots \ldots, c_{n}$ are any constants

$$
\phi(x)=c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)+c_{3} \phi_{3}(x)+\ldots .+c_{n} \phi_{n}(x)
$$

is a solution and every solution may be represented in this form.
Proof: Since $\phi_{i}, i=1,2,3 \ldots . . n$ is solution of $L(y)=0, L\left(\phi_{i}\right)=0, i=1,2,3 \ldots . n$.
Therefore $L(\phi)=c_{1} L\left(\phi_{1}\right)+c_{2} L\left(\phi_{2}\right)+c_{3} L\left(\phi_{3}\right)+\ldots .+c_{n} L\left(\phi_{n}\right)=0$ and

$$
\phi=c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}+c_{3} \phi_{3}+\ldots .+c_{n} \phi_{n} \text { is a solution of } L(\phi)=0 .
$$

## Differential Equations

Let $\phi$ be any solution of $L(y)=0$ and $x_{0}$ be in I.
Suppose $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{2}, \phi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{3}, \ldots ., \phi^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{n}$.
By existence theorem 1.3.6 there exist unique constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots . ., c_{n}$ such that

$$
\psi=c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}+c_{3} \phi_{3}+\ldots .+c_{n} \phi_{n}
$$

is a solution of $L(y)=0$ on $I$ satisfying

$$
\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1}, \psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{2}, \psi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{3}, \ldots ., \psi^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{n}
$$

The uniqueness theorem 1.3.4 implies that $\phi=\psi$. Thus $\phi=c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}+c_{3} \phi_{3}+\ldots .+c_{n} \phi_{n}$.

## Theorem 1.3.8

Let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots . \phi_{n}$ be $n$ solutions of $L(y)=0$ on an interval I constaining a point $x_{0}$. Then $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots . \phi_{n}\right)(x)=e^{-a_{1}\left(x-x_{0}\right)} \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots . \phi_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)$

## Proof:

$$
\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(x)=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_{1}(x) & \phi_{2}(x) & \phi_{3}(x) & \cdots & \phi_{n}(x) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}(x) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x) & \phi_{3}^{\prime}(x) & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime}(x) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x) & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x) & \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime}(x) & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}^{(n-1)}(x) & \phi_{2}^{(n-1)}(x) & \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-1)}(x) & & \phi_{n}{ }^{(n-1)}(x)
\end{array}\right|
$$

By differentiating above determinant row-wise we get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{W}^{\prime}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}\right)(x)=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}^{(n-1)} & \phi_{2}{ }^{(n-1)} & \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-1)} & & \phi_{n}{ }^{(n-1)}
\end{array}\right| \\
& \left.+\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} & \phi_{3} & \cdots & \phi_{n} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}^{(n-1)} & \phi_{2}{ }^{(n-1)} & \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-1)} & \cdots & \phi_{n}{ }^{(n-1)}
\end{array}\right|+\ldots+\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} & \phi_{3} & \cdots & \phi_{n} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}^{(n)} & \phi_{2}{ }^{(n)} & \phi_{3}{ }^{(n)} & \cdots & \phi_{n}{ }^{(n)}
\end{array} \right\rvert\,
\end{aligned}
$$

Since two rows are identical the value of first $(n-1)$ determinants is zero. Therefore

$$
\mathrm{W}^{\prime}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(x)=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} & \phi_{3} & \cdots & \phi_{n} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}^{(n)} & \phi_{2}{ }^{(n)} & \phi_{3}{ }^{(n)} & & \phi_{n}{ }^{(n)}
\end{array}\right|
$$

## Differential Equations

Since each $\phi_{i}, i=1,2,3, \ldots, n$ is a solution of $L(y)=0 \phi_{i}^{(n)}=-\left(a_{1} \phi_{i}{ }^{(n-1)}+a_{2} \phi_{i}{ }^{(n-2)}\right.$ $\left.+a_{3} \phi_{i}^{(n-3)} \ldots .+a_{n} \phi_{i}\right)$. Hence,

$$
\mathrm{W}^{\prime}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(x)=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_{1}(x) & \phi_{2}(x) & \phi_{3}(x) & \cdots & \phi_{n}(x) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}(x) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x) & \phi_{3}^{\prime}(x) & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime}(x) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}^{(n-2)}(x) & \phi_{2}{ }^{(n-2)}(x) & \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-2)}(x) & & \phi_{n}^{(n-2)}(x) \\
-a_{1} \phi_{1}{ }^{(n-1)}(x) & -a_{1} \phi_{2}{ }^{(n-1)}(x) & -a_{1} \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-1)}(x) & \cdots & -a_{1} \phi_{n}{ }^{(n-1)}(x)
\end{array}\right|
$$

Since, $\quad\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}\phi_{1}(x) & \phi_{2}(x) & \phi_{3}(x) & \cdots & \phi_{n}(x) \\ \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x) & \phi_{3}^{\prime}(x) & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime}(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \phi_{1}{ }^{(n-2)}(x) & \phi_{2}{ }^{(n-2)}(x) & \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-2)}(x) & & \phi_{n}{ }^{(n-2)}(x) \\ -a_{k} \phi_{1}{ }^{(n-k)}(x) & -a_{k} \phi_{2}{ }^{(n-k)}(x) & -a_{k} \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-k)}(x) & \cdots & -a_{k} \phi_{n}{ }^{(n-k)}(x)\end{array}\right|=0$
for $k=2,3,4, \ldots . n$, as two rows of the determinant are constant multiplies of each other are Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}^{\prime}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(x) & =-a_{1}\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_{1}(x) & \phi_{2}(x) & \phi_{3}(x) & \cdots & \phi_{n}(x) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}(x) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x) & \phi_{3}^{\prime}(x) & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime}(x) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x) & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x) & \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime}(x) & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}^{(n-1)}(x) & \phi_{2}^{(n-1)}(x) & \phi_{3}^{(n-1)}(x) & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{(n-1)}(x)
\end{array}\right| \\
& =-a_{1} \mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}+a_{1} \mathrm{~W}=0$. On integrating this equation between the limits $x_{0}$ to $x$ we get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{a_{1} x} \mathrm{~W}(x)=e^{a_{1} x_{0}} \mathrm{~W}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& \text { or } \\
& \mathrm{W}(x)=e^{-a_{1}\left(x-x_{0}\right)} \mathrm{W}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(x)=e^{-a_{1}\left(x-x_{0}\right)} \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)$

## Theorem 1.3.9

Let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots \phi_{n}$ be $n$ solutions of $L(y)=0$ on an interval I containing $x_{0}$. Then they are linearly independent on I if and only if $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$

Proof: By theorem 1.3.5 the solutions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots . \phi_{n}$ of $L(y)=0$ are linearly independent on an interval I if and only if $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$ in I.

But $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(x)=e^{-a_{1}\left(x-x_{0}\right)} \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)$ (by theorem 1.3.8.) Therefore $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(x) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$ and the result follows.

## EXAMPLES

## Q.1. Consider the equation

$$
y^{(5)}-y^{(4)}-y^{\prime}+y=0
$$

(a) Compute five linearly independent solutions.
(b) Compute the wronkian of the solutions found in (a).
(c) Find that solution $\phi$ satisfying

$$
\phi(0)=1, \phi^{\prime}(0)=\phi^{\prime \prime}(0)=\phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\phi^{(4)}(0)=0 .
$$

Ans (a) :
The characteristic equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(r) & =r^{5}-r^{4}-r+1 \\
& =r^{4}(r-1)-(r-1) \\
& =\left(r^{4}-1\right)(r-1) \\
& =\left(r^{2}-1\right)\left(r^{2}+1\right)(r-1) \\
& =(r+1)(r-1)\left(r^{2}+1\right)(r-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the characteristic roots are $1,1,-1, i,-i$
Therefore $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{x}, \phi_{2}(x)=x e^{x}, \phi_{3}(x)=e^{-x}, \phi_{4}(x)=\sin x \phi_{5}(x)=\cos x$ are solutions of the given differential equation.

Ans (b) :

$$
\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \phi_{4}, \phi_{5}\right)(x)=e^{-a\left(x-x_{0}\right)} \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \phi_{4}, \phi_{5}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

For the given equation $a_{1}=-1$. Let $x_{0}=0$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \phi_{4}, \phi_{5}\right)(x)=e^{x} \mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \phi_{4}, \phi_{5}\right)(0) . \\
& \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \phi_{4}, \phi_{5}\right)(x)=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
e^{x} & x e^{x} & e^{-x} & \sin x & \cos x \\
e^{x} & (1+x) e^{x} & -e^{-x} & \cos x & -\sin x \\
e^{x} & (2+x) e^{x} & e^{-x} & -\sin x & -\cos x \\
e^{x} & (3+x) e^{x} & -e^{-x} & -\cos x & \sin x \\
e^{x} & (4+x) e^{x} & e^{-x} & \sin x & \cos x
\end{array}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \phi_{4}, \phi_{5}\right)(0)=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 3 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right|
$$

The row transformations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{2}-R_{1}, R_{3}-R_{1}, R_{4}-R_{1}, R_{5}-R_{1} \text { gives } \\
& \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \phi_{4}, \phi_{5}\right)(0)=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\
0 & 3 & -2 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right| \\
&=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -2 & 1 & -1 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\
3 & -2 & -1 & -1 \\
4 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right| \\
&=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & -2 \\
-2 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right|+2\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 0 & -2 \\
3 & -1 & -1 \\
4 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right|+\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 0 & 0 \\
3 & -2 & -1 \\
4 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right| \\
&+\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 0 & 0 \\
3 & -2 & -1 \\
4 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right|=-32
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \phi_{4}, \phi_{5}\right)=e^{x} \mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \phi_{4}, \phi_{5}\right)(0)=-32 e^{x}$

## Ans (c) :

The general solution $\phi$ is $\phi(x)=c_{1} e^{x}+c_{2} x e^{x}+c_{3} e^{-x}+c_{4} \sin x+c_{5} \cos x$
The initial conditions $\phi(0)=1, \phi^{\prime}(0)=\phi^{\prime \prime}(0)=\phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=\phi^{(\text {iv })}(0)=0$ gives the following system of equations.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & +1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 3 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
c_{3} \\
c_{4} \\
c_{5}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The row transformation $R_{2}-R_{1}, R_{3}-R_{1}, R_{4}-R_{1}, R_{5}-R_{1}$ gives

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & +1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\
0 & 3 & -2 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
c_{3} \\
c_{4} \\
c_{5}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-1 \\
-1 \\
-1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Solving the above system of equations simultaneously we get the values of $c_{1}, c_{2}$, $c_{3}, c_{4}, c_{5}$.

From last equation we get $4 c_{2}=-1$ gives $c_{2}=-\frac{1}{4}$
From the third row of the above system we get,

$$
2 c_{2}-2 c_{5}=-1 \text { gives } c_{5}=\frac{1}{4}
$$

From second and fourth row we get,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
c_{2}-2 c_{3}+c_{4}-c_{5}=-1 \\
3 c_{2}-2 c_{3}-c_{4}-c_{5}=-1
\end{array}
$$

Substitution of $c_{2}$ and $c_{5}$ in above equations give

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -2 c_{3}+c_{4}=-\frac{1}{2} \\
& -2 c_{3}-c_{4}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\quad c_{3}=\frac{1}{8}, c_{4}=-\frac{1}{4}$
From first row we get, $c_{1}=\frac{5}{8}$
Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(x) & =c_{1} e^{x}+c_{2} x e^{x}+c_{3} e^{-x}+c_{4} \sin x+c_{5} \cos x \\
& =\frac{5}{8} e^{x}-\frac{1}{4} x e^{x}+\frac{1}{8} e^{-x}-\frac{1}{4} \sin x+\frac{1}{4} \cos x
\end{aligned}
$$

is the required solution.

## Q.2. Find all solutions of the following equations.

(a) $y^{\prime \prime \prime}-8 y=0$
(b) $y^{(4)}+16 y=0$
(c) $y^{\prime \prime \prime}-5 y^{\prime \prime}+6 y^{\prime}=0$
(d) $y^{(i v)}-16 y=0$
(e) $y^{\prime \prime \prime}-3 y^{\prime}-2 y=0$
(f) $y^{(4)}+5 y^{\prime \prime}+4 y=0$

Ans. (a) :
The characteristic polynomial is $p(r)=r^{3}-8$ and its roots are $2,-1+\sqrt{3} i,-1-\sqrt{3} i$ Thus, three linearly independent solutions are given by $e^{2 x}, e^{(-1+\sqrt{3} i) x}, e^{(-1-\sqrt{3} i) x}$ and any solution $\phi$ has the form $\phi(x)=c_{1} e^{2 x}+c_{2} e^{(-1+\sqrt{3} i) x}+c_{3} e^{(-1-\sqrt{3} i) x}$ where $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ are any constants.

Ans. (b) : The characteristic polynomial is $p(r)=r^{4}+16$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(r)=r^{4}-(2 \sqrt{i})^{4} & =\left(r^{2}+(2 \sqrt{i})^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}-(2 \sqrt{i})^{2}\right) \\
& =\left(r^{2}-i^{2}(2 \sqrt{i})^{2}\right)\left(r^{2}-(\sqrt{i} 2)^{2}\right) \\
& =(r+2 i \sqrt{i})(r-2 i \sqrt{i})(r+2 \sqrt{i})(r-2 \sqrt{i})
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $p(r)=(r+2 i \sqrt{i})(r-2 i \sqrt{i})(r+2 \sqrt{i})(r-2 \sqrt{i})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i=\cos \frac{\pi}{2}+i \sin \frac{\pi}{2}=e^{i \frac{\pi}{2}} \\
\therefore & \sqrt{i}=\left(e^{i \frac{\pi}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=e^{i \frac{\pi}{4}}=\cos \frac{\pi}{4}+i \sin \frac{\pi}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\sqrt{i}=\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad i \sqrt{i}=\frac{i(1+i)}{\sqrt{2}}=\frac{-1+i}{\sqrt{2}}
$$

The roots of characteristic polynomial are $-\sqrt{2}(-1+i), \sqrt{2}(-1+i), \sqrt{2}(1+i),-\sqrt{2}(1+i)$
Thus four linearly independent solutions are

$$
e^{(\sqrt{2}-i \sqrt{2}) x}, e^{(-\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{2} i) x}, e^{(\sqrt{2}+i \sqrt{2}) x}, e^{(-\sqrt{2}-i \sqrt{2}) x}
$$

and every solution $\phi$ has the form

$$
\phi(x)=c_{1} e^{(\sqrt{2}-i \sqrt{2}) x}+c_{2} e^{(-\sqrt{2}+i \sqrt{2}) x}+c_{3} e^{(\sqrt{2}+i \sqrt{2}) x}+c_{4} e^{(-\sqrt{2}-i \sqrt{2}) x}
$$

Ans. (c): The characteristic polynomial is $p(r)=r^{3}-5 r^{2}+6 r$ and its roots are $0,3,2$. Thus three linearly independent solutions are given by $1, e^{3 x}, e^{2 x}$ and any solution $\phi$ has the form $\phi(x)=c_{1} e^{3 x}+c_{2} e^{2 x}+c_{3}$

Ans. (d): The characteristic polynomial is $p(r)=r^{4}-16=\left(r^{2}+4\right)\left(r^{2}-4\right)=(r+2 i)(r-2 i)$ $(r+2)(r-2)$ and its roots are $2,-2,2 i,-2 i$. Thus four linearly independent solutions are given by $e^{2 x}, e^{-2 x}, \cos 2 x, \sin 2 x$ and every solution $\phi$ has the form

$$
\phi(x)=c_{1} e^{2 x}+c_{2} e^{-2 x}+c_{3} \cos 2 x+c_{4} \sin 2 x
$$

Ans. (e) : The characteristic polynomial is

$$
\left.p(r)=r^{3}-3 r-2=(r+1)\right)\left(r^{2}-r-2\right)
$$

and its roots are $-1, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$.
Thus, three linearly independent solutions are $e^{-x}, e^{(1+\sqrt{5}) \frac{x}{2}}, e^{\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right) x}$, and every solution $\phi$ has the form

$$
\phi(x)=c_{1} e^{-x}+c_{2} e^{\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right) x}+c_{3} e^{\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right) x}
$$

## Differential Equations

Ans. (f) : The characteristic polynomial is

$$
p(r)=r^{4}+5 r^{2}+4=\left(r^{2}+4\right)\left(r^{2}+1\right)
$$

and its roots are $2 i,-2 i, i,-i$. Thus four linearly independent solutions are $\cos 2 x, \sin 2 x, \cos x, \sin x$ and every solution $\phi$ has the form

$$
\phi(x)=c_{1} \cos 2 x,+c_{2} \sin 2 x+c_{3} \cos x+c_{4} \sin x .
$$

Q.3. Consider the equation $y^{\prime \prime \prime}-4 y^{\prime}=0$
(a) Compute three linearly independent solutions.
(b) Compute the wronkian of the solutions found in (a).
(c) Find the solution $\phi$ satisfying

$$
\phi(0)=0, \phi^{\prime}(0)=1, \phi^{\prime \prime}(0)=0
$$

Ans. (a) : The characteristic polynomial $p(r)=r^{3}-4 r$ and its roots are $0,2,-2$. Thus, three linearly independent solution are $e^{\circ}=1, e^{2 x}, e^{-2 x}$ and every solution $\phi$ has the form

$$
\phi(x)=c_{1}+c_{2} e^{2 x}+c_{3} e^{-2 x}
$$

Ans. (b) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right)(x)=e^{0(x-0)} \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right)(0) \\
& \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right)(x)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & e^{2 x} & e^{-2 x} \\
0 & 2 e^{2 x} & -2 e^{-2 x} \\
0 & 4 e^{2 x} & 4 e^{-2 x}
\end{array}\right| \\
& \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right)(0)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 2 & -2 \\
0 & 4 & 4
\end{array}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right)(x)=16$.
Ans. (c) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi(0)=0, \phi^{\prime}(0)=1, \phi^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \\
& \phi(x)=c_{1}+c_{2} e^{2 x}+c_{3} e^{-2 x}, \phi(0)=c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}=0 \text { and so on } \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 2 & -2 \\
0 & 4 & 4
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
c_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

$R_{3}-2 R_{2}$ gives

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 2 & -2 \\
0 & 0 & 8
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
c_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
-2
\end{array}\right]
$$

Therefore $\quad c_{3}=-\frac{1}{4}, 2 c_{2}-2 c_{3}=1 \Rightarrow c_{2}-c_{3}=\frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow c_{2}=\frac{1}{4}$

$$
c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}=0 \Rightarrow c_{1}=0
$$

Thus, $\quad \phi(x)=c_{1}+c_{2} e^{2 x}+c_{3} e^{-2 x}=\frac{1}{4}\left(e^{2 x}-e^{-2 x}\right)$ is the required solution.

## EXERCISE

1. Are the following statements true or false ?
(a) If $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ are linearly independent functions on an interval I, then any subset of them forms a linearly independent set of functions on I.
(b) If $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ are linearly dependent functions on an interval I, then any subset of them forms a linearly dependent set of functions on I.
2. Are the following sets of functions defined on $-\infty<x<\infty$ linearly independent or dependent? why?
(a) $\phi_{1}(x)=1, \phi_{2}(x)=x, \phi_{3}(x)=x^{2}$
(b) $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{i x}, \quad \phi_{2}(x)=\sin x, \quad \phi_{3}(x)=2 \cos x$
(c) $\phi_{1}(x)=x, \quad \phi_{2}(x)=e^{2 x}, \quad \phi_{3}(x)=|x|$
3. Find a basis of solutions of the differential equations.
(a) $y^{\prime \prime}+5 y^{\prime}+4=0$
(b) $y^{\prime \prime \prime}+6 y^{\prime \prime}+12 y^{\prime}+8 y=0$
(c) $y^{(4)}-y=0$
4. Find the general solution of each of the following equations.
(i) $6 y^{\prime \prime}-11 y^{\prime}+4 y=0$
(Ans. $y(x)=c_{1} e^{\frac{x}{2}}+c_{2} e^{\frac{4 x}{3}}$ )
(ii) $y^{\prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime}-y=0$
(Ans. $y(x)=c_{1} e^{(-1+\sqrt{2}) x}+c_{2} e^{(-1-\sqrt{2}) x}$ )
(iii) $y^{\prime \prime \prime}+y^{\prime \prime}-6 y^{\prime}=0$
(Ans. $y(x)=c_{1}+c_{2} e^{2 x}+c_{3} e^{-3 x}$ )
(iv) $y^{(4)}-2 y^{\prime \prime}=0$
(Ans. $y(x)=c_{1}+c_{2} x+c_{3} e^{\sqrt{2} x}+c_{4} e^{-\sqrt{2} x}$ )
(v) $y^{\prime \prime \prime}+8 y=0$
(Ans. $\left.y(x)=c_{1} e^{-2 x}+c_{2} e^{2 x}+c_{3} x e^{2 x}\right)$
5. For each of the following equations find a particular solution which satisfies the given initial conditions.
(i) $y^{\prime \prime}=0, y(1)=2, \quad y^{\prime}(1)=-1$
(ii) $y^{\prime \prime}+4 y^{\prime}+4 y=0, \quad y(0)=1, \quad y^{\prime}(0)=1$

## Differential Equations

(iii) $y^{\prime \prime}-2 y^{\prime}+5 y=0, y(0)=2, y^{\prime}(0)=4$
(iv) $y^{\prime \prime}-4 y^{\prime}+20 y=0, \quad y(\pi / 2)=0, y^{\prime}(\pi / 2)=1$
(v) $3 y^{\prime \prime \prime}+5 y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime}-y=0, \quad y(0)=0, y^{\prime}(0)=1, \quad y^{\prime \prime}(0)=-1$
[Ans.: (i) $y(x)=3-x$,
(ii) $y(x)=(1+3 x) e^{-2 x}$
(iii) $y(x)=e^{x}(2 \cos 2 x+\sin 2 x)$
(iv) $\frac{1}{4} e^{2 x-\pi} \sin 4 x$
(v) $\left.y=\frac{9}{16} e^{\frac{x}{3}}+\left(\frac{x}{4}-\frac{9}{16}\right) e^{-x} \cdot\right]$

Ans. 1 :
(a) True
(b) false

Ans. 2 :
(a) independent
(b) dependent
(iii) independent

## Ans. 3 :

(a) $\quad \phi_{1}(x)=e^{-4 x}, \phi_{2}(x)=e^{-x}$
(b) $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{-2 x}, \phi_{2}(x)=x e^{-2 x}, \phi_{3}(x)=x^{2} e^{-2 x}$
(c) $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{x}, \quad \phi_{2}(x)=e^{-x}, \phi_{3}(x)=\cos x, \phi_{4}(x)=\sin x$

## Unit 4: The Non-Homogeneous Equation of Order $n$

We now return to the $n^{\text {th }}$ order non-homogeneous linear differential equation with constant coefficients. In the first part we will discuss the method of finding all solutions of the second order non-homogeneous equation.

$$
L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} y^{\prime}+a_{2} y=b(x),
$$

Where $b$ is some continuous function on an interval I. The general solution of the above equation is

$$
y(x)=y_{c}(x)+y_{p}(x)
$$

where, $y_{c}(x)$, the complementary function is the general solution of the related homogenous equation and $y_{p}(x)$ is a particular solution of the equation.

Suppose we know that $\psi_{p}$ is a particular solution of the equation $L(y)=b(x)$ and let $\psi$ be any other solution. Then,

$$
L\left(\psi-\psi_{p}\right)=L(\psi)-L\left(\psi_{p}\right)=b(x)-b(x)=0
$$

on I. This shows that $\psi-\psi_{p}$ is a solution of the homogenous equation $L(y)=0$. Therefore if $\phi_{1}$, $\phi_{2}$ are linearly independent solutions of $L(y)=0$, there are unique constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ such that

$$
\psi-\psi_{p}=c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}
$$

In other words every solution $\psi$ of $L(y)=b(x)$ can be written in the form

$$
\psi=\psi_{p}+c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}
$$

The problem of finding all solutions of $L(y)=b(x)$ reduces to finding a particular solution $\psi_{p}$.

## Theorem 1.4.1

Let $b(x)$ be continuous on an interval I. Every solution $\psi$ of $L(y)=b(x)$ on I can be written as $\psi=\psi_{p}+c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}$.

Where $\psi_{p}$ is a particular solution, $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are two linearly independent solutions of $L(y)=0$ and $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are constants. A particular solution $\psi_{p}$ is given by

$$
\psi_{p}(x)=\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{\left[\phi_{1}(t) \phi_{2}(x)-\phi_{1}(x) \phi_{2}(t)\right] b(t)}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(t)} d t .
$$

Conversely every such $\psi$ is a solutions of $L(y)=b(x)$

## Proof:

Let $\psi$ and $\psi_{p}$ be two solutions of

$$
L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} y^{\prime}+a_{2} y=b
$$

Then $L\left(\psi-\psi_{p}\right)=L(\psi)-L\left(\psi_{p}\right)=0$
This shows that $\psi-\psi_{p}$ is a solution of a homogeneous equation $L(y)=0$. By theorem 1.1.1 there exist two linearly independent solutions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ and every solution of $L(y)=0$ is of the form $c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}$ where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are constants. Such a function $c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}$ cannot be a solution of $L(y)=b(x)$ unless $b(x)=0$ on I.

Suppose $\phi(x)=u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x)$ is a solution of $L(y)=b(x)$ on I .
(This procedure is called as the variation of constants.)
Then

$$
\left(u_{1} \phi_{1}+u_{2} \phi_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}+a_{1}\left(u_{1} \phi_{1}+u_{2} \phi_{2}\right)^{\prime}+a_{2}\left(u_{1} \phi_{1}+u_{2} \phi_{2}\right)=b(x)
$$

i.e. $\quad a_{2}\left(u_{1} \phi_{1}+u_{2} \phi_{2}\right)+a_{1}\left(u_{1}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{1}+u_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+u_{2}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{2}+u_{2} \phi_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right)$

$$
+\left(u_{1}^{\prime \prime} \phi_{1}+2 u_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+u_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+u_{2}^{\prime \prime} \phi_{2}+2 u_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+u_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)=b(x)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}\left(\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+a_{2} \phi_{1}\right)+u_{2}\left(\phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} \phi_{2}^{\prime}+a_{2} \phi_{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(\phi_{1} u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\phi_{1} u_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)+2\left(\phi_{1}^{\prime} u_{1}^{\prime}+\phi_{2}^{\prime} u_{2}^{\prime}\right)+a_{1}\left(\phi_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}+\phi_{2} u_{2}^{\prime}\right)=b(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $\quad\left(\phi_{1} u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\phi_{2} u_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)+2\left(\phi_{1}^{\prime} u_{1}^{\prime}+\phi_{2}^{\prime} u_{2}^{\prime}\right)+a_{1}\left(\phi_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}+\phi_{2} u_{2}^{\prime}\right)=b(x)$

Observe that if

$$
\phi_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}+\phi_{2} u_{2}^{\prime}=0
$$

then $\left(\phi_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}+\phi_{2} u_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\phi_{1}^{\prime} u_{1}^{\prime}+\phi_{2}^{\prime} u_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\left(\phi_{1} u_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\phi_{2} u_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$
and $\phi_{1}^{\prime} u_{1}^{\prime}+\phi_{2}{ }^{\prime} u_{2}^{\prime}=b(x)$
Thus if we can find two functions $u_{1}(x)$ and $u_{2}(x)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1} u_{1}^{\prime}+\phi_{2} u_{2}^{\prime}=0 \\
& \phi_{1}^{\prime} u_{1}^{\prime}+\phi_{2}^{\prime} u_{2}^{\prime}=b(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $u_{1} \phi_{1}+u_{2} \phi_{2}$ will satisfy $L(y)=b(x)$.
On solving above two equations for $u_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $u_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ we get,

$$
u_{1}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{-\phi_{2} b}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)}, \quad u_{2}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\phi_{1} b}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)},
$$

Integration of above equation between the limits $x_{0}$ to $x$ provides
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}(x)=-\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{\phi_{2}(t) b(t)}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(t)} d t+u_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& u_{2}(x)=\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{\phi_{1}(t) b(t)}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(t)} d t+u_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution $u_{1} \phi_{1}+u_{2} \phi_{2}$ takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(x)= & \phi_{1}(x)
\end{aligned} \quad\left[-\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{\phi_{2}(t) b(t)}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(t)} d t+u_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right] \quad \begin{aligned}
& +\phi_{2}(x)\left[+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{\phi_{1}(t) b(t)}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(t)} d t+u_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The term $\phi_{1}(x) u_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)+\phi_{2}(x) u_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is a complementary function or the solution of corresponding homogeneous equation $L(y)=0$ and the particular solution takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{p}(x)=-\phi_{1}(x) \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{\phi_{2}(t) b(t)}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(t)} d t+\phi_{2}(x) \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{\phi_{1}(t) b(t)}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(t)} d t \\
& \psi_{p}(x)=\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{\left[\phi_{1}(t) \phi_{2}(x)-\phi_{2}(t) \phi_{1}(x)\right] b(t)}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(t)} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

The function $\psi_{p}(x)$ is a solution of $L(y)=b(x)$.
Theorem 1.4.1 provides a method to find a solution of second order non-homogeneous differential equation with constant coefficients. The same procedure can be generalized for the non-homogeneous equation of order $n$.

## Theorem 1.4.2

Let $b$ be continuous on an interval I and let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}$ be $n$ linearly independent solutions of $L(y)=y^{(n)}+a_{1} y^{(n-1)}+a_{2} y^{(n-2)}+\ldots .+a_{n} y=0$ on I. Every solution $\psi$ of $L(y)=$ $b(x)$ can be written as

$$
\psi=\psi_{p}+c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}+c_{3} \phi_{3}+\ldots .+c_{n} \phi_{n}
$$

Where $\psi_{p}$ is a particular solution of $L(y)=b(x)$ and $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots \ldots, c_{n}$ are constants. Every such $\psi$ is a solution of $L(y)=b(x)$. A particular solution $\psi_{p}$ is given by

$$
\psi_{p}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi_{k}(x) \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{W_{k}(t) b(t)}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(t)} d t .
$$

Proof: The proof is similar to the proof of theorem 1.4.1 Let $b$ be continuous function on an interval I. Consider the differential equation

$$
L(y)=y^{(n)}+a_{1} y^{(n-1)}+a_{2} y^{(n-2)}+\ldots .+a_{n} y=b(x)
$$

where, $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are constants. If $\psi_{p}$ is a particular solution of $L(y)=b(x)$ and $\psi$ is any other solution of $L(y)=b(x)$, then

$$
L\left(\psi-\psi_{p}\right)=L(\psi)-L\left(\psi_{p}\right)=b(x)-b(x)=0
$$

and $\psi-\psi_{p}$ is a solution of corresponding homogeneous equation $L(y)=0$. (is called subtraction principle).

Thus any solution $\psi$ of $L(y)=b(x)$ can be written in the form

$$
\psi=\psi_{p}+c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}+c_{3} \phi_{3}+\ldots .+c_{n} \phi_{n}
$$

where, $\psi_{p}$ is a particular solution of $L(y)=b(x)$, the functions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}$ are $n$ linearly independent solutions of $L(y)=0$ (determined in theorem 1.3.1) and $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots, c_{n}$ are constants.

To find a particular solution $\psi_{p}$ we use the variation of constants method. Suppose

$$
\psi_{p}=u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x)+u_{3}(x) \phi_{3}(x)+\ldots+u_{n}(x) \phi_{n}(x)
$$

is a solution of $L(y)=b(x)$. Since $\psi_{p}$ is a solution it satisfies the equation i.e $L\left(\psi_{p}\right)=b(x)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{p} & =u_{1} \phi_{1}+u_{2} \phi_{2}+u_{3} \phi_{3}+\ldots .+u_{n} \phi_{n} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} \phi_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{p}^{\prime} & =u_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+u_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{1}+u_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}+u_{2}^{\prime} \phi_{2}+\ldots .+u_{n} \phi_{n}^{\prime}+u_{n}^{\prime} \phi_{n} \\
& =\left(u_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+u_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}+u_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime}+\ldots .+u_{n} \phi_{n}^{\prime}\right)+\left(u_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{1}+u_{2}^{\prime} \phi_{2}+\ldots .+u_{n}^{\prime} \phi_{n}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} \phi_{i}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}^{\prime} \phi_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\quad \sum u_{i}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{i}=0$ then $\psi_{p}{ }^{\prime}=\sum u_{i} \phi_{i}{ }^{\prime}$
We have $\quad \psi_{p}{ }^{\prime \prime}=\sum u_{i} \phi_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}+\sum u_{i}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{i}{ }^{\prime}$

## Differential Equations

Suppose $\sum u_{i}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{i}{ }^{\prime}=0$ then $\psi_{p}{ }^{\prime \prime}=\sum u_{i} \phi_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}$
Continuing the same assumptions we get,

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
\sum u_{i}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{i}=0 & ; & \psi_{p}^{\prime}=\sum u_{i} \phi_{i}^{\prime} \\
\sum u_{i}^{\prime} \phi_{i}^{\prime}=0 & ; & \psi_{p}^{\prime \prime}=\sum u_{i} \phi_{i}^{\prime \prime} \\
\sum u_{i}^{\prime} \phi_{i}^{\prime \prime}=0 & ; & \psi_{p}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\sum u_{i} \phi_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime} \\
\vdots & & \\
\sum u_{i}^{\prime} \phi_{i}^{(n-2)}=0 & ; & \psi_{p}^{(n-1)}=\sum u_{i} \phi_{i}^{(n-1)} \\
\psi_{p}^{(n)}=\sum u_{i}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{i}^{(n-1)}+\sum u_{i} \phi_{i}^{(n)}
\end{array}
$$

If $\sum u_{i}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{i}{ }^{(n-1)}=b(x)$ then $\psi_{p}{ }^{(n)}=\sum u_{i} \phi_{i}^{(n)}+b(x)$ and $L\left(\psi_{p}\right)$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(\psi_{p}\right) & =\left[\sum u_{i} \phi_{i}^{(n)}+b(x)\right]+a_{1} \sum u_{i} \phi_{i}^{(n-1)}+a_{2} \sum u_{i} \phi_{i}^{(n-2)}+\ldots .+a_{n} \sum u_{i} \phi_{i} . \\
& =b(x)+\sum u_{i}\left[\phi_{i}^{(n)}+a_{1} \phi_{i}^{(n-1)}+\ldots . .+a_{n} \phi_{i}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $L\left(\psi_{p}\right)=b(x)$ and therefore $\psi_{p}$ is a solution of $L(y)=b(x)$. Therefore the problem is now reduced to solving the system given below for the functions $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, \ldots, u_{n}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum u_{i}^{\prime} \phi_{i}=0 \\
\sum u_{i}^{\prime} \phi_{i}^{\prime}=0 \\
\sum u_{i}^{\prime} \phi_{i}^{\prime \prime}=0 \\
\vdots \\
\sum u_{i}^{\prime} \phi_{i}^{(n-2)}=0 \\
\sum u_{i}^{\prime} \phi_{i}^{(n-1)}=b(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, we have system of equations

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} & \phi_{3} & \cdots & \phi_{n} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime} \\
\vdots & & & & \\
\phi_{1}{ }^{(n-1)} & \phi_{2}{ }^{(n-1)} & \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-1)} & \cdots & \phi_{n}{ }^{(n-1)}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{1}^{\prime} \\
u_{2}^{\prime} \\
u_{3}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
u_{n}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
b(x)
\end{array}\right]
$$

By solving above system of equations by Cramer's rule we get,

$$
u_{k}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\mathrm{W}_{k}(x) b(x)}{W\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}\right)(x)}, \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots ., n
$$

Where $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{k}}(x)$ is the determinant obtained from $\mathrm{W}\left[\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}\right](x)$ by replacing the $k^{\mathrm{th}}$ column i.e. $\left[\phi_{k} \phi_{k}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{k}{ }^{\prime \prime} \ldots . . \phi_{k}{ }^{(n-1)}\right]^{T}$ by $\left[\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0 & 0 \ldots . .0 & 1\end{array}\right]^{T}$.

If $x_{0}$ is any point in I, we can integrate $u_{k}^{\prime}$ and the functions $u_{k}$ can be written as

$$
u_{k}(x)=\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{~W}_{k}(t) b(t) d t}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(t)} \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots ., n .
$$

The particular solution $\psi_{p}$ now takes the form

$$
\psi_{p}(x)=\sum \phi_{k}(x) \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{~W}_{k}(t) b(t) d t}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(t)}
$$

Now we are in a position to find out a solution of the non-homogenous equation of order $n$.

Observe that a particular solution $\psi_{p}$ satisfies

$$
\psi_{p}\left(x_{0}\right)=\psi_{p}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\psi_{p}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\ldots \ldots . .=\psi_{p}^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 .
$$

## EXAMPLES

Q.1. Compute the solution $\psi$ of $y^{\prime \prime \prime}+y^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime}+y=1$ which satisfies $\psi(0)=0, \psi^{\prime}(0)=1$, $\psi^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$.

Ans. : The characteristic polynomial of the corresponding homogeneous equation is $p(r)=r^{3}+r^{2}+r+1$. The characteristic roots are $i,-i, 1$. The basic solutions of the corresponding homogeneous equation are

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=\cos x \quad \phi_{2}(x)=\sin x \quad \phi_{3}(x)=e^{-x}
$$

To obtain the particular solution of the form

$$
\psi_{p}=u_{1} \phi_{1}+u_{2} \phi_{2}+u_{3} \phi_{3}
$$

We have to find $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right)(x)$ and $\mathrm{W}_{k}(t)$ for $k=1,2,3$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right)(x)=\left|\begin{array}{rrr}
\cos x & \sin x & e^{-x} \\
-\sin x & \cos x & -e^{-x} \\
-\cos x & -\sin x & e^{-x}
\end{array}\right| \\
& \begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right)(x) & =e^{-a_{1}\left(x-x_{0}\right)} \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& =e^{-x} \mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right)(0) \\
\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right)(0) & =\left|\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right| \\
& =1[1-0]+1[0+1]=2
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right)(x)=2 e^{-x}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{W}_{1}(x)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \sin x & e^{-x} \\
0 & \cos x & -e^{-x} \\
-1 & -\sin x & e^{-x}
\end{array}\right|=(-1)^{3+1}\left[-e^{-x} \cos x-e^{-x} \sin x\right]=-e^{-x}(\cos x+\sin x) \\
& \mathrm{W}_{2}(x)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos x & 0 & e^{-x} \\
-\sin x & 0 & -e^{-x} \\
-\cos x & 1 & e^{-x}
\end{array}\right|=e^{-x}(\cos x-\sin x) \\
& \begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}_{3}(x) & =\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos x & \sin x & 0 \\
-\sin x & \cos x & 0 \\
-\cos x & -\sin x & 1
\end{array}\right|=1 \\
u_{1}(x) & =\int^{x} \frac{\mathrm{~W}_{1}(t) b(t)}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right)(t)} d t=\int^{x}-\frac{e^{-t}(\cos t+\sin t)}{2 e^{-t}} d t \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}[+\sin x-\cos x]
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $u_{1}(x)=\frac{1}{2}[\cos x-\sin x]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{2}(x) & =\int^{x} \frac{\mathrm{~W}_{2}(t) b(t)}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right)(t)} d t=\int^{x} \frac{e^{-t}(\cos t-\sin t)}{2 e^{-t}} d t \\
& =\frac{1}{2}[+\sin x+\cos x] \\
u_{3}(x) & =\int^{x} \frac{\mathrm{~W}_{3}(t) b(t) d t}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right)(t)}=\int^{x} \frac{d t}{2 e^{-t}}=\frac{1}{2} e^{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore a particular solution is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{p} & =u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x)+u_{3}(x) \phi_{3}(x) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}(\cos x-\sin x) \cos x+\frac{1}{2}(\cos x+\sin x) \sin x+\frac{1}{2} e^{x} e^{-x}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

The most general solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(x) & =\psi_{p}+c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}+c_{3} \phi_{3} \\
& =1+c_{1} \cos x+c_{2} \sin x+c_{3} e^{-x} \\
\psi(0) & =0 \Rightarrow 1+c_{1}+c_{3}=0 \\
\psi^{\prime}(0) & =1 \Rightarrow \psi^{\prime}(x)=-c_{1} \sin x+c_{2} \cos x-c_{3} e^{-x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\psi^{\prime}(0)=c_{2}-c_{3}=1$

$$
\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)=-c_{1} \cos x-c_{2} \sin x+c_{3} e^{-x}
$$

$$
\psi^{\prime \prime}(0)=-c_{1}+c_{3}=0
$$

Solving the system of equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+c_{1}+c_{3} & =0 \\
c_{2}-c_{3} & =1 \\
-c_{1}+c_{3} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

We get, $c_{1}=-\frac{1}{2}, c_{2}=\frac{1}{2}, c_{3}=-\frac{1}{2}$
Therefore the solution of our problem is given by

$$
\psi(x)=1+\frac{1}{2}\left(\sin x-\cos x-e^{-x}\right)
$$

Q.2. Find all solutions $\psi$ of the following equations
(a) $y^{\prime \prime \prime}-y^{\prime}=x$
(b) $y^{\prime \prime}-3 y^{\prime}+2 y=\sin e^{-x}$
(c) $y^{\prime \prime}+4 y^{\prime}+4 y=3 x e^{-2 x}$

Ans. (a) : The characteristic polynimial $p(r)=r^{3}-r$ has roots $0,1,-1$ and the linearly independent solution of the related homogeneous equation are $\phi_{1}(x)=1, \phi_{2}(x)=e^{x}$, $\phi_{3}(x)=e^{-x}$
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{p} & =u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x)+u_{3}(x) \phi_{3}(x) \\
u_{k}(x) & =\int \frac{\mathrm{W}_{k}(t) b(t) d s}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right)(t)} k=1,2,3 . \\
\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right)(x) & =\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & e^{x} & e^{-x} \\
0 & e^{x} & -e^{-x} \\
0 & e^{x} & e^{-x}
\end{array}\right|=2 \\
b(x) \mathrm{W}_{1}(x) & =\left|\begin{array}{lll}
0 & e^{x} & e^{-x} \\
0 & e^{x} & -e^{-x} \\
x & e^{x} & e^{-x}
\end{array}\right|=-2 x \\
b(x) \mathrm{W}_{2}(x) & =\left|\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & e^{-x} \\
0 & 0 & -e^{x} \\
0 & x & e^{-x}
\end{array}\right|=x e^{-x} \\
b(x) \mathrm{W}_{3}(x) & =\left|\begin{array}{lll}
1 & e^{x} & 0 \\
0 & e^{x} & 0 \\
0 & e^{x} & x
\end{array}\right|=x e^{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1}(x) & =\int^{x} \frac{-2 t d t}{2}=-\frac{x^{2}}{2} \\
u_{2}(x) & =\int^{x} \frac{t e^{-t}}{2} d t=\frac{1}{2} \int^{x} t e^{-t} d t=-\frac{1}{2}(1+x) e^{-x} \\
u_{3}(x) & =\int^{x} \frac{t e^{t} d t}{2}=\frac{1}{2} \int^{x} t e^{t} d t=\frac{1}{2}(x-1) e^{x} \\
\psi_{p} & =u_{1} \phi_{1}+u_{2} \phi_{2}+u_{3} \phi_{3}=-\frac{x^{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{2}(1+x)+\frac{1}{2}(x-1)=-\frac{x^{2}}{2}-1 \text { is the }
\end{aligned}
$$

required particular integral and the solution $\psi=c_{1}+c_{2} e^{x}+c_{3} e^{-x}+\psi_{p}$.
(b) : The characteristic polynomial $p(r)=r^{2}-3 r+2$ has roots $+2,+1$ and therefore the two linearly independent solution of the corresponding homogeneous equation are $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{x}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=e^{2 x}$
Let $\psi_{p}=u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x)$ be a particular integral of the given differential equation then by method of separation of parameters we get,

$$
u_{1}(x)=\int \frac{\mathrm{W}_{1}(x) b(x) d x}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)} \quad \text { and } \quad u_{2}(x)=\int \frac{\mathrm{W}_{2}(x) b(x) d x}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x) & =\left|\begin{array}{cc}
e^{x} & e^{2 x} \\
e^{x} & 2 e^{2 x}
\end{array}\right|=e^{3 x}, \\
b(x) \mathrm{W}_{1}(x) & =\left|\begin{array}{ll}
0 & e^{2 x} \\
\sin e^{-x} & 2 e^{2 x}
\end{array}\right|=-e^{2 x} \sin e^{-x}, \\
b(x) \mathrm{W}_{2}(x) & =\left|\begin{array}{ll}
e^{x} & 0 \\
e^{x} & \sin e^{-x}
\end{array}\right|=e^{x} \sin e^{-x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
u_{1}(x)=\int-e^{-x} \sin e^{-x} d x=-\cos e^{-x}
$$

and

$$
u_{2}(x)=\int+e^{-2 x} \sin e^{-x} d x=-\sin e^{-x}+e^{-x} \cos e^{-x}
$$

[ Integrate above equation with the substitution $t=e^{-x}$ ].
Then the general solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi & =c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}+\psi_{p} \\
& =c_{1} e^{x}+c_{2} e^{2 x}+\left(-\cos e^{-x}\right) e^{x}+\left(-\sin e^{-x}+e^{-x} \cos e^{-x}\right) e^{2 x} \\
& =c_{1} e^{x}+c_{2} e^{2 x}-e^{2 x} \sin e^{-x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) : The characteristic polynomial $p(r)=r^{2}+4 r+4$ has roots $-2,-2$ and therefore the two linearly independent solution of the corresponding homogeneous equation are $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{-2 x}, \quad \phi_{2}(x)=x e^{-2 x}$

Let $\psi_{p}=u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x)$ be a particular integral of the given differential equation then by method of separation of parameters we get,

$$
u_{1}(x)=\int \frac{\mathrm{W}_{1}(x) b(x) d x}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)} \quad, \quad u_{2}(x)=\int \frac{\mathrm{W}_{2}(x) b(x) d x}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)}
$$

where, $\quad b(x)=3 x e^{-2 x}, \mathrm{~W}_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}e^{-2 x} & x e^{-2 x} \\ -2 e^{-2 x} & (1-2 x) e^{-2 x}\end{array}\right|=e^{-4 x}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b(x) \mathrm{W}_{1}(x)=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
0 & x e^{-2 x} \\
3 x e^{-2 x} & (1-2 x) e^{-2 x}
\end{array}\right|=-3 x^{2} e^{-4 x}, \\
& b(x) \mathrm{W}_{2}(x)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
-e^{2 x} & 0 \\
-2 e^{-2 x} & 3 x e^{-2 x}
\end{array}\right|=3 x e^{-4 x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\quad u_{1}(x)=\int-\frac{3 x^{2} e^{-4 x}}{e^{-4 x}} d x=-x^{3} \quad$ and

$$
u_{2}(x)=\int+\frac{3 x e^{-4 x}}{e^{-4 x}} d x=\frac{3}{2} x^{2}
$$

Therefore $\quad \psi_{p}=u_{1} \phi_{1}+u_{2} \phi_{2}=-x^{3} e^{-2 x}+\frac{3}{2} x^{3} e^{-2 x}$

$$
=\frac{1}{2} x^{3} e^{-2 x}
$$

The general solution

$$
\psi=c_{1} e^{-2 x}+c_{2} x e^{-2 x}+\frac{1}{2} x^{3} e^{-2 x}
$$

## Q.3. Find the general solution of

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+y=\tan x \quad, \quad-\frac{\pi}{2}<x<\frac{\pi}{2} .
$$

Ans: The characteristic polynimial $p(r)=r^{2}+1$ has roots $+i,-i$ and the two linearly independent solutions are

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=\cos x \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{2}(x)=\sin x
$$

Let $\psi_{p}(x)=u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x)$ be a particular integral of the given differential equation then by method of separation of parameters we get,

$$
u_{1}(x)=\int \frac{\mathrm{W}_{1}(x) b(x) d x}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)} \quad, \quad u_{2}(x)=\int \frac{\mathrm{W}_{2}(x) b(x) d x}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)}
$$

where, $\quad b(x)=\tan x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x) & =\left|\begin{array}{rr}
\cos x & \sin x \\
-\sin x & \cos x
\end{array}\right|=1, b(x) \mathrm{W}_{1}(x)=\left|\begin{array}{rr}
0 & \sin x \\
\tan x & \cos x
\end{array}\right|=-\frac{\sin ^{2} x}{\cos x}, \\
b(x) \mathrm{W}_{2}(x) & =\left|\begin{array}{rr}
\cos x & 0 \\
-\sin x & \tan x
\end{array}\right|=\sin x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{1}(x)=\int-\frac{\sin ^{2} x}{\cos x} d x=-\log (\sec x+\tan x)+\sin x \\
& u_{2}(x)=\int \sin x d x=-\cos x
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\psi_{p}=-\cos x \log (\sec x+\tan x), \quad-\frac{\pi}{2}<x<\pi / 2
$$

The general solution

$$
y(x)=c_{1} \cos x+c_{2} \sin x-\cos x \log (\sec x+\tan x),-\pi / 2<x<\pi / 2
$$

Note. The formula for a particular a solution $\psi_{p}$ of $L(y)=b(x)$ makes sense for some discontinuous functions $b(x)$. Then $\psi_{p}$ will be a solution of $L(y)=b(x)$ at the continuity points of $b$.

## Q 4. Find a particular solution of the equation.

Where,

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+y=b(x)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
b(x) & =-1 & & (-\Pi \leq x<0), \\
& =1 & & (0 \leq x \leq \Pi), \\
& =0 & & (1 x 1>\Pi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Ans : Let us find out the particular solution of $y^{\prime \prime}+y=\alpha$ where $\alpha$ is a constant.
The characteristic polynomial is $p(r)=r^{2}+1$ and has roots $+i,-i$. Therefore the basic solutions (linearly independent solutions) are

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=\cos x, \quad \phi_{2}(x)=\sin x
$$

Let $\psi_{p}=u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2} \phi_{2}(x)$ be a particular solution of the equation $y^{\prime \prime}+y=\alpha$. By method of separation of parameters we get,

$$
u_{1}(x)=\int \frac{\mathrm{W}_{1}(x) b(x) d x}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)} \quad, \quad u_{2}(x)=\int \frac{\mathrm{W}_{2}(x) b(x) d x}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)}
$$

where, $\quad \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=\left|\begin{array}{rr}\cos x & \sin x \\ -\sin x & \cos x\end{array}\right|=1$

$$
\mathrm{W}_{1}(x)=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \sin x \\
1 & \cos x
\end{array}\right|=-\sin x, \mathrm{~W}_{2}(x)=\left|\begin{array}{rr}
\cos x & 0 \\
-\sin x & 1
\end{array}\right|=\cos x
$$

Then,

$$
u_{1}(x)=\int \frac{\mathrm{W}_{1}(x) b(x) d x}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)}=\int \frac{-\alpha \sin x}{1} d x=\alpha \cos x
$$

$$
u_{2}(x)=\int \frac{\mathrm{W}_{2}(x) b(x)}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)} d x=\int \frac{\alpha \cos x}{1} d x=\alpha \sin x
$$

The particular solution

$$
\psi_{p}=u_{1} \phi_{1}+u_{2} \phi_{2}=\alpha \cos ^{2} x+\alpha \sin ^{2} x=\alpha
$$

Thus the general solution of $y^{\prime \prime}+y=\alpha$ is

$$
\psi=c_{1} \cos x+c_{2} \sin x+\alpha
$$

The general solution on the real line becomes

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\psi(x) & =c_{1} \cos x+c_{2} \sin x & ; & \\
& =\infty<x<-\pi \\
& =c_{3} \cos x+c_{4} \sin x-1 & ; & \\
& =c_{5} \cos x+c_{6} \sin x+1 & ; & \\
& =c_{7} \cos x+c_{8} \sin x & ; & \\
& \pi<x<\infty
\end{array}
$$

The continuity of $\psi$ at $x=-\pi, 0, \pi$ gives $-c_{1}=-c_{3}-1, c_{3}-1=c_{5}+1,-c_{5}+1=-c_{7}$
Since we have three equations in 4 unknown, the particular solution $\psi_{p}$ will not be unique e.g. choose $c_{3}=c_{1}^{*}+1$ and $c_{2}=c_{4}=c_{6}=c_{8}=c$

Then $c_{1}^{*} \cos x+c \sin x$ is a complementary function or the solution of corresponding homogenous equation $y^{\prime \prime}+y=0$ and particular equation will be determined as follows.

If $c_{3}=c_{1}^{*}+1$ then $c_{1}=c_{3}+1=c_{1}^{*}+2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{3}=c_{1}^{*}+1 \\
& c_{5}=c_{3}-2=c_{1}^{*}+1-2=c_{1}^{*}-1 \\
& c_{7}=c_{5}-1=c_{1}^{*}-1-1=c_{1}^{*}-2
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the particular solution becomes

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\psi(x) & =2 \cos x & -\infty<x<\pi \\
& =\cos x-1 & & -\pi \leq x<0 \\
& =-\cos x+1 & 0 \leq x \leq \pi \\
& =-2 \cos x & \pi<x<\infty
\end{array}
$$

If we choose $c_{3}=c_{1}^{*}+2$ then $c_{1}=c_{3}+1=c_{1}^{*}+3$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{3}=c_{1}^{*}+2, \\
& c_{5}=c_{3}-2=c_{1}^{*}, \\
& c_{7}=c_{5}-1=c_{1}^{*}-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

and the particular solution becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(x) & =3 \cos x & ; & \\
& =2 \cos x-1 & & ; \\
& =1 & & -\pi \leq x<-\pi<0 \\
& =-\cos x & & ;
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{array}{ll}
-\pi \leq x \leq \pi \\
& \pi<x<\infty .
\end{array}
$$

Thus, we can generate infinitely many particular solutions that are piecewise continuous.

## Method of undetermined coefficients :

The method described sofar is called the method of variation of parameters. Although this method yields a solution of the non-homogeneous equation it sometimes require more labor than necessary. We now explain a method which is often faster than a method of variation of parameters. This method is useful to solve the non-homogeneous equation $L(y)=b(x)$, when $b(x)$ is a solution of some homogeneous equation with constant coefficients. The procedure we are about to describe is called the method of undetermined coefficients.

For the given different equation $L(y)=b(x)$, suppose $b(x)$ is a solution of some homogeneous equation $M(y)=0$ with constant coefficients. Then $M(b(x))=0$. If $\psi$ is a solution of $L(y)=b(x)$ and $M(b)=0$ then

$$
M[L(\psi)]=M(b)=0
$$

Therefore $\psi$ is a solution of the homogeneous equation $M(L(y))=0$ with constant coefficients. If the order of differential operator $L$ is $n$ and that of $M$ is $m$ then $M(L(y))=0$ is a homogeneous differential equation of order $m+n$ and therefore there are $m+n$ linearly independent solutions of $M(L(x))=0$. Since $b(x)$ is a particular solution of $M(y)=0$ every linear combination of these $n+m$ linearly independent solution will not be a solution of $L(y)=b(x)$. Thus, to fine the solution of $L(y)=b(x)$ we substitute the linear combination of solutions into $L(y)=b(x)$ and determine the set of coefficients other than the coefficients of the solutions corresponding to the homogeneous equation $L(y)=0$.

We give an example to show the usefulness of this method. Suppose we consider

$$
L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}-3 y^{\prime}+2 y=x^{2}
$$

Since $\left(x^{2}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}=0, x^{2}$ is a solution of $M(y)=y^{\prime \prime \prime}=0$.
Every solution $\psi$ of $L(y)=x^{2}$ is a solution of

But $\quad M\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}-3 y^{\prime}+2 y\right)=\left(y^{\prime \prime \prime}-3 y^{\prime}+2 y\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}=0$
i.e.

$$
y^{(v)}-3 y^{(i v)}+2 y^{\prime \prime \prime}=0
$$

The characteristic polynomial of this equation is $p(r)=r^{5}-3 y^{4}+2 r^{3}$ (just the product of characteristics polynomials of $L$ and $M$ ). The roots of $p(r)$ are $0,0,0,1,2$ and hence $\psi$ must have the form $\psi=c_{0}+c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2}+c_{3} e^{x}+c_{4} e^{2 x}$ observe that $c_{3} e^{x}+c_{4} e^{2 x}$ is a solution of $L(y)=0$.

Since we are interested only in particular solution $\psi_{p}$ of $L(y)=x^{2}$, we can assume

$$
\psi_{p}=c_{0}+c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2}
$$

Since $\psi_{p}$ is a solution, it should satisfy the differential equation $L(y)=x^{2}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
L\left(\psi_{p}\right)=\psi_{p}^{\prime \prime}-3 \psi_{p}^{\prime}+2 \psi_{p}=2\left[c_{0}+c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2}\right]-3\left[c_{1}+2 c_{2} x\right]+\left[2 c_{2}\right] \\
L\left(\psi_{p}\right)=x^{2} \text { gives } 2 c_{2} x^{2}+\left(2 c_{1}-6 c_{2}\right) x+\left(2 c_{0}-3 c_{1}+2 c_{2}\right)=x^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since the above equation should hold for all values of $x$, on equating the coefficients of equal powers of $x$ we get ,

$$
2 c_{2}=1,2 c_{1}-6 c_{2}=0,2 c_{0}-3 c_{1}+2 c_{2}=0
$$

By solving these equations simultaneously we get,

$$
c_{2}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad c_{1}=\frac{3}{2}, \quad c_{0}=\frac{7}{4} .
$$

Therefore, $\psi_{p}=\frac{7}{4}+\frac{3}{2} x+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}$

$$
=\frac{1}{4}\left(2 x^{2}+6 x+7\right)
$$

is a particular solution of $L(y)=x^{2}$
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi=\psi_{p}+c_{3} e^{x}+c_{4} e^{2 x} \\
& \psi=\frac{1}{4}\left(2 x^{2}+6 x+7\right)+c_{3} e^{x}+c_{4} e^{2 x} \text { is a general solution of } y^{\prime \prime}-3 y^{\prime}+
\end{aligned}
$$ $2 y=x^{2}$.

This method is also called as annihilator method since to solve $L(y)=b(x)$, we find the operator $M$ which annihilates $b(x)$. i.e. $M(b(x))=0$.

Once $M$ has been found the problem becomes algebraic in nature.

## EXAMPLES

## Exp. 1. Using the annihilator method find a particular solution of each of the following equations.

(a) $y^{\prime \prime}+4 y=\cos x$
(b) $y^{\prime \prime}-4 y=3 e^{2 x}+4 e^{-x}$
(c) $y^{\prime \prime}-y^{\prime}-2 y=x^{2}+\cos x$

Ans. (a) : $\cos x$ is a solution of $y^{\prime \prime}+y=0$ therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(y) & =y^{\prime \prime}+y \\
L(y) & =y^{\prime \prime}+4 y \text { therefore } \quad M(L(y))=[L(y)]^{\prime \prime}+[L(y)] \\
M[L(y)] & =\left(y^{\prime \prime}+4 y\right)^{\prime \prime}+y^{\prime \prime}+4 y \\
& =y^{(i v)}+5 y^{\prime \prime}+4 y
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $M[L(y)]=0$ implies $y^{(i v)}+5 y^{\prime \prime}+4 y=0$.
The characteristic polynomial of the above equation is

$$
p(r)=r^{4}+5 r^{2}+4=\left(r^{2}+4\right)\left(r^{2}+1\right)
$$

The root of $p(r)$ are $i,-i,+2 i,-2 i$ and hence the solutions $\psi$ have the form

$$
\psi=c_{1} \cos x+c_{2} \sin x+c_{3} \cos 2 x+c_{4} \sin 2 x
$$

observe that $c_{3} \cos 2 x+c_{4} \sin 2 x$ is a solution of $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+4 y=0$. Since we are interested only in particular solution $\psi_{p}$ of $L(y)=\cos x$, we can assume

$$
\psi_{p}(x)=c_{1} \cos x+c_{2} \sin x
$$

Since $\psi_{p}$ is a solution it should satisfy the differential equation $y^{\prime \prime}+4 y=\cos x$.

$$
\psi_{p}^{\prime \prime}+4 \psi_{p}=-c_{1} \cos x-c_{2} \sin x+4\left(c_{1} \cos x+c_{2} \sin x\right)=\cos x
$$

On equation the coefficients of $\cos x$ and $\sin x$ we get

$$
3 c_{1}=1,3 c_{2}=0 \text { i.e. } c_{1}=\frac{1}{3} \text { and } c_{2}=0
$$

Thus, particular solution $\psi_{p}=\frac{1}{3} \cos x$

Ans. (b) : $3 e^{2 x}+4 e^{-x}$ is a solution of $(D-2)(D-1)=0$ i.e. $y^{\prime \prime}-y^{\prime}-2 y=0$.
Thus, $\quad M(y)=y^{\prime \prime}-y^{\prime}-2 y$. since $\quad L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}-4 y, \quad M(L(y))=y^{(i v)}-4 y^{\prime \prime \prime}$ $-6 y^{\prime \prime}+4 y^{\prime}+8 y$.

The differential equation

$$
y^{(i v)}-4 y^{\prime \prime \prime}-6 y^{\prime \prime}+4 y^{\prime}+8 y=0
$$

has a characteristic polynomial $p(r)=r^{4}-4 r^{3}-6 r^{2}+4 r+8$. The roots of characteristic polynomial are $2,2,-1,-2$.

The solution $\psi$ has the form

$$
\psi(x)=c_{1} e^{2 x}+c_{2} x e^{2 x}+c_{3} e^{-x}+c_{4} e^{-2 x}
$$

Observe that $c_{1} e^{2 x}+c_{4} e^{-2 x}$ is a solution of the homogeneous equation $L(y)=0$.
Since we are only interested in particular solution assume the solution

$$
\psi_{p}=c_{1} e^{2 x}+c_{2} x e^{2 x}+c_{3} e^{-x}
$$

Since $\psi_{p}$ is a particular solution is should satisfy the equation $y^{\prime \prime}-4 y=3 e^{2 x}+4 e^{-x}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{p}^{\prime} & =\left[2 c_{1}+(1+2 x) c_{2}\right] e^{2 x}-c_{3} e^{-x} \\
\psi_{p}^{\prime \prime} & =\left[4 c_{1}+(4+4 x) c_{2}\right] e^{2 x}+c_{3} e^{-x} \\
\psi_{p}^{\prime \prime}-4 \psi_{p} & =\left[4 c_{1}+(4+4 x) c_{2}-4 c_{1}-4 x c_{2}\right] e^{2 x}-3 c_{3} e^{-x}
\end{aligned}
$$

But $\psi_{p}$ satisfies $\quad y^{\prime \prime}-4 y=3 e^{2 x}+4 e^{-x}$
Therefore

$$
\left[4 c_{2}\right] e^{2 x}-3 c_{3} e^{-x}=3 e^{2 x}+4 e^{-x}
$$

By comparing coefficient of $e^{2 x}$ and $e^{-x}$ we get $4 c_{2}=3$ and $-3 c_{3}=4$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi & =c_{1} e^{2 x}+\frac{3}{4} x e^{2 x}-\frac{4}{3} e^{-x}+c_{4} e^{-2 x} \text { and particular integral } \\
\psi_{p} & =\frac{3}{4} x e^{2 x}-\frac{4}{3} e^{-x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Ans. (c) : $x^{2}+\cos x$ is a solution of $D^{3}\left(D^{2}+1\right) y=0$ i.e. $y^{(v)}+y^{\prime \prime \prime}=0$. Thus $M(y)=y^{(v)}+y^{\prime \prime \prime}$

$$
L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}-y^{\prime}-2 y
$$

Therefore $M[L(y)]=\left[y^{\prime \prime}-y^{\prime}-2 y\right]^{(v)}+\left[y^{\prime \prime}-y^{\prime}-2 y\right]^{\prime \prime \prime}$

$$
=y^{(7)}-y^{(6)}-y^{(5)}-y^{(4)}-2 y^{\prime \prime \prime}=0 .
$$

The differential equation $M[L(y)]=0$ has a characteristic polynomial $p(r)=r^{7}-r^{6}$ $-r^{5}-r^{4}-2 r^{3}$.

The roots of characteristic polynomial are $0,0,0, i,-i, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$.
The solution $\psi$ must have the form

$$
\psi(x)=c_{0}+c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2}+c_{3} \cos x+c_{4} \sin x+c_{5} e^{\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{x}}+c_{6} e^{\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{x}}
$$

The expression $c_{5} e^{\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{x}}+c_{6} e^{\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right) x}$ is a solution of the homogeneous equation $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}-y^{\prime}-2 y=0$.

Since we are interested in particular solution assume the solution

$$
\psi_{p}=c_{0}+c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2}+c_{3} \cos x+c_{4} \sin x
$$

The problem is to determine the constants $c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}$ so that $L\left(\psi_{p}\right)=x^{2}+\cos x$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{p}^{\prime}= & c_{1}+2 c_{2} x-c_{3} \sin x+c_{4} \cos x \\
\psi_{p}^{\prime \prime}= & 2 c_{2}-c_{3} \cos x-c_{4} \sin x \\
L\left(\psi_{p}\right)= & \psi_{p}^{\prime \prime}-\psi_{p}^{\prime}-2 \psi_{p} \\
= & \left(2 c_{2}-c_{1}-2 c_{0}\right)-\left(2 c_{1}+2 c_{2}\right) x-2 c_{2} x^{2}-\left(3 c_{3}+c_{4}\right) \cos x \\
& \quad+\left(c_{3}-3 c_{4}\right) \sin x \\
= & x^{2}+\cos x
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $2 c_{2}-c_{1}-2 c_{0}=0, \quad 2 c_{1}+2 c_{2}=0,-2 c_{2}=1, \quad 3 c_{3}+c_{4}=-1$ and $c_{3}-3 c_{4}=0$
Simulataneous evaluation of above equation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
c_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}, \quad c_{1}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad c_{0}=-\frac{3}{4}, \quad c_{3}=3 c_{4}, c_{4}=-\frac{1}{10}, \quad c_{3}=-\frac{3}{10} \\
\text { Therefore } \quad \psi_{p}=-\frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{2} x-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}-\frac{3}{10} \cos x-\frac{1}{10} \sin x
\end{array} \text { }
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXERCISE

Exp. 1. Use the method of variation of parameters and find the general solution of each of the following equation.
(a) $y^{\prime \prime}-y=\sin ^{2} x$
(b) $y^{\prime \prime}+y=4 x \sin x$
(c) $y^{\prime \prime}+3 y^{\prime}+2 y=12 e^{x}$
(d) $y^{\prime \prime}+2 y^{\prime}+y=x^{2} e^{-x}$
(e) $y^{\prime \prime}+4 y=\cos x$
(f) $y^{\prime \prime}+9 y=\sin 3 x$
(g) $y^{\prime \prime}-7 y^{\prime}+6 y=\sin x$
(h) $4 y^{\prime \prime}-y=e^{x}$
(i) $6 y^{\prime \prime}+5 y^{\prime}-6 y=x$

Exp. 2. Find the particular solution of each of the following equation using the method of undetermined coefficients.
(a) $y^{\prime \prime}+4 y^{\prime}+4 y=4 x^{2}+6 e^{x}$
(b) $y^{\prime \prime}-3 y^{\prime}+2 y=2 x e^{3 x}+3 \sin x$
(c) $y^{\prime \prime}+4 y^{\prime}+4 y=3 x e^{-2 x}$
(d) $y^{\prime \prime}-3 y^{\prime}+2 y=6 e^{-x}$

Ans.(1): (a) $y=c_{1} e^{x}+c_{2} e^{-x}-\frac{1}{5} \sin ^{2} x-\frac{2}{5}$
(b) $c_{1} \cos x+c_{2} \sin x-x^{2} \cos x+x \sin x$
(c) $c_{1} e^{-2 x}+c_{2} c^{-x}+2 e^{x}$
(d) $c_{1} e^{-x}+c_{2} x e^{-x}+\frac{x^{4} e^{-x}}{12}$
(e) $c_{1} \cos 2 x+c_{2} \sin 2 x+\frac{1}{3} \cos x$
(f) $c_{1} \cos 3 x+c_{2} \sin 3 x-\frac{1}{6} x \cos 3 x$
(g) $c_{1} e^{6 x}+c_{2} e^{x}+\frac{1}{74}(7 \cos x+5 \sin x)$
(b) $c_{1} e^{\frac{x}{2}}+c_{2} e^{-\frac{x}{2}}+\frac{1}{3} e^{x}$
(i) $c_{1} e^{\frac{2 x}{3}}+c_{2} e^{-\frac{3 x}{2}}-\frac{1}{6} x-\frac{5}{36}$.

Ans.(2):
(a) $x^{2}-2 x+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{2}{3} e^{x}$
(b) $x e^{3 x}-\frac{3}{2} e^{3 x}+\frac{3}{10} \sin x+\frac{9}{10} \cos x$
(c) $\frac{1}{2} x^{3} e^{-2 x}$
(e) $e^{-x}$

# Linear Equations with Variable Coefficients 

## Contents :

Unit 1: Homogenous equations with variable coefficients.
(a) Initial value problems for the homogeneous equation.
(b) Solution's of homogenous equation
(c) Reduction of an order of a homogeneous equation

Unit 2 : Basis
(a) Linear independence and Wronskian
(b) Solution of non-homogeneous equations

Unit 3 : Homogenous equations with analytic coefficients.

## Introduction

Solutions to linear equations with variables coefficients are necessary to analysis most of the situations in science and technology. In the last chapter we have studied linear equations with constant coefficients. In this chapter we are going to study linear equations with variable coefficients. There is no standard procedure to find all possible solutions of a given equation. However it is possible to construct series solution if the coefficient functions and the control function are analytic on some open set.

## Unit 1 : Homogeneous equations with variable coefficients.

A linear differential equation of order $n$ with variable coefficients is an equation of the form $a_{0}(x) y^{(n)}+a_{1}(x) y^{(n-1)}+a_{2}(x) y^{(n-2)}+\ldots . .+a_{n}(x) y=b(x)$, where $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}, b$ are complex valued functions defined on some interval $\mathrm{I} \subset \mathrm{R}$. Points where $a_{0}(x)=0$ for $x$ in I are called singular points. In this chapter we assume that $a_{0}(x) \neq 0$ on I. Since $a_{0}$ is non-zero we can divide the equation by $a_{0}$ and rename functions $a_{i}(x) / a_{0}(x)$ by new $a_{i}(x)$ and $b(x) /$ $a_{0}(x)$ as new $b(x)$. Then above equation can be written as

$$
y^{(n)}+a_{1} y^{(n-1)}+a_{2} y^{(n-2)}+\ldots . .+a_{n} y=b(x)
$$

In this chapter we denote the left hand side of the above equation by an operator $L$. Thus, $L(y)=y^{(n)}+a_{1}(x) y^{(n-1)}+a_{2}(x) y^{(n-2)}+\ldots .+a_{n}(x) y$ and the equation becomes $L(y)=b(x)$.

If $b(x)=0$ for all $x$ in I we call equation $L(y)=0$ a, homogeneous equation whereas if

## Differential Equations

$b(x) \neq 0$ for some $x$ in I, the equation is called a non-homogeneous equation.
A function $\phi$ is a solution of $L(y)=0$ on I if $\phi$ is $n$ times differentiable and satisfies $L(\phi)=0$ for all $x$ in I.

Most of the results we developed in chapter I are valid in more general case we are now considering. The major difficulty with linear equations with variable coefficients, from a practical point of view, is that there are very few types of equations whose solutions can be expressed in terms of elementary functions and for which standard method of obtaining them, if they do exist, are available. However, in case $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots . . a_{n}$ have convergent power series expansions the solutions will have this property also and the series solutions can be obtained by a simple formal procedure. But there is no analogue of the theorem 1.3.1 of chapter I, which gives a procedure to find all possible solutions of given equation.

## A. Initial value problems for the homogeneous equation

Although in many cases it is not possible to find the solution, we can prove that if the functions $a_{i}(x), i=1,2,3, \ldots . n$ are continous functions then there is a solution to $L(y)=0$. Moreover if we know the initial values of the solution and its derivatives then the solution is unique.

## Theorem 2.1.1 :

Let $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, \ldots ., b_{n}$ be non-negative constants such that for all $x$ in I

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{i}(x)\right| \leq b_{i} \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots ., n \text { and define } k \text { by } \\
& k=1+b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}+\ldots .+b_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $x_{0}$ is a point in I and $\phi$ is a solution of $L(y)=0$ on I then

$$
\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| e^{-k\left|x-x_{0}\right|} \leq\|\phi(x)\| \leq\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| e^{k\left|x-x_{0}\right|} \text { for all } x \text { in I. }
$$

Proof: The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of theorem 1.3.3.
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(x) & =\|\phi(x)\|^{2}=|\phi|^{2}+\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2}+\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}+\ldots .+\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|^{2} \\
& =\phi \bar{\phi}+\phi^{\prime} \bar{\phi}^{\prime}+\phi^{\prime \prime} \bar{\phi}^{\prime \prime}+\ldots .+\phi^{(n)} \phi^{(n-1)}+\phi^{(n-1)} \bar{\phi}^{(n-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $u^{\prime}(x)=\phi^{\prime} \bar{\phi}+\phi \overline{\phi^{\prime}}+\phi^{\prime \prime} \bar{\phi}^{\prime}+\phi^{\prime} \bar{\phi}^{\prime \prime}+\ldots .+\phi^{(n)} \bar{\phi}^{(n-1)}+\phi^{(n-1)} \bar{\phi}^{(n)}$
Therefore $\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq 2|\phi|\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|+2\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}\right|+2\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}\right|\left|\phi^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|+\ldots+2\left|\phi^{(n-1)} \| \phi^{n}\right|$
(for any complex variable $z,|z|=|\bar{z}|$ )
Since $\phi$ is solution of $L(y)=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi^{(n)}=-a_{1}(x) \phi^{(n-1)}-a_{2}(x) \phi^{(n-2)}-a_{3} \phi^{(n-3)}-\ldots . .-a_{n} \phi \\
& \left|\phi^{(n)}(x)\right| \leq\left|a _ { 1 } ( x ) \left\|\phi ^ { ( n - 1 ) } ( x ) \left|+\left|a _ { 2 } ( x ) \left\|\phi ^ { ( n - 2 ) } | + a _ { 3 } ( x ) | \phi ^ { ( n - 3 ) } \left|+\ldots+\left|a_{n} \| \phi\right|\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

For all $x$ in $\mathrm{I},\left|a_{i}(x)\right| \leq b_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3, \ldots . ., n$ and therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\phi^{(n)}(x)\right| \leq b_{1}\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|+b_{2}\left|\phi^{(n-2)}\right|+b_{3}\left|\phi^{(n-3)}\right|+\ldots+b_{n}|\phi| \\
\text { and } \quad\left|u^{\prime}\right| \leq 2|\phi|\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|+2\left|\phi^{\prime} \| \phi^{\prime \prime}\right|+2\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}\right|\left|\phi^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|+\ldots . .
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
+2\left|\phi^{(n-2)} \| \phi^{(n-1)}\right|+2\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|\left[b_{1}\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|+b_{2}\left|\phi^{(n-2)}\right|+\ldots+b_{n}|\phi|\right]
$$

The rest of the proof is on the same lines as that of theorem 1.3.3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[(|a|-|b|)^{2} \geq 0 \Rightarrow 2|a||b| \leq|a|^{2}+|b|^{2}\right]} \\
& \left|u^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq\left(|\phi|^{2}+\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)+\left(\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\left|\phi^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}\right)+\ldots . .+\left(\left|\phi^{(n-2)}\right|^{2}+\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+b_{1}\left(\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|^{2}+\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|^{2}\right)+b_{2}\left(\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|^{2}+\left|\phi^{(n-2)}\right|^{2}\right)+\ldots \\
& \quad \quad+b_{n}\left(\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|^{2}+|\phi|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1+b_{n}\right)|\phi|^{2}+\left(2+b_{n-1}\right)\left|\phi^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\ldots .+\left(2+b_{2}\right)\left|\phi^{(n-2)}\right|^{2} \\
& \quad+\left(1+2 b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}+\ldots .+b_{n}\right)\left|\phi^{(n-1)}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since each coefficient on the right hand side is less than 2 k we have

$$
\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq 2 k u(x)
$$

Consider the right inequality which can be written as

$$
u^{\prime}(x)-2 k u(x) \leq 0 .
$$

Integrate above inequality from $x_{0}$ to $x$ with $x>x_{0}$.
or

$$
e^{-2 k x} u(x)-e^{-2 k x_{0}} u\left(x_{0}\right) \leq 0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(x) \leq e^{2 k\left(x-x_{0}\right)} u\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& \|\phi(x)\|^{2} \leq e^{2 k\left(x-x_{0}\right)}\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $\quad\|\phi(x)\| \leq e^{k\left(x-x_{0}\right)}\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|$

Similarly $-2 k u(x) \leq u^{\prime}(x)$ gives

$$
\mid \phi\left(x_{0}\right)\left\|e^{-k\left(x-x_{0}\right)} \leq\right\| \phi(x) \|, \quad\left(x>x_{0}\right)
$$

and therefore

$$
\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| e^{-k\left(x-x_{0}\right)} \leq\|\phi(x)\| \leq e^{k\left(x-x_{0}\right)}\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|,\left(x>x_{0}\right)
$$

If $x<x_{0}$ repeat the same procedure and integrate the inequality from $x$ to $x_{0}$. We get

$$
\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| e^{-k\left(x-x_{0}\right)} \leq\|\phi(x)\| \leq\left\|\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| e^{-k\left(x-x_{0}\right)}\left(x<x_{0}\right)
$$

which is the required inequality for $x<x_{0}$.
Observe that if interval I is closed and bounded interval and if $a_{i}(x)$ are continuous functions on I then these functions are bounded. [continuous function on closed and bounded intervals is bounded and the function attains it bounds ]. Since $a_{j}(x)$ are bounded functions on I, there always exist finite constants $b_{j}$ such that $\left|a_{j}(x)\right| \leq b_{j}$ for $j=1,2,3, \ldots, n$.

## Theorem 2.2.1 : (Uniqueness theorem)

Let $x_{0}$ be in I and let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ be any $n$ constants. There is at most one solution $\phi$ of $L(y)=0$ on I satisfying

$$
\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{2}, \phi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{3}, \ldots ., \phi^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{n} .
$$

## Differential Equations

Proof : Let $x$ be any point in I other than $x_{0}$. Let J be closed and bounded interval in I containing $x_{0}$ and $x$. On the interval J continuous functions $a_{j}(x)$ are bounded, that is,

$$
\left|a_{j}(x)\right| \leq b_{j} \quad(j=1,2,3, \ldots, n),
$$

for some constants $b_{j}$ (These constants $b_{j}$ may depend on the choice of $J \subset \mathrm{I}$ ).
Suppose $\phi$ and $\psi$ are two solutions of $L(y)=0$ on $J$ satisfying the given initial conditions i.e. $\quad \phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{2}, \ldots \ldots ., \phi^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\psi^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{n}$. Define $\theta=\phi-\psi$ in $J$. Since $\phi$ and $\psi$ satisfy $L(y)=0 . \theta\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi\left(x_{0}\right)-\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=0$, and $L(\phi)=L(\psi)=0$ therefore by linearity $L(\theta)=0 . \quad \theta\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi\left(x_{0}\right)-\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ similarly $\theta^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\theta^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\ldots .$. $=\theta^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. but $\left\|\theta\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|^{2}=\left|\theta\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\theta^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\theta^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{2}+\quad \ldots+\left|\theta^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{2}=0$. Applying theorem 2.1.1 we obtain $\|\theta(x)\|=0$ for all $x$ in $J$. In particular $\theta(x)=0$ for all $x$ in $J$ $\subset \mathrm{I}$. But $x$ is any point in I and therefore $\theta(x)=0$ for every $x$ in I. This proves that $\phi(x)=\theta(x)$ for every $x$ in I.

Here we state existence theorem without proof.

## Theorem 2.1.3 : (Existence Theorem)

Let $a_{1}(x), a_{2}(x), a_{3}(x), \ldots \ldots, a_{n}(x)$ be continuous functions on an interval I containing the point $x_{0}$. If $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots ., \alpha_{n}$ are any $n$ constants, there exists a solution $\phi$ of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(y)=y^{(n)}+a_{1}(x) y^{(n-1)}+a_{2}(x) y^{(n-2)}+\ldots . .+a_{n}(x) y=0 \text { on I satisfying } \\
& \phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{2}, \phi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{3}, \ldots \ldots ., \phi^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## (B) Solutions of homogeneous equation

## Superposition principle :

If $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{m}$ are any $m$ solutions of the $L(y)=0$ on an interval I and $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots, c_{m}$ are any $m$ constants then $c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}+c_{3} \phi_{3}+\ldots \ldots+c_{m} \phi_{m}$ is also a solution of $L(y)=0$.

The trivial solution is a function which is identically zero on I.

## Theorem 2.1.4

There exist $n$ linearly independent solutions (definition 1.3) of $L(y)=0$ on I.
Proof : Let $x_{0}$ be a point in I. According to theorem 2.1.3 and theorem 2.1.2, there is a unique solution of $L(y)=0$ satisfying given initial conditions at $x_{0}$.
Let $\phi_{1}$ be a solution of $L(y)=0$ satisfying

$$
\phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=0, \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0, \quad \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0, \ldots \ldots, \phi_{1}^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0
$$

Let $\phi_{2}$ be a solution of $L(y)=0$ satisfying

$$
\phi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=0, \quad \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=1, \quad \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0, \ldots \ldots ., \phi_{2}^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0
$$

In general Let $\phi_{i}$ be a solution of $L(y)=0$ with

## Differential Equations

$$
\phi_{i}^{(i-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=1, \text { and } \phi_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\ldots \ldots . .=\phi_{i}^{(i-2)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi_{i}^{(i)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\ldots \ldots . \phi_{i}^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0
$$

i.e. $\quad \phi_{i}^{(i-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=1$, and $\quad \phi_{i}{ }^{(k)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0, \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots . ., n-1, k \neq i-1$.

We will prove that these solutions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ are linearly independent on I. Suppose there are constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots . . c_{n}$ such that

$$
c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)+c_{3} \phi_{3}(x)+\ldots . .+c_{n} \phi_{n}(x)=0 \text { for all } x \text { in } \mathrm{I} .
$$

Differentiating above equation $(n-1)$ times we get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x)+c_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime}(x)+\ldots . .+c_{n} \phi_{n}^{\prime}(x)=0 \\
& c_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)+c_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\ldots . .+c_{n} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)=0 \\
& \vdots \\
& \vdots \\
& \vdots
\end{aligned} \vdots \quad \begin{aligned}
& c_{1} \phi_{1}^{(n-1)}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}^{(n-1)}(x)+c_{3} \phi_{3}^{(n-1)}(x)+\ldots . .+c_{n} \phi_{n}^{(n-1)}(x)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Above equations hold for all values of $x$ in I.
In particular these equations are true for $x=x_{0}$.
Since $\phi_{i}^{(j-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ for $j=1,2,3, \ldots ., n, j \neq i$ and $\phi_{i}^{(i-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=1$ for $j=i$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1} \cdot 1+c_{2} \cdot 0+c_{3} \cdot 0+\ldots+c_{n} \cdot 0=0 \\
& c_{1} \cdot 0+c_{2} \cdot 1+c_{3} \cdot 0+\ldots+c_{n} \cdot 0=0
\end{aligned}
$$

In general

$$
c_{1} \cdot 0+c_{2} \cdot 0+\ldots .+c_{i-1} \cdot 0+c_{i} \cdot 1+c_{i+1} \cdot 0+\ldots . .+c_{n} \cdot 0=0
$$

Thus, $c_{i}=0$ for $i=0,1,2,3, \ldots ., n$ and therefore solutions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ are linearly independent.

## (C) Reduction of order of a homogeneous equation

Suppose we have found one solution of the equation $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1}(x) y^{\prime}+a_{2}(x) y=0$ then by using the variation of constants method we can reduce $L(y)=0$ into a linear differential equation of order one and obtain the second solution of the differential equation.

## Theorem 2.1.5

If $\phi_{1}(x)$ is a solution of $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1}(x) y^{\prime}+a_{2}(x) y=0$ on an interval I and $\phi_{1}(x) \neq 0$ on I, the second solution $\phi_{2}(x)$ is given by

$$
\phi_{2}(x)=\phi_{1}(x) \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{1}{\left[\phi_{1}(s)\right]^{2}} \exp \left[-\int_{x_{0}}^{s} a_{1}(t) d t\right] d s
$$

The functions $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are linearly independent.
Proof: Since $\phi_{1}$ is a solution of $L(y)=0, L\left(\phi_{1}\right)=0$.
Let $\quad \phi_{2}(x)=u(x) \phi_{1}(x)$ be second solution of $L(y)=0$.

$$
L\left(\phi_{2}\right)=L\left(u \phi_{1}\right)=\left(u \phi_{1}\right)^{\prime \prime}+a_{1}(x)\left(u \phi_{1}\right)^{\prime}+a_{2}(x)\left(u \phi_{1}\right)=0 .
$$

i.e. $\quad u^{\prime \prime}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+2 u^{\prime}(x) \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)+u(x) \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)$

$$
+a_{1}(x)\left[u^{\prime}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u(x) \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)\right]+a_{2}(x)\left(u(x) \phi_{1}(x)\right)=0
$$

Since

$$
L\left(\phi_{1}\right)=\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+a_{1}(x) \phi_{1}^{\prime}+a_{2}(x) \phi_{1}=0,
$$

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+2 u^{\prime}(x) \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)+a_{1}(x)\left[u^{\prime}(x) \phi_{1}(x)\right]=0
$$

Thus,

$$
\phi_{1}(x) u^{\prime \prime}(x)+\left[2 \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)+a_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)\right] u^{\prime}(x)=0 .
$$

If $v=u^{\prime}$ then above equation is linear equation of order one and can always be solved explicitly provided $\phi_{1}(x) \neq 0$ on I.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}(x) v^{\prime}(x)+\left[2 \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)+a_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)\right] v(x)=0 \\
& \frac{v^{\prime}(x)}{v(x)}+\left[\frac{2 \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)}{\phi_{1}(x)}+a_{1}(x)\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

On integrating above equation between the limits $x_{0}$ to $x$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log v(x)-\log v\left(x_{0}\right)+\int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left[\frac{2 \phi_{1}^{\prime}(t)}{\phi_{1}(t)}+a_{1}(t)\right] \mathrm{dt}=0 \\
& \log v(x)-\log v\left(x_{0}\right)+2\left[\log \phi_{1}(x)-\log \phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} a_{1}(t) d t=0 . \\
& \log \frac{v(x) \phi_{1}^{2}(x)}{v\left(x_{0}\right) \phi_{1}^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)}=-\int_{x_{0}}^{x} a_{1}(t) d t \\
& \frac{v(x) \phi_{1}^{2}(x)}{v\left(x_{0}\right) \phi_{1}^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)}=e^{-\int_{x_{0}}^{x} a_{1}(t) d t}=\exp \left[-\int_{x_{0}}^{x} a_{1}(t) d t\right] \\
& v(x)=\frac{v\left(x_{0}\right) \phi_{1}^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)}{\phi_{1}^{2}(x)} \exp \left[-\int_{x_{0}}^{x} a_{1}(t) d t\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.

But $v\left(x_{0}\right) \phi_{1}^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)$ are the values of $v(x) \phi_{1}^{2}(x)$ evaluated at point $x_{0}$ and therefore is constant
Let

$$
c=v\left(x_{0}\right) \phi_{1}^{2}\left(x_{0}\right), \text { then }
$$

$$
v(x)=\frac{c}{\phi_{1}^{2}(x)} \exp \left[-\int_{x_{0}}^{x} a_{1}(t) d t\right]
$$

But $v(x)=u^{\prime}(x)$ and therefore

$$
u(x)=\int^{x} \frac{c}{\phi_{1}^{2}(s)} \exp \left[-\int_{x_{0}}^{s} a_{1}(t) d t\right] d s .
$$

Since,

$$
\phi_{2}(x)=u(x) \phi_{1}(x) \text { we get the required result. }
$$

We can generalize above theorem for linear differential equation

$$
L(y)=y^{(n)}+a_{1}(x) y^{(n-1)}+a_{2}(x) y^{(n-2)}+\ldots . .+a_{n}(x) y=0
$$

## Theorem 2.1.6 :

Let $\phi_{1}$ be a solution of $L(y)=0$ on an interval I and suppose $\phi_{1}(x) \neq 0$ on I. Then we can reduce the order of equation $L(y)=0$ by one. If $v_{2}, v_{3}, \ldots ., v_{n}$ are linearly independent solutions of the reduced differential equation of order $n-1$ and if $v_{k}=u_{k}^{\prime}, k=1,2,3, \ldots, n$, then $\phi_{1}, u_{1} \phi_{1}, u_{2} \phi_{2}, \ldots ., u_{n} \phi_{n}$ are linearly independent solutions of $L(y)=0$ on I.

Proof : Let $\phi_{1}$ be solution of $L(y)=0$ on I. we try to find a solution $\phi$ of $L(y)=0$ of the form $\phi=u(x) \phi_{1}(x)$, where $u(x)$ is $n$ times differentiable function defined on an interval I. If $\phi(x)=u(x) \phi_{1}(x)$ is a solution of $L(y)=0$ then $L\left(u(x) \phi_{1}(x)\right)=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(u \phi_{1}\right)= & \left(u \phi_{1}\right)^{(n)}+a_{1}(x)\left(u \phi_{1}\right)^{(n-1)}+a_{2}(x)\left(u \phi_{1}\right)^{(n-2)}+\ldots . .+a_{n}\left(u \phi_{1}\right)=0 \\
= & u^{(n)} \phi_{1}+n u^{(n-1)} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+\ldots . .+u \phi_{1}^{(n)} \\
& +a_{1}(x)\left[u^{(n-1)} \phi_{1}+(n-1) u^{(n-2)} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+\ldots .+u \phi_{1}^{(n-1)}\right] \\
& +a_{2}(x)\left[u^{(n-2)} \phi_{1}+(n-2) u^{(n-3)} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+\ldots .+u \phi_{1}^{(n-2)}\right] \\
& +\ldots \ldots \\
& +a_{n-1}\left[u^{\prime} \phi_{1}+u_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\right]+a_{n} \cdot u \phi_{1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficient of $u$ in the above expression is $\phi_{1}^{(n)}+a_{1}(x) \phi_{1}^{(n-1)}+a_{2}(x) \phi_{2}^{(n-1)}+$ $\ldots .+\phi_{1}=L\left(\phi_{1}\right)=0$. Therefore the right hand side of above equation consists of $u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime}, u^{\prime \prime \prime}, \ldots, u^{(n)}$ Therefore if we substitute $v=u^{\prime}$ then the above equation becomes a linear homogeneous equation of order $n-1$ in $v$.

$$
\phi_{1} u^{(n)}+\left[n \phi_{1}^{\prime}+a_{1}(x) \phi_{1}\right] u^{(n-1)}+\ldots .+\left[n \phi_{1}^{(n-1)}+(n-1) a_{1}(x) \phi_{1}^{(n-2)}+\ldots .+a_{n-1} \phi_{1}\right] u^{\prime}=0
$$

Since $v(x)=u^{\prime}(x)$ we get

$$
\phi_{1} v^{(n-1)}+\left[n \phi_{1}^{\prime}+a_{1}(x) \phi_{1}\right] v^{(n-2)}+\ldots .+\left[n \phi_{1}^{(n-1)}+(n-1) a_{1}(x) \phi_{1}^{(n-2)}+\ldots .+a_{n-1} \phi_{1}\right] v=0
$$

Since, $\phi_{1}(x) \neq 0$ on I we can divide above equation by $\phi_{1}$. Thus, we can reduce the order of differential equation by one. Suppose $v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, \ldots, v_{n}$ are linearly independent solutions of the differential equation in $v$ of order $n-1$. Then

$$
\phi_{1} v_{k}^{(n-1)}+\left[n \phi_{1}^{\prime}+a_{1} \phi_{1}\right] v_{k}^{(n-2)}+\ldots .+\left[n \phi_{1}^{(n-1)}+(n-1) a_{1} \phi_{1}^{(n-2)}+\ldots .+a_{n-1} \phi_{1}\right] v_{k}=0
$$

But then $v_{k}(x)=u_{k}^{\prime}(x)$ for $k=2,3,4, \ldots, n$

$$
\text { and } u_{k}(x)=\int_{x_{0}}^{x} v_{k}(t) d t \quad k=2,3,4, \ldots, n
$$

But then by assumption $u_{k}(x) \phi_{1}(x)$ is a solution of $L(y)=0$. Thus the functions $\phi_{1}, u_{2} \phi_{1}, u_{3} \phi_{1}, \ldots ., u_{n} \phi_{1}$ are solutions of $L(y)=0$. These functions are linearly independent.

Suppose we have constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots ., c_{n}$ such that

$$
c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} u_{2} \phi_{1}+c_{3} u_{3} \phi_{1}+\ldots . .+c_{n} u_{n} \phi_{1}=0
$$

Since, $\phi_{1}(x) \neq 0$ on I this implies

$$
c_{1}+c_{2} u_{2}+c_{3} u_{3}+\ldots .+c_{n} u_{n}=0
$$

Differentation above equation and substituting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{k}^{\prime}=v_{k} \text { for } k=2,3, \ldots ., n \text { we get } \\
& c_{2} v_{2}+c_{3} v_{3}+c_{4} v_{4}+\ldots .+c_{n} v_{n}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, \ldots \ldots, v_{\mathrm{n}}$ are linearly independent by definition 1.3 we get $c_{2}=c_{3}=c_{4}=$ $\ldots . .=c_{n}=0$ and therefore $c_{1}=0$. Thus $\phi_{1}, u_{2} \phi_{1}, u_{3} \phi_{1}, \ldots ., u_{n} \phi_{1}$ are linearly independent solutions.

## EXAMPLES

## Q. 1. Consider the equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{x} y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{x^{2}} y=0 \quad \text { for } \quad x>0
$$

(a) Show that there is a solution of the form $x^{r}$, where $r$ is a constant.
(b) Find two linearly independent solutions for $x>0$ and prove that they are linearly independent.
(c) Find the two solutions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\phi_{1}(1)=1 & ; & \phi_{2}(1)=0 \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}(1)=0 & ; & \phi_{2}^{\prime}(1)=1
\end{array}
$$

Ans (a) :
Let $\phi(x)=x^{r}$ be a solution to $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{x} y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{x^{2}} y=0$ Since $\phi$ is a solution $L(y)=0$.
Therefore $r(r-1) x^{r-2}+r x^{r-2}-x^{r-2}=0$ that is $\left(r^{2}-1\right) x^{r-2}=0 \quad$ for $\quad x>0$

Thus, $r^{2}-1=0$ or $r=+1,-1$.
Therefore $\phi_{1}(x)=x \quad$ and $\quad \phi_{2}(x)=\frac{1}{x}$ are two solutions of $L(y)=0$.
Ans (b) :
Let $c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}=0$ then $c_{1} x+\frac{c_{2}}{x}=0$. Differentiate this equation twice with respect to
$x$ we get $\frac{2 c_{2}}{x^{3}}=0$ implies $c_{2}=0$ and therefore $c_{1}=0$. Thus, $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are linearly independent.
Ans (c) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{1}(x) & =c_{1} x+c_{2} \frac{1}{x} \\
\phi_{1}(1) & =1 \quad \text { and } \phi_{1}^{\prime}(1)=0 \text { gives } \\
c_{1} x+c_{2} \frac{1}{x} & =1 \quad \text { at } x=1 \text { i.e. } c_{1}+c_{2}=1 \\
c_{1} x-\frac{c_{2}}{x^{2}} & =0 \quad \text { at } x=1 \text { i.e. } c_{1}-c_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
c_{1}=c_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{1}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(x+\frac{1}{x}\right)
$$

Let,

$$
\phi_{2}(x)=d_{1} x+d_{2} \frac{1}{x}
$$

$$
\phi_{2}(1)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{2}^{\prime}(1)=1 \quad \text { gives }
$$

$$
d_{1}+d_{2}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{d}_{1}-d_{2}=1 . \text { Then } \quad d_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad d_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}
$$

$$
\text { and } \quad \phi_{2}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(x-\frac{1}{x}\right)
$$

## Q. 2. Find two linearly independent solutions of the equation

$$
(3 x-1)^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+(9 x-3) y^{\prime}-9 y=0 \quad \text { for } \quad x>\frac{1}{2}
$$

Ans. : Put

$$
t=3 x-1 \text { then } \frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{d y}{d t} \cdot \frac{d t}{d x}=\dot{y} \cdot 3
$$

where - represents derivative with respect to $t$.

$$
\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}=\frac{d}{d x} \frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{d}{d x} \dot{y} \cdot 3=\frac{d}{d t}(3 \dot{y}) \frac{d t}{d x}=3 \ddot{y} \cdot 3=9 \ddot{y}
$$

Therefore $9 t^{2} \ddot{y}+9 t \dot{y}-9 y=0$
or $\quad t^{2} \ddot{y}+t \dot{y}-y=0$
Let $\quad y=t^{r}$ be a solution then

$$
r(r-1) t^{r}+r t^{+r}-t^{r}=0 \text { implies }\left(r^{2}-1\right) t^{r}=0
$$

But $t>0$ therefore $r=+1,-1$
and $\phi_{1}(t)=t$ and $\phi_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{t}$ are solutions
But $t=3 x-1$ and therefore
$\phi_{1}(x)=3 x-1$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=\frac{1}{3 x-1}$ are two solutions of given equation.

$$
c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)=0 \text { implies } c_{1}(3 x-1)+\frac{c_{2}}{3 x-1}=0
$$

On differentiating this equation two times with respect to $x$ we get $-\frac{18 c_{2}}{(3 x-1)^{2}}=0$ and therefore $c_{2}=0$. Since $3 x-1 \neq 0, c_{1}=0$.

Thus $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are linearly independent.
Q. 3. A differential equation and a function $\phi_{1}$ are given in each of the following. Verify that the function $\phi_{1}$ satisfies the equation and find a second independent solution.
(a) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-7 x y^{\prime}+15 y=0, \quad \phi_{1}(x)=x^{3},(x>0)$
(b) $x y^{\prime \prime}-(x+1) y^{\prime}+y=0, \quad \phi_{1}(x)=e^{x},(x>0)$
(c) $\left(1-x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}-2 x y^{\prime}+2 y=0, \quad \phi_{1}(x)=x,(0<x<1)$

Ans (a) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}(x)=x^{3}, \quad \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)=3 x^{2}, \quad \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)=6 x \\
& L\left(\phi_{1}\right)=x^{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}-7 x \phi_{1}^{\prime}+15 \phi_{1}=x^{2}(6 x)-7 x\left(3 x^{2}\right)+15 x^{3}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $L\left(\phi_{1}\right)=0, \phi_{1}$ is a solution of $L(y)=0$
To determine the second solution, since $x>0$, we can divide the given equation by $x^{2}$. Consider $y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{7}{x} y^{\prime}+\frac{15}{x^{2}} y=0$.

Let $\phi(x)=u(x) \phi_{1}(x)=x^{3} u(x)$ be a solution. Then $\left(x^{3} u(x)\right)^{\prime \prime}-\frac{7}{x}\left(x^{3} u\right)^{\prime}+\frac{15}{x^{2}}\left(x^{3} u\right)=0$ gives $\left(u^{\prime \prime} x^{3}+6 x^{2} u^{\prime}+6 x u\right)-\frac{7}{x}\left(x^{3} u^{\prime}+3 x^{2} u\right)+\frac{15}{x^{2}}\left(x^{3} u\right)=0$ or $u^{\prime \prime} x^{3}+6 x^{2} u^{\prime}-7 x^{2} u^{\prime}=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i.e. } u^{\prime \prime} x-u^{\prime}=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{u^{\prime \prime}}{u^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{x} \quad \text { (Integrate with respect to } x \text { ) } \\
& \log u^{\prime}=\log x+\log k \Rightarrow \quad u^{\prime}=k x
\end{aligned}
$$

But then $u=k \frac{x^{2}}{2} \quad$ Let $\quad k=2$ Then $\phi(x)=u(x) \phi_{1}(x)=x^{2}\left(x^{3}\right)=x^{5}$ is the second solution independent of $\phi_{1}$ as $c_{1} x^{3}+c_{2} x^{5}=0$ implies $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$.

Ans (b) : $\phi_{1}(x)=e^{x}=\phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)=\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)$ Let $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}-\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right) y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{x} y=0$. (We can divide the given equation by $x$ as $x>0$.)

$$
L\left(\phi_{1}\right)=e^{x}-\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right) e^{x}+\frac{1}{x} e^{x}=0 \quad \therefore \phi_{1} \text { is a solution. }
$$

To determine second solution, let $\phi(x)=u(x) \phi_{1}(x)$ be a solution then by theorem 2.1.5

$$
u(x)=\int \frac{1}{\phi_{1}^{2}(x)} \exp \left[-\int^{x} a_{1}(t) d t\right] d x
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int e^{-2 x} \exp \left[+\int\left(1+\frac{1}{x}\right) d x\right] d x \\
& =\int e^{-2 x} \exp [x+\log x] d x \\
& =\int e^{-2 x} x e^{x} d x=\int x e^{-x} d x=-(1+x) \mathrm{e}^{-x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\phi_{2}(x)=-(1+x)$ is a second solution of the equation $L(y)=0$

$$
c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)=c_{1} e^{x}+c_{2}(-1)(1+x)=0 \Rightarrow c_{1}+c_{2}(-1)(1+x) e^{-x}=0
$$

But then $c_{2}=c_{1}=0$ therefore $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are linearly independent solutions.
Ans (c) : $\phi_{1}(x)=x, \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)=1, \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)=0 . \quad$ Let $\quad L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2 x}{1-x^{2}} y^{\prime}+\frac{2 y}{1-x^{2}}=0$.
$L\left(\phi_{1}\right)=0-\frac{2 x}{1-x^{2}} 1+\frac{2 x}{1-x^{2}}=0$. Therefore $\phi_{1}$ is a solition $L(y)=0$. To determine second solution, let $\phi_{2}(x)=u(x) \phi_{1}(x)$ be a solution of $L(y)=0$.

By Theorem 2.1.5.

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(x) & =\int \frac{1}{\phi_{1}^{2}} \exp \left[-\int x^{x}(t) d t\right] d x \\
& =\int \frac{1}{x^{2}} \exp \left[-\int^{x} \frac{-2 t d t}{1-t^{2}}\right] d x=\int \frac{1}{x^{2}} \frac{1}{1-x^{2}} d x \\
& =\int \frac{d x}{x^{2}\left(1-x^{2}\right)}=\int \frac{d x}{x^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d x}{1-x}+\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d x}{1+x} \\
& =-x^{-1}+\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\phi_{2}(x)=\phi_{1}(x) u(x)=-1+\frac{x}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}\right)$ is a second solution.
Q. 4. One solution of $x^{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}-3 x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-6 x y^{\prime}-6 y=0$ for $x>0$ is $\phi_{1}(x)=x$ find the remaining two independent solutions for $\boldsymbol{x}>\mathbf{0}$.
Ans : Let $\phi=x u$ be a solution of $L(y)=0$. Then $\phi^{\prime}=x u^{\prime}+u, \phi^{\prime \prime}=x u^{\prime \prime}+2 u^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}=x u^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 u^{\prime \prime}$. $L(\phi)=x^{3}\left(x u^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 u^{\prime \prime}\right)-3 x^{2}\left(x u^{\prime \prime}+2 u^{\prime}\right)+6 x\left(x u^{\prime}+u\right)-6 x u=0$ implies $x^{4} u^{\prime \prime \prime}=0$. Since $x \neq 0, u^{\prime \prime \prime}=0$ gives $u=c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2}$. Thus $u=x$ and $u=x^{2}$ are two linear independent solutions of $u^{\prime \prime \prime}=0$. But $\phi=x u$ is a solution. Therefore $\phi_{2}(x)=x^{2}$ and $\phi_{3}(x)=x^{3}$ are remaining two linearly independent solutions.
Q. 5. Consider the equation $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{1}(x) y^{\prime \prime}+a_{2}(x) y^{\prime}+a_{3}(x) y=0$. Suppose $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are given linearly independent solutions of $L(y)=0$. Let $\phi=\boldsymbol{u} \phi_{1}$ and compute the solution of order two satisfied by $\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}$ in order that $L(\phi)=0$. Show that $\left(\frac{\phi_{2}}{\phi_{1}}\right)^{\prime}$ is a solution of this equation of order two.
Ans (c) : Let $\phi=u \phi_{1}$ be a solution of $L(y)=0$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi^{\prime}=u^{\prime} \phi_{1}+u \phi_{1}^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime \prime}=u^{\prime \prime} \phi_{1}+2 u^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+u \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \phi^{\prime \prime \prime}=u^{\prime \prime \prime} \phi_{1}+3 u^{\prime \prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime}++3 u^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+u \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} \\
L(y)=\left[u^{\prime \prime \prime} \phi_{1}+3 u^{\prime \prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+3 u^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+u \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]+a_{1}(x)\left[u^{\prime \prime} \phi_{1}+2 u^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+u \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
+a_{2}(x)\left[u^{\prime} \phi_{1}+u \phi_{1}^{\prime}\right]+a_{3}(x) u \phi_{1}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\phi_{1}$ is a solution $\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+a_{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+a_{3} \phi_{1}=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(y) & =u^{\prime \prime \prime} \phi_{1}+3 u^{\prime \prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+3 u^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+a_{1}(x)\left[u^{\prime \prime} \phi_{1}+2 u^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\right]+a_{2}(x) u^{\prime} \phi_{1}=0 . \\
& =\phi_{1} u^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left[3 \phi_{1}^{\prime}+a_{1}(x) \phi_{1}\right] u^{\prime \prime}+\left[3 \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+2 a_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+a_{2} \phi_{1}\right] u^{\prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $L(y)=0$ is an equation of order two in $u^{\prime} .\left(\frac{\phi_{2}}{\phi_{1}}\right)^{\prime}$ is a solution of $L(v)=\phi_{1} v^{\prime \prime}$ $+\left[3 \phi_{1}^{\prime}+a_{1} \phi_{1}\right] v^{\prime}+\left[3 \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+2 a_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+a_{2} \phi_{1}\right] v=0$ if it satisfies the equation, $L(v)=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
v & =\left(\frac{\phi_{2}}{\phi_{1}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{\phi_{2}^{\prime}}{\phi_{1}}-\frac{\phi_{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime}}{\phi_{1}{ }^{2}}, v^{\prime}=\frac{\phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{\phi_{1}}-\frac{\phi_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{2}^{\prime}}{\phi_{1}{ }^{2}}-\frac{\phi_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{2}^{\prime}}{\phi_{1}{ }^{2}}-\frac{\phi_{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{\phi_{1}{ }^{2}}+\frac{2 \phi_{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime 2}}{\phi_{1}^{3}} \\
v^{\prime} & =\frac{\phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{\phi_{1}}-\frac{2 \phi_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{2}^{\prime}}{\phi_{1}{ }^{2}}-\frac{\phi_{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{\phi_{1}{ }^{2}}+\frac{2 \phi_{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime 2}}{\phi_{1}^{3}} \\
v^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{\phi_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{\phi_{1}}-\frac{3 \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime}}{\phi_{1}^{2}}-\frac{3 \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} \phi_{2}^{\prime}}{\phi_{1}^{2}}+\frac{6 \phi_{1}^{\prime 2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}}{\phi_{1}^{3}}+\frac{6 \phi_{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{\phi_{1}^{3}}-\frac{6 \phi_{2} \phi_{1}^{3}}{\phi_{1}^{4}}-\frac{\phi_{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{\phi_{1}^{2}} \\
L(v) & =\phi_{1} v^{\prime \prime}+\left[3 \phi_{1}^{\prime}+a_{1} \phi_{1}\right] v^{\prime}+\left[3 \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+2 a_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+a_{2} \phi_{1}\right] v \\
& =\left(\phi_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{1} \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}+a_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{\phi_{2}}{\phi_{1}}\left(\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+a_{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\phi_{2}$ and $\phi_{1}$ are solutions, $\phi_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{1} \phi_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}+a_{2} \phi_{2}{ }^{\prime}+a_{3} \phi_{2}=0$ and $\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}+a_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+a_{2} \phi_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ $+a_{3} \phi_{1}=0$ and therefore

$$
L(v)=-a_{3} \phi_{2}-\frac{\phi_{2}}{\phi_{1}}\left(-a_{3} \phi_{1}\right)=0
$$

Thus, $v=\left(\frac{\phi_{2}}{\phi_{1}}\right)^{\prime}$ is a solution of reduced equation.

## EXERCISE

Use the reduction of order method and find the general solution of each of the following equations. Verify that $\phi_{1}$ satisfies the equation.
(a) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-x y^{\prime}+y=0, \phi_{1}=x \quad$ (Ans. $\left.y=c_{1} x+c_{2} x \log x\right)$
(b) $y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2}{x} y^{\prime}+\frac{2}{x^{2}} y=0, \phi_{1}=x$
(Ans. $y=c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2}$ )
(c) $\left(2 x^{2}+1\right) y^{\prime \prime}-4 x y^{\prime}+4 y=0, \phi_{1}=x$
(Ans. $y=c_{1} x+c_{2}\left(2 x^{2}-1\right)$ )
(d) $y^{\prime \prime}+\left(x^{2}-x\right) y^{\prime}-(x-1) y=0, \phi_{1}=x$
(Ans. $y=c_{1} x+c_{2} x \int \frac{e^{-\frac{x^{3}}{3}+\frac{x^{2}}{2}}}{x^{2}} d x$ )
(e) $y^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{x}{2}-\frac{1}{x}\right) y^{\prime}-y=0, \quad \phi_{1}=x^{2}$
(Ans. $y=c_{1} x^{2}+c_{2} x^{2} \int \frac{e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{4}}}{x^{3}} d x$ )
(f) $2 x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+3 x y^{\prime}-y=0, \phi_{1}=x^{1 / 2}$
(Ans. $y=c_{1} x^{\frac{1}{2}}+c_{2} x^{-1}$ )

## Unit 2 : Basis

In the course on linear algebra we learn about a vector space also called as linear space and the basis of a linear space. Suppose $S$ is a set of functions with the following property.

If $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \in \mathrm{~S}, c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2} \in \mathrm{~S}$ for any two constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$. Then the set S is called a linear space of functions. If a linear space of functions $S$ contains $n$ functions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ which are linearly independent and every function from $S$ can be represented as a linear combination of these functions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ then the set $\left\{\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}\right\}$ is called a basis for the linear space $S$. The number $n$ is called dimension of $S$.

For a given linear differential equation $L(y)=y^{(n)}+a_{1}(x) y^{(n-1)}+a_{2}(x) y^{(n-1)}+\cdots$ $+a_{n}(x) y=0$, the collection of all solutions denoted by $S$ of $L(y)=0$ is a linear space. Every basis of $S$ contains $n$ linearly independent functions and therefore dimension of solution space $S$ is n .

To check the linear independence of functions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$, we consider the wronskian $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}\right)$. There is a relation between the linear indepence of functions and the Wronskian $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}\right)$. In chapter I we have proved this result for the linear differential equation with constant coefficients.

## A. Linear Independence and Wronskian

In section $1(\mathrm{~B})$ we have seen that for the differential equation $L(y)=y^{(n)}+a_{1}(x) y^{(n-1)}$ $+a_{2}(x) y^{(n-2)}+\cdots+a_{n} y=0$ there are $n$ linearly independent solutions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ satisfying the initial conditions $\phi_{i}^{(i-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=1, \phi_{i}^{(j-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0, j \neq i$. These linearly independent solutions is a basis of solution space of $L(y)=0$. Every solution of $L(y)=0$ can be represented as a linear combination of these functions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$.

## Theorem 2.2.1

Let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}$ be $n$ solutions of $L(y)=0$ on I satisfying the initial conditions.

$$
\phi_{i}^{(i-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=1, \phi_{i}^{(j-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0, j \neq i, \quad x_{0} \in I
$$

If $\phi$ is any solution of $L(y)=0$ on I, there are $n$ constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots, c_{n}$ such that $\phi=c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}+\cdots+c_{n} \phi_{n}$

## Proof :

Let $\phi$ is any solution of $L(y)=0$ on I. Let $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1,} \phi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{2}, \phi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{3}$, $\cdots \phi^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{n}$ for some constants $\alpha_{1,} \alpha_{2,} \alpha_{3}, \cdots \alpha_{n}$.

Consider a function $\psi=\alpha_{1} \phi_{1}+\alpha_{2} \phi_{2}+\alpha_{3} \phi_{3}+\cdots+\alpha_{n} \phi_{n}$
Since $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots, \phi_{n}$ are solutions of $L(y)=0$, by superposition principle (chapter 2 unit 1 (B)) $\psi$ is also a solution of $L(y)=0$ and clearly

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \psi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1} \phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)+\alpha_{2} \phi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)+\alpha_{3} \phi_{3}\left(x_{0}\right)+\cdots+\alpha_{n} \phi_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1} \\
\text { as } & \phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=1 \text { and } \phi_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \text { for } i=2,3,4, \ldots, n . \\
& \psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\alpha_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\alpha_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\cdots+\alpha_{n} \phi_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{2}
\end{array}
$$

Since, $\phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0, \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=1, \phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \cdots \phi_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$.

$$
\psi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\alpha_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\alpha_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\cdots+\alpha_{n} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{3}
$$

Since, $\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0, \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=1, \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \cdots \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$.
In general $\quad \psi^{i}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{i} \quad i=3,4,5, \ldots, n-1$
Thus, we see that

$$
\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1}, \psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{2}, \psi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{3}, \ldots, \psi^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{n} .
$$

Thus, $\psi$ is a solution of $L(y)=0$ having the same initial conditions at $x_{0}$ as that of $\phi$. By uniqueness theorem (chapter II Unit I theorem 2.1.2) we must have $\psi=\phi$.

$$
\text { i.e. } \quad \phi=\alpha_{1} \phi_{1}+\alpha_{2} \phi_{2}+\alpha_{3} \phi_{3}+\ldots .+\alpha_{n} \phi_{n}
$$

Thus, every solution of $L(y)=0$, can be uniquely represented as a linear combination of $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots, \phi_{n}$. Since $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ are linearly independent the set $\left\{\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}\right\}$ is a basis for the solutions $L(y)=0$.

Recall that the Wronkian of $n$ functions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ is defined as the determinant

$$
\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} & \phi_{3} & \cdots & \phi_{n} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}^{(n-1)} & \phi_{2}^{(n-1)} & \phi_{3}^{(n-1)} & & \phi_{n}^{(n-1)}
\end{array}\right|
$$

## Theorem 2.2.2 :

If $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ are $n$ solutions of $L(y)=0$ where $L(y)=y^{(n)}+a_{1}(x) y^{(n-1)}+$ $a_{2}(x) y^{(n-2)}+\cdots+a_{n}(x) y$, on an interval I, then they are linearly independent on I if and only if $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$ in I.

## Proof :

Suppose $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}\right)(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$ in I. We show that $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ are linearly independent on I. i.e. $\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} c_{i} \phi_{i}=0 \Rightarrow c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3}=\ldots=c_{n}=0$

If there are constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots ., c_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum c_{i} \phi_{i}(x)=c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)+c_{3} \phi_{3}(x)+\ldots .+c_{n} \phi_{n}(x)=0 \text { for all } x \text { in I then clearly }, \\
c_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x)+c_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime}(x)+\ldots .+c_{n} \phi_{n}^{\prime}(x)=0 \\
c_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)+c_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\ldots .+c_{n} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)=0 \\
\vdots \\
\\
c_{1} \phi_{1}^{(n-1)}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}^{(n-1)}(x)+c_{3} \phi_{3}^{(n-1)}(x)+\ldots .+c_{n} \phi_{n}^{(n-1)}(x)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus we get a system of linear equations

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_{1}(x) & \phi_{2}(x) & \phi_{3}(x) & \cdots & \phi_{n}(x) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}(x) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x) & \phi_{3}^{\prime}(x) & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime}(x) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x) & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x) & \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime}(x) & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}^{(n-1)}(x) & \phi_{2}{ }^{(n-1)}(x) & \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-1)}(x) & & \phi_{n}{ }^{(n-1)}(x)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
c_{3} \\
\vdots \\
c_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The above system can be represented by $\mathrm{Ax}=0$. If A is invertible then we can premultiply by $\mathrm{A}^{-1}$ and we get $\mathrm{x}=0$. The square matrix is invertible if it is non-singular i.e. determinant of A is non-zero.

The determinant of the matrix A is $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(x)$. Therefore if $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(x) \neq 0$ then $c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3}=\ldots=c_{n}=0$. Since $\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} c_{i} \phi_{i}=0 \Rightarrow c_{i}=0$, $i=1,2,3, \ldots, n, \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}$ are linearly independent.

Conversely, suppose $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ are linearly independent solutions of $L(y)=0$ defined on I. Suppose there is an $x_{0}$ in I such that $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)=0$.

Then system of $n$ linear equations

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{3}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & \phi_{n}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}{ }^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right) & & \phi_{n}{ }^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
c_{3} \\
\vdots \\
c_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

has a solution $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots, c_{n}$, where not all the constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots, c_{n}$ are zero. Let $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots ., c_{n}$ be a non-zero solution of above system of equations and consider

$$
\psi(x)=c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)+c_{3} \phi_{3}(x)+\cdots+c_{n} \phi_{n}(x) .
$$

Since, $\phi_{i}, i=1,2,3 \ldots . n$ are solution of $L(y)=0, \psi$ is also a solution of equation $L(y)=0$. Now $L(y)=0$ and from above system of equations we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=c_{1} \phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)+c_{2} \phi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)+c_{3} \phi_{3}\left(x_{0}\right)+\cdots+c_{n} \phi_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 . \\
\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=c_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+c_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+c_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\cdots+c_{n} \phi_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

In general

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi^{(i)}\left(x_{0}\right)=c_{1} \phi_{1}{ }^{(i)}\left(x_{0}\right)+c_{2} \phi_{2}{ }^{(i)}\left(x_{0}\right)+c_{3} \phi_{3}{ }^{(i)}\left(x_{0}\right)+\cdots+c_{n} \phi_{n}{ }^{(i)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \\
\text { for } i=1,2,3,4, \ldots ., n-1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, $\quad \psi\left(x_{0}\right)=\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\psi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\ldots .=\psi^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$.
From theorem 2.1.1 it follows that $\psi(x) \equiv 0$ on I.
Therefore, $c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)+c_{3} \phi_{3}(x)+\cdots+c_{n} \phi_{n}(x)=0$ for all $x$ in I. Thus, we have $c_{1}$, $c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots . . c_{n}$ not all zero such that $c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)+c_{3} \phi_{3}(x)+\cdots+c_{n} \phi_{n}(x)=0$ for all $x$ in I. Therefore the set $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ is not linearly independent on I. But this contradicts the fact that $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ are linearly independent on I. Therefore the assumption that there was a point $x_{0}$ in I such that $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. must be false i.e. $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$ in I.

## Theorem 2.2.3

Let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ be $n$ linearly independent solutions of $L(y)=y^{(n)}+a_{1}(x) y^{(n-1)}$ $+a_{2}(x) y^{(n-2)}+\cdots+a_{n}(x) y=0$ on an interval I. If $\phi$ is any solution of $L(y)=0$ on I, it can be represented in the form $\phi=c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}+c_{3} \phi_{3}+\ldots .+c_{n} \phi_{n}$, where $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots . . c_{n}$ are constants. Thus any set of $n$ linearly independent solutions of $L(y)=0$ on I is a basis for the solution space of $L(y)=0$ on I.

## Proof :

Let $x_{0}$ be a point in I. Suppose $\phi$ is any solution of $L(y)=0$. Let $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1}, \phi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{2}$, $\phi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{3}, \cdots \phi^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{n}$ We show that there exist unique constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots \ldots c_{n}$ such that $\psi=c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)+c_{3} \phi_{3}(x)+\cdots+c_{n} \phi_{n}(x)$ is a solution of $L(y)=0$ satisfying $\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1}, \psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{2}, \psi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{3}, \ldots ., \psi^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{n}$. These initial conditions are equivalent to the following equations for $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots \ldots c_{n}$ (e.g. $\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=c_{1} \phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)+c_{2} \phi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)+$ $\left.\ldots .+c_{n} \phi_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1}\right)$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{3}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & \phi_{n}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{2}^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right) & \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right) & & \phi_{n}{ }^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
c_{3} \\
\vdots \\
c_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{1} \\
\alpha_{2} \\
\alpha_{3} \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ are linearly independent by theorem $2.2 .2, \mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)$ $\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Therefore the coefficient matrix is inversible and there is a unique solution $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots \ldots c_{n}$ of the above system of equations.

Thus we have a unique solution

$$
\psi=c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)+c_{3} \phi_{3}(x)+\cdots+c_{n} \phi_{n}(x)
$$

Satisfying $\psi\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1}, \psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{2}, \psi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{3}, \ldots, \psi^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{n}$. But $\phi$ is a solution with identical initial conditions. Therefore by uniqueness theorem we have $\phi(x)=\psi(x)$ on I. Thus $\phi(x)=c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)+c_{3} \phi_{3}(x)+\cdots+c_{n} \phi_{n}(x)$ on I and any solution of $L(y)=0$ can be represented as a linear combination of $n$ linearly independent solutions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}$.

In theorem 2.2 .2 we have seen that the function $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ are linearly independent solutions of $L(y)=0$ if and only if the Wronskian $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$ in I. In the next theorem we show that it is sufficient to calculate the Wronskian $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}\right)$ at some point $x_{0}$ in I.

## Theorem 2.2.4

Let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ be $n$ solutions of $L(y)=0$ on an interval I and let $x_{0}$ be any point in I. Then

$$
\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(x)=\exp \left[-\int_{x_{0}}^{x} a_{1}(t) d t\right] \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

Note that since exponential function is non-zero function,
$\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$ implies $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(x) \neq 0$ for all $x$ in I.

## Proof :

$$
\text { Let } \mathrm{W}=\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} & \phi_{3} & \cdots & \phi_{n} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}^{(n-1)} & \phi_{2}{ }^{(n-1)} & \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-1)} & & \phi_{n}{ }^{(n-1)}
\end{array}\right|
$$

On differentiating W row wise we get


Where $\mathrm{V}_{k}$ differs from W only in its $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ row and the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ row of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is obtained by differentiating the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ row of W . The first $n-1$ determinants are all zero, since they each have two identical rows. Observe that $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}$ has kth and $(\mathrm{k}+1)^{\text {th }}$ row identical.

Since $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ are solution of $L(y)=0$, we have

$$
\phi_{i}^{(n)}=-a_{1} \phi_{i}^{(n-1)}-a_{2} \phi_{i}^{(n-2)}-a_{3} \phi_{i}^{(n-3)} \ldots-a_{n} \phi_{i}(i=1,2,3, \ldots, n)
$$

## Differential Equations

$$
=-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{n-j} \phi_{i}^{(j)}
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathrm{W}^{\prime}=V_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} & \phi_{3} & \cdots & \phi_{n} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2} & \phi_{3} & \cdots & \phi_{n}{ }^{\prime} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}{ }^{\prime \prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}{ }^{(n-2)} & \phi_{2}{ }^{(n-2)} & \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-2)} & & \phi_{n}{ }^{(n-2)} \\
-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{n-j} \phi_{1}^{(j)} & -\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{n-j} \phi_{2}^{(j)} & -\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{n-j} \phi_{3}^{(j)} & \cdots & -\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{n-j} \phi_{n}^{(j)}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Elimentary row transformations do not change the value of the determinant. Perform the transformation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{n}+a_{n} R_{1}+a_{n-1} R_{3}+a_{n-3} R_{3}+\ldots+a_{2} R_{n-1} \text {. we get } \\
& \mathrm{W}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} & \phi_{3} & \cdots & \phi_{n} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}^{(n-2)} & \phi_{2}^{(n-2)} & \phi_{3}^{(n-2)} & \cdots & \phi_{1}^{(n-2)} \\
-a_{1} \phi_{1}^{(n-1)} & -a_{1} \phi_{2}^{(n-1)} & -a_{1} \phi_{3}^{(n-1)} & \cdots & -a_{1} \phi_{n}^{(n-1)}
\end{array}\right] \\
&=-a_{1}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} & \phi_{3} & \cdots & \phi_{n} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}^{(n-1)} & \phi_{2}^{(n-1)} & \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-1)} & & \phi_{n}{ }^{(n-1)}
\end{array}\right]=-a_{1} \mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\mathrm{W}^{\prime}+a_{1} \mathrm{~W}=0$ and we get,

$$
\mathrm{W}(x)=e^{-\int_{x_{0}}^{x} a_{1}(t) d t} \mathrm{~W}\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

i.e. $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}\right)(x)=\exp \left[-\int_{x_{0}}^{x} a_{1}(t) d t\right] \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Corollatory: If the coefficient $a_{1}$ is constant then

$$
\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}\right)(x)=e^{-a_{1}\left(x-x_{0}\right)} \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) .
$$

From theorem 2.2.2 and theorem 2.2.4 it follows that $n$ solutions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ of $L(y)=0$ on I are linearly independent if and only if $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$ for some point $x_{0}$ in I.

## B. Solutions of non-homogeneous equation

The equation $L(y)=y^{(n)}+a_{1}(x) y^{(n-1)}+a_{2}(x) y^{(n-2)}+\ldots+a_{n} y=b(x)$ where $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots .$, $a_{n}, b$ are continuous functions on an interval I is a non-homogeneous linear equation of order $n$ with variable coefficients. The solutions of this equation can be determined by the variations of constant method.

## Theorem 2.2.5

Let $b(x)$ be a continuous function on an interval I and let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ be a basis for the solutions of $L(y)=0$ on I. Every solution $\psi$ of $L(y)=y^{(n)}+a_{1}(x) y^{(n-1)}+a_{2}(x) y^{(n-2)}+$ $\ldots+a_{n}(x) y=b(x)$ can be written as $\psi=\psi_{p}+c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}+c_{3} \phi_{3}+\ldots+c_{n} \phi_{n}$ where is a $\psi_{p}$ is a particular solution of $L(y)=b(x)$ and $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots . . c_{n}$ are constants. Every such $\psi$ is a solution of $L(y)=b(x)$. A particular solution $\psi_{p}$ is given by

$$
\psi_{p}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi_{k}(x) \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{W_{k}(t) b(t)}{W\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(t)} d t
$$

where $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)$ is a wronkian of $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is the determinant obtained from $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)$ by replacing the $k^{\mathrm{th}}$ column $\left(\phi_{k} \phi_{k}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{k}{ }^{\prime \prime} \ldots \phi_{k}{ }^{(n-1)}\right)^{T}$ by $(0,0,0 \ldots, 0,1)^{\mathrm{T}}$.

## Proof :

If $\psi_{p}$ is a particular solution of $L(y)=b(x)$ and $\psi$ is any other solution of $L(y)=b(x)$, then

$$
L\left(\psi-\psi_{p}\right)=L(\psi)-L\left(\psi_{p}\right)=b(x)-b(x)=0 .
$$

Therefore $\psi-\psi_{p}$ is a solution of corresponding homogeneous equation $L(y)=0$. Since $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}$ is a basis for the solution of $L(y)=0$ on I, every solution of $L(y)=0$ can be expressed as a linear combination of $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi-\psi_{p} & =c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}+c_{3} \phi_{3}+\ldots+c_{n} \phi_{n} \\
\psi & =\psi_{p}+c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}+c_{3} \phi_{3}+\ldots+c_{n} \phi_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

A particular solution $\psi_{p}$ can be found by variation of constants method. Let $\psi_{p}$ be of the form

$$
\psi_{p}=u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x)+u_{3}(x) \phi_{3}(x)+\ldots+u_{n}(x) \phi_{n}(x)
$$

Since $\psi_{p}$ is a solution, $L\left(\psi_{p}\right)=b(x)$.

$$
\psi_{p}^{\prime}=u_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{1}+u_{2}^{\prime} \phi_{2}+u_{3}^{\prime} \phi_{3}+\ldots+u_{n}^{\prime} \phi_{n}+u_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+u_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}+u_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime}+\ldots+u_{n} \phi_{n}^{\prime}
$$

Choose $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, \ldots . . u_{n}$ such that $u_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{1}+u_{2}^{\prime} \phi_{2}+u_{3}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{3}+\ldots+u_{n}^{\prime} \phi_{n}=0$
Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{p}^{\prime}=u_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+u_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}+u_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime}+\ldots+u_{n} \phi_{n}^{\prime} \\
& \psi_{p}^{\prime \prime}=u_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+u_{2}^{\prime} \phi_{2}^{\prime}+u_{3}^{\prime} \phi_{3}^{\prime}+\ldots+u_{n}^{\prime} \phi_{n}^{\prime}+u_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+u_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}+u_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime}+\ldots+u_{n} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
u_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+u_{2}^{\prime} \phi_{2}^{\prime}+u_{3}^{\prime} \phi_{3}^{\prime}+\ldots+u_{n}^{\prime} \phi_{n}^{\prime}=0 \text { then }
$$

## Differential Equations

$$
\psi_{p}{ }^{\prime \prime}=u_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+u_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}+u_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime}+\ldots+u_{n} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}
$$

In general choose $u_{1}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{1}{ }^{(k)}+u_{2}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{2}{ }^{(k)}+u_{3}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{3}{ }^{(k)}+\ldots+u_{n}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{n}{ }^{(k)}=0$
Then $\quad \psi_{p}{ }^{(k+1)}=u_{1} \phi_{1}{ }^{(k+1)}+u_{2} \phi_{2}{ }^{(k+1)}+u_{3} \phi_{3}{ }^{(k+1)}+\ldots+u_{n} \phi_{n}{ }^{(k+1)}$
and $\psi_{p}{ }^{(n)}=u_{1} \phi_{1}{ }^{(n-1)}+u_{2}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{2}{ }^{(n-1)}+u_{3}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-1)}+\ldots+u_{n}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{n}{ }^{(n-1)}+u_{1} \phi_{1}{ }^{(n)}+u_{2} \phi_{2}{ }^{(n)}+\ldots+u_{n} \phi_{n}{ }^{(n)}$
If we choose $u_{1}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{(n-1)}+u_{2}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{2}{ }^{(n-1)}+u_{3}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-1)}+\ldots+u_{n}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{n}{ }^{(n-1)}=b(x)$. Then

$$
\psi_{p}^{(n)}=u_{1} \phi_{1}^{(n)}+u_{2} \phi_{2}^{(n)}+u_{3} \phi_{3}{ }^{(n)}+\ldots+u_{n} \phi_{n}^{(n)}+b(x)
$$

Thus we have the following equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{p}=u_{1} \phi_{1}+u_{2} \phi_{2}+u_{3} \phi_{3}+\ldots+u_{n} \phi_{n} \\
\psi_{p}^{\prime}=u_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+u_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}+u_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime}+\ldots+u_{n} \phi_{n}^{\prime} ; u_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{1}+u_{2}^{\prime} \phi_{2}+u_{3}^{\prime} \phi_{3}+\ldots+u_{n}^{\prime} \phi_{n}=0 \\
\psi_{p}^{\prime \prime}=u_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+u_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}+u_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime}+\ldots+u_{n} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime} ; u_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+u_{2}^{\prime} \phi_{2}^{\prime}+u_{3}^{\prime} \phi_{3}^{\prime}+\ldots+u_{n}^{\prime} \phi_{n}^{\prime}=0 \\
\psi_{p}^{\prime \prime \prime}=u_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}+u_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}+u_{3} \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime \prime}+\ldots+u_{n} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime} ; u_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+u_{2}^{\prime} \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}+u_{3}^{\prime} \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime}+\ldots+u_{n}^{\prime} \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}=0 \\
\vdots \\
\psi_{p}^{(n-1)}=u_{1} \phi_{1}^{(n-1)}+u_{2} \phi_{2}^{(n-1)}+\ldots+u_{n} \phi_{n}^{(n-1)} ; u_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{(n-2)}+u_{2}^{\prime} \phi_{2}^{(n-2)} \\
+u_{3}^{\prime} \phi_{3}^{(n-2)}+\ldots+u_{n}^{\prime} \phi_{n}^{(n-2)}=0 \\
\psi_{p}^{(n)}=u_{1} \phi_{1}^{(n)}+u_{2} \phi_{2}^{(n)}+u_{3} \phi_{3}^{(n)}+\ldots+u_{n} \phi_{n}^{(n)}+b(x) \quad ; \quad u_{1}^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{(n-1)}+u_{2}^{\prime} \phi_{2}^{(n-1)} \\
+u_{3}^{\prime} \phi_{3}^{(n-1)}+\ldots+u_{n}^{\prime} \phi_{n}^{(n-1)}=b(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

Adding the terms columwise on left we get

$$
L\left(\psi_{p}\right)=u_{1} L\left(\phi_{1}\right)+u_{2} L\left(\phi_{2}\right)+u_{3} L\left(\phi_{3}\right)+\ldots+u_{n} L\left(\phi_{n}\right)+b(x)
$$

Since $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots ., \phi_{n}$ are $n$ solutions of homogeneous equation $L(y)=0, L\left(\phi_{1}\right)=L\left(\phi_{2}\right)=$ $L\left(\phi_{3}\right)=\ldots=L\left(\phi_{n}\right)=0$ and $L\left(\psi_{p}\right)=b(x)$.

The right hand side equations are the following system of linear equations.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} & \phi_{3} & \cdots & \phi_{n} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{3}^{\prime \prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}{ }^{(n-1)} & \phi_{2}{ }^{(n-1)} & \phi_{3}{ }^{(n-1)} & & \phi_{n}{ }^{(n-1)}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{1}^{\prime} \\
u_{2}^{\prime} \\
u_{3}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
u_{n}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
b
\end{array}\right]
$$

We solve the above system of equations by Cramer's rule.
Thus, $u_{k}^{\prime}=\frac{\bar{W}_{k}}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)}$
Where $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)$ is a Wronksian of $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}$ and $\bar{W}_{k}$ is the determinant
obtained from $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)$ by replacing $k^{\text {th }}$ column by $(0,0,0, \ldots ., 0, b)^{T}$. Thus

$$
\bar{W}_{k}=\left|\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} & \cdots & \phi_{k-1} & 0 & \phi_{k+1} & \cdots & \phi_{n} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime} & & \phi_{k-1}^{\prime} & 0 & \phi_{k+1}^{\prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime} & & \phi_{k-1}^{\prime \prime} & 0 & \phi_{k+1}^{\prime \prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}^{(n-1)} & \phi_{2}^{(n-1)} & & \phi_{k-1}{ }^{(n-1)} & b(x) & \phi_{k+1}^{(n-1)} & & \phi_{n}^{(n-1)}
\end{array}\right|
$$

$$
=b(x)\left|\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} & \cdots & \phi_{k-1} & 0 & \phi_{k+1} & \cdots & \phi_{n} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime} & & \phi_{k-1}^{\prime} & 0 & \phi_{k+1}^{\prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime} & & \phi_{k-1}^{\prime \prime} & 0 & \phi_{k+1}^{\prime \prime} & \cdots & \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\phi_{1}^{(n-1)} & \phi_{2}^{(n-1)} & & \phi_{k-1}{ }^{(n-1)} & 1 & \phi_{k+1}^{(n-1)} & & \phi_{n}^{(n-1)}
\end{array}\right|=b(x) W_{k}
$$

$$
\text { Thus, } \quad u_{k}^{\prime}=\frac{b(x) W_{k}}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)} \quad \text { i.e. } u_{k}=\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{b(t) W_{k}(t)}{W\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(t)} d t .
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{p} & =u_{1} \phi_{1}+u_{2} \phi_{2}+u_{3} \phi_{3}+\ldots+u_{n} \phi_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi_{k} u_{k} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi_{k}(x) \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{b(t) W_{k}(t)}{W\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)(t)} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXAMPLES

Q. 1. Consider the equation $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1}(x) y^{\prime}+a_{2}(x) y=0$, where $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are continuous on some interval I. Let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ and $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$ be two bases for the solution $L(y)=0$. Show that there is a non-zero constant $k$. Such that $W\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)(x)=k W\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)$
Ans.: Since $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ is bases for the solutions of $L(y)=0$ and $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$ are solutions of $L(y)=0$.

$$
\psi_{1}=c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{2}=d_{1} \phi_{1}+d_{2} \phi_{2}
$$

for some constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, d_{1}, d_{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)(x) & =\left|\begin{array}{cc}
c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2} & d_{1} \phi_{1}+d_{2} \phi_{2} \\
c_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+c_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime} & d_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+d_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{ll}
c_{1} \phi_{1} & d_{1} \phi_{1}+d_{2} \phi_{2} \\
c_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime} & d_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+d_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|+\left|\begin{array}{ll}
c_{2} \phi_{2} & d_{1} \phi_{1}+d_{2} \phi_{2} \\
c_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime} & d_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+d_{2} \phi_{2}{ }^{\prime}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =c_{1}\left[\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1} & d_{1} \phi_{1} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & d_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|+\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1} & d_{2} \phi_{2} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & d_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|\right]+c_{2}\left[\begin{array}{|cc}
\phi_{2} & d_{1} \phi_{1} \\
\phi_{2}^{\prime} & d_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\left|+\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{2} & d_{2} \phi_{2} \\
\phi_{2}^{\prime} & d_{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|\right]\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =c_{1} d_{2}\left[\left.\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|+c_{2} d_{1} \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{cc}
\phi_{2} & \phi_{1} \\
\phi_{2}^{\prime} & \phi_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left(c_{1} d_{2}-c_{2} d_{1}\right)\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|=\left(c_{1} d_{2}-c_{2} d_{1}\right) \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\mathrm{W}\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)(x)=\left(c_{1} d_{2}-c_{2} d_{1}\right) \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)$
Since $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$ are independent $c_{1} d_{2}-c_{2} d_{1} \neq 0$.
Therefore there is a non-zero constant $k=c_{1} d_{2}-c_{2} d_{1}$ such that $\mathrm{W}\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)(x)=$ $k \mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)$.
Q. 2. Consider $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1}(x) y^{\prime}+a_{2}(x) y=0$. Show that $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are uniquely determined by any basis $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ for the solutions of $L(y)=0$. Show that

$$
a_{1}=-\frac{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right|}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)}, a_{2}=-\frac{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right|}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)}
$$

Ans. : Since $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ is basis for solutions of $L(y)=0, \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are solutions of $L(y)=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L\left(\phi_{1}\right)=\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} \phi_{1}^{\prime}+a_{2} \phi_{1}=0 \\
& L\left(\phi_{2}\right)=\phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} \phi_{2}^{\prime}+a_{2} \phi_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving above two equations for $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ by Cramers rule, we get.

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{1}=-\frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
-\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{1} \\
-\phi_{2}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{2}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{1} \\
\phi_{2}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}
\end{array}\right|}=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right|}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)} \\
a_{2}=-\frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
+\phi_{1}^{\prime} & -\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} \\
+\phi_{2}^{\prime} & -\phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{1} \\
\phi_{2}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}
\end{array}\right|}=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right|}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

[ We use the elementory properties of determinants $\operatorname{det} \mathrm{A}=\operatorname{det} \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{T}}$ and if we interchange row / column, the value of det change its sign.]
Q. 3. Consider the equation $y^{\prime \prime}+\alpha(x) y=0$ where $\alpha$ is a continuous function on $-\infty<x<\infty$. Let, $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ be the basis for the solutions satisfying

$$
\phi_{1}(0)=1, \phi_{2}(0)=0, \phi_{1}^{\prime}(0)=1, \phi_{2}^{\prime}(0)=1,
$$

Show that $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=1$ for all $x$

Ans. : For the differential equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1}(x) y^{\prime}+a_{2}(x) y=0 \text {, if } \phi_{1} \text { and } \phi_{2} \text { are two solutions then } \\
\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=e^{-\int_{x_{0}}^{x} a_{1}(t) d t} \mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

For $y^{\prime \prime}+\alpha(x) y=0, a_{1}=0$. Therefore $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(0)$

$$
=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1}(0) & \phi_{2}(0) \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}(0) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}(0)
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

Thus, $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(x)=1$ for all $x$.
Q. 4. Find a general solution of $y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2}{x^{2}} y=x(0<x<a)$

Ans. : Assume that the solution of homogeneous equation $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2}{x^{2}} y=0$ is of the form $x^{r}$. Then $y=x^{r}$ implies $L\left(x^{r}\right)=r(r-1) x^{r-2}-2 x^{r-2}=0$ gives $r(r-1)-2=0$. Then $r^{2}-r-2=0$ implies $r=2$ and $r=-1$. Thus, $\phi_{1}(x)=x^{2}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=\frac{1}{x}$ are solutions of homogeneous equation $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2}{x^{2}} y=0$.
A solution $\psi_{p}$ of the non-homogeneous equation has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{p} & =u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x) \\
& =u_{1}(x) x^{2}+u_{2}(x) \frac{1}{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where, $\quad u_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{b(x) \mathrm{W}_{1}}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)}$ and $u_{2}{ }^{\prime}=\frac{b(x) \mathrm{W}_{2}}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)}$
Here $\quad b(x)=x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}_{1} & =\left|\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{1}{x} \\
1 & -\frac{1}{x^{2}}
\end{array}\right|=-\frac{1}{x} \quad, \quad \mathrm{~W}_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
x^{2} & 0 \\
2 x & 1
\end{array}\right|=x^{2} \\
\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) & =\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime} & \phi_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
x^{2} & \frac{1}{x} \\
2 x & -\frac{1}{x^{2}}
\end{array}\right|=-1-2=-3 \text { and we find that } \\
u_{1}^{\prime} & =\frac{x(-1 / x)}{-3}=\frac{1}{3} \text { and } u_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{b(x) \mathrm{W}_{2}}{\mathrm{~W}}=\frac{x\left(x^{2}\right)}{-3}=-\frac{x^{3}}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

We may take $u_{1}=\frac{x}{3}$ and $u_{2}=-\frac{x^{4}}{12}$. We skip the constants of integration as they correspond to the solution of corresponding homogeneous equation.

Thus, the solution of non-homogeneous equation becomes

$$
\psi_{p}=\frac{x}{3} \cdot x^{2}-\frac{x^{4}}{12} \cdot \frac{1}{x}=\frac{x^{3}}{4} .
$$

Every solution $\phi$ of $L(y)=x$ has the form

$$
\phi(x)=\psi_{p}+c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)=\frac{x^{3}}{4}+c_{1} x^{2}+\frac{c_{2}}{x}
$$

where, $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are constants.
Q. 5. One solution of $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-2 y=0$ on $0<x<\infty$ is $\phi_{1}(x)=x^{2}$. Find all solutions of

$$
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-2 y=2 x-1 \text { on } 0<x<\infty .
$$

Ans. : $\phi_{1}(x)=x^{2}$ Let $\phi_{2}(x)=u(x) \phi_{1}(x)$ be a solution of $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-2 y=0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(\phi_{2}\right) & =x^{2}\left[u^{\prime \prime}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+2 u^{\prime}(x) \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)+u(x) \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right]-2 u(x) \phi_{1}(x)=0 \\
& =x^{2}\left[u^{\prime \prime} x^{2}+2 u^{\prime}(x) \cdot 2 x+u(x) \cdot 2\right]-2 u(x) x^{2}=0 \\
L\left(\phi_{2}\right) & =0 \text { gives } u^{\prime \prime} x^{4}+4 u^{\prime} x^{3}=0 \text { i.e. } \frac{u^{\prime \prime}}{u^{\prime}}=-\frac{4}{x} \\
\log u^{\prime} & =-4 \log x \text { and } u^{\prime}=x^{-4} \text { or } u=-\frac{1}{3 x^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\phi_{2}(x)=u(x) \phi_{1}(x)=-\frac{1}{3 x^{3}} \cdot x^{2}=-\frac{1}{3 x}$
Since $L(y)$ is a linear differential operator $\phi_{2}(x)=\frac{1}{x}$ is a second solution.
Thus, $\phi_{1}(x)=x^{2}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=\frac{1}{x}$ are solutions of the homogenous equation $L(y)=x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-2 y=0$ or $y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2}{x} y=0$.

Equation $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-2 y=2 x-1$ is the given differential equation. To reduce the equation in standard form we have to divide the given equation by $x^{2}$ we can do so since $x$ is positive. Therefore consider the eqution $y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2}{x^{2}} y=\frac{2}{x}-\frac{1}{x^{2}}$. Solution of this equation will be a solution of given equation.

A solution $y_{p}$ of a non-homogeneous equation $y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2}{x^{2}} y=\frac{2}{x}-\frac{1}{x^{2}}$ has the form

$$
\psi_{p}=u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x)=u_{1}(x) x^{2}+u_{2}(x) \frac{1}{x}
$$

Where, $u_{1}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{b(x) \mathrm{W}_{1}}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)}$ and $u_{2}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{b(x) \mathrm{W}_{2}}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)}$

$$
b(x)=\frac{2}{x}-\frac{1}{x^{2}}, \quad \mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
x^{2} & 1 / x \\
2 x & -1 / x^{2}
\end{array}\right|=-3
$$

## Differential Equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{W}_{1}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 / x \\
1 & -1 / x^{2}
\end{array}\right|=-\frac{1}{x}, \quad \mathrm{~W}_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
x^{2} & 0 \\
2 x & 1
\end{array}\right|=x^{2} \\
& u_{1}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\left(\frac{2}{x}-\frac{1}{x^{2}}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{x}\right)}{-3}=\frac{2}{3 x^{2}}-\frac{1}{3 x^{3}} \quad \text { and } \quad u_{1}(x)=\frac{2}{3}\left(-\frac{1}{x}\right)-\frac{1}{3}\left(-\frac{1}{2 x^{2}}\right) \\
& u_{2}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\left(\frac{2}{x}-\frac{1}{x^{2}}\right)\left(x^{2}\right)}{-3}=-\frac{2}{3} x+\frac{1}{3} \quad \text { and } \quad u_{2}(x)=-\frac{1}{3} x^{2}+\frac{1}{3} x
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{p} & =u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x) \\
& =\left[-\frac{2}{3 x}+\frac{1}{6 x^{2}}\right] x^{2}+\left[-\frac{x^{2}}{3}+\frac{x}{3}\right] \frac{1}{x}=-x+\frac{1}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The general solution of given non-homogeneous equation is $\psi=-x+\frac{1}{2}+c_{1} x^{2}+\frac{c_{2}}{x}$, where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are constants.
Q. 6. One solution of $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-x y^{\prime}+y=0(x>0)$ is $\phi_{1}(x)=x$. Find the solutions $\psi$ of

$$
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-x y^{\prime}+y=x^{2} \text { satisfying } \psi(1)=1, \psi^{\prime}(1)=0 .
$$

Ans. : The given non-homogeneous equation is $y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{x} y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{x^{2}} y=1$. (We can divide the equation by $x^{2}$ as $x^{2}$ is positive)

Let $\phi_{2}(x)=u(x) \phi_{1}(x)=u(x) x$ be an other solution.

$$
L\left(\phi_{2}\right)=\left[u^{\prime \prime} x+2 u^{\prime}\right]-\frac{1}{x}\left[u^{\prime} x+u\right]+\frac{1}{x^{2}} u(x) x=0 \text { gives } u^{\prime \prime} x+u^{\prime}=0 . \text { Therefore } u^{\prime}=\frac{c_{1}}{x}
$$

and $u(x)=c_{1} \log x$.

$$
\phi_{2}(x)=u(x) \phi_{1}(x)=c_{1} x \log x \text { is second solution. Without loss of generality we choose }
$$ $c_{1}=1$.

Thus, $\phi_{1}(x)=x$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=x \log x$ are two solutions of homogeneous equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{x} y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{x^{2}} y=0 .
$$

A solution $\psi_{p}$ of a non-homogeneous equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{x} y^{\prime}+\frac{1}{x^{2}} y=1 \text { has the form } \\
& \psi_{p}=u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $u_{1}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{b(x) \mathrm{W}_{1}}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)}, u_{2}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{b(x) \mathrm{W}_{2}}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)}$
where $\quad b(x)=1, \quad \mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{cc}x & x \log x \\ 1 & 1+\log x\end{array}\right|=x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}_{1} & =\left|\begin{array}{cc}
0 & x \log x \\
1 & 1+\log x
\end{array}\right|=-x \log x, \mathrm{~W}_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
x & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right|=x \\
u_{1}^{\prime}(x) & =\frac{-x \log x}{x}=-\log x \text { and } u_{1}(x)=-(x \log x-x) \\
u_{2}^{\prime}(x) & =\frac{x}{x}=1 \text { and } u_{2}(x)=x
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\psi_{p}=(x-x \log x) x+x \cdot x \log x=x^{2}$
The general solution of given non-homogeneous equation is

$$
\psi=x^{2}+c_{1} x+c_{2} x \log x
$$

Since $\psi(1)=1$ and $\psi^{\prime}(1)=0,1+c_{1}=1$ and $c_{1}=0$

$$
\psi^{\prime}(x)=2 x+C_{2}(\log x+1), \psi^{\prime}(1)=2+c_{2}=0 \text { and } c_{2}=-2
$$

Therefore the solution satisfying given initial condition is

$$
\psi(x)=x^{2}-2 x \log x
$$

## Q. 7.

(a) Show that there is a basis $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ for the solutions of $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+4 x y^{\prime}+\left(2+x^{2}\right) y=0(x>0)$ of the form

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=\frac{\psi_{1}(x)}{x^{2}}, \phi_{2}(x)=\frac{\psi_{2}(x)}{x^{2}}
$$

(b) Find all solutions of

$$
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+4 x y^{\prime}+\left(2+x^{2}\right) y=x^{2} \text { for } x>0 .
$$

Ans. :
(a) Let $\phi=\frac{v}{x^{2}}$ be a solution of the given homogeneous equation.

$$
L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{4}{x} y^{\prime}+\left(\frac{2}{x^{2}}+1\right) y=0
$$

Then, $\quad \phi^{\prime}=\frac{v^{\prime}}{x^{2}}-\frac{2 v}{x^{3}}, \phi^{\prime \prime}=\frac{v^{\prime \prime}}{x^{2}}-\frac{4 v^{\prime}}{x^{3}}+\frac{6 v}{x^{4}} \quad$ and

$$
L(y)=\left(\frac{v^{\prime \prime}}{x^{2}}-\frac{4 v^{\prime}}{x^{3}}+\frac{6 v}{x^{4}}\right)+\frac{4}{x}\left(\frac{v^{\prime}}{x^{2}}-\frac{2 v}{x^{3}}\right)+\left(\frac{2}{x^{2}}+1\right) \frac{v}{x^{2}}=0
$$

Therefore $L(y)=0$ implies $v^{\prime \prime}+v=0$.

$$
\psi_{1}(x)=\cos x \text { and } \psi_{2}(x)=\sin x \text { are two linearly independent solutions of } v^{\prime \prime}+v=0
$$

Thus, $\phi_{1}(x)=\frac{\cos x}{x^{2}}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=\frac{\sin x}{x^{2}}$ are two linearly independent solutions of given equation.
(b) $\quad \phi_{1}(x)=\frac{\cos x}{x^{2}}, \phi_{2}(x)=\frac{\sin x}{x^{2}}$

$$
L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{4}{x} y^{\prime}+\left(\frac{2}{x^{2}}+1\right) y=1
$$

A solution $\psi_{p}$ of $L(y)=1$ has the form

$$
\psi_{p}=u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x) .
$$

Then $\quad u_{1}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{b(x) \mathrm{W}_{1}}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)}, u_{2}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{b(x) \mathrm{W}_{2}}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)}$
where $\quad b(x)=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) & =\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\cos x}{x^{2}} & \frac{\sin x}{x^{2}} \\
-\frac{\sin x}{x^{2}}-\frac{2 \cos x}{x^{3}} & \frac{\cos x}{x^{2}}-\frac{2 \sin x}{x^{3}}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{1}{x^{4}} \\
\mathrm{~W}_{1} & =\left|\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
\frac{\sin x}{x^{2}} \\
\frac{\cos x}{x^{2}}-\frac{2 \sin x}{x^{3}}
\end{array}\right|=-\frac{\sin x}{x^{2}}, \quad \mathrm{~W}_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\cos x}{x^{2}} & 0 \\
-\frac{\sin x}{x^{2}}-\frac{2 \cos x}{x^{3}} & 1
\end{array}\right|=\frac{\cos x}{x^{2}} \\
u_{1}^{\prime}(x) & =\frac{-\sin x / x^{2}}{1 / x^{4}}=-x^{2} \sin x, u_{1}(x)=x^{2} \cos x-2 x \sin x-2 \cos x \\
u_{2}^{\prime}(x) & =\frac{\cos x / x^{2}}{1 / x^{4}}=+x^{2} \cos x, u_{2}(x)=x^{2} \sin x+2 x \cos x-2 \sin x \\
\psi_{p} & =u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x) \\
& =\left(x^{2} \cos x-2 x \sin x-2 \cos x\right) \frac{\cos x}{x^{2}}+\left(x^{2} \sin x+2 x \cos x-2 \sin x\right) \frac{\sin x}{x^{2}} \\
& =1-\frac{2}{x^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the general solution of non-homogeneous equation is

$$
\psi=\psi_{p}+c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}=1-\frac{2}{x^{2}}+c_{1} \frac{\cos x}{x^{2}}+c_{2} \frac{\sin x}{x^{2}} .
$$

Q. 8. Consider the equation $y^{\prime \prime}+y=b(x)$ where $b$ is a continuous function on $1 \leq x<\infty$ satisfying $\int_{1}^{\infty}|b(t)| d t<\infty$. show that particular solution $\psi_{p}$ is given by

$$
\psi_{p}(x)=\int_{1}^{x} \sin (x-t) b(t) d t
$$

Ans. : The homogeneous equation $y^{\prime \prime}+y=0$ has two solutions $\phi_{1}(x)=\cos x, \phi_{2}(x)=\sin x$.
The particular solution $\psi_{p}$ has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{p}(x) & =u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x) \text { where } \\
u_{1}^{\prime}(x) & =\frac{b(x) \mathrm{W}_{1}}{\mathrm{~W}_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)}, u_{2}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{b(x) \mathrm{W}_{2}}{\mathrm{~W}_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)} \\
\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) & =\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\cos x & \sin x \\
-\sin x & \cos x
\end{array}\right|=1 \\
\mathrm{~W}_{1} & =\left|\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \sin x \\
1 & \cos x
\end{array}\right|=-\sin x, \quad \mathrm{~W}_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\cos x & 0 \\
-\sin x & 1
\end{array}\right|=\cos x \\
u_{1}(x) & =\int_{1}^{x} \frac{b(t) \mathrm{W}_{1}(t)}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(t)} d t=\int_{1}^{x-b(t) \sin t} \frac{1}{1} d t \\
u_{2}(x) & =\int_{1}^{x} \frac{b(t) \mathrm{W}_{2}(t)}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(t)} d t=\int_{1}^{x} \frac{b(t) \cos t}{1} d t \\
\psi_{p} & =-\cos x \int_{1}^{x} b(t) \sin t d t+\sin x \int_{1}^{x} b(t) \cos t d t \\
& =\int_{1}^{x} b(t)[\sin x \cos t-\cos x \sin t] d t \\
& =\int_{1}^{x} b(t) \sin (x-t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXERCISE

1. Consider the equation $y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1}(x) y^{\prime}+a_{2}(x) y=0$ where $a_{1}(x)$ and $a_{2}(x)$ are continuous functions on $-\infty<x<\infty$ and are periodic with period $\theta>0$ i.e. $a_{1}(x+\theta)=a_{1}(x), a_{2}(x+\theta)$ $=a_{2}(x)$ for all $x$. Let $\phi$ be a non-trival solution and let $\psi(x)=\phi(x+\theta)$. Shown that $\psi$ is also a solution.
2. Consider the equation $y^{\prime \prime}+\alpha(x) y=0$ where $\alpha$ is a continuous functions on $-\infty<x<\infty$ which is of period $\theta>0$. Let $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ be the basis for solution satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{1}(0)=1, & \phi_{2}(0)=0 \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}(0)=0, & \phi_{2}^{\prime}(0)=1
\end{array}
$$

Show that there is at least one non-trival solution $\phi$ of period $\theta$ if and only if $\phi_{1}(\theta)+\phi_{2}{ }^{\prime}(\theta)=2$.
3. One solution of $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{4 x^{2}} y=0$ for $x>0$ is $\phi_{1}(x)=x^{1 / 2}$ show that there is another solution $\psi$ of the form $\psi=u \phi$ where $u$ is some function.
4. Use the method of variation of parameter and find the particular solution of the following equations where the solutions for the related homogeneous equation are given.
(a) $y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2}{x} y^{\prime}+\frac{2}{x^{2}} y=x \log x, \phi_{1}(x)=x, \phi_{2}(x)=x^{2}$
$\left[\right.$ Ans. : $\left.\psi_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{1}{2} x^{3} \log x-\frac{3}{4} x^{3}\right]$
(b) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}-4 y=x^{3}, \phi_{1}=x^{2}, \phi_{2}=\frac{1}{x^{2}}$
$\left[\right.$ Ans. : $\left.\psi_{\mathrm{p}}=x^{3} / 5\right]$
(c) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}-y=x^{2} e^{-x}, \phi_{1}=x, \phi_{2}=1 / x$
$\left[\right.$ Ans. : $\left.\psi_{\mathrm{p}}=e^{-x}\left(1+x^{-1}\right)\right]$
(d) $2 x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+3 x y^{\prime}-y=x^{-1} ; \phi_{1}=x^{1 / 2}, \phi_{2}=x^{-1}$
$\left[\right.$ Ans. : $\left.\psi_{\mathrm{p}}=-\frac{1}{3} x^{-1} \log x\right]$

## Unit 3 : Homogeneous equations with analytic coefficients

So far we have shown how to construct solutions of various special types of differential equations using the exponential function, polynomials and the fundamental theorem of calculus - that is how to reduce the integration of these differential equations to one or more quadratures. The major difficulty with linear equations with variable coefficients, from a practical point of view, is that it is rare that we can solve equations in terms of elementary functions, such as exponential and trignometric functions. . However in case the coefficients $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots ., a_{n}$, and b have convergent power series expansions the solutions will have this property also and these series solutions can be obtained by a simple formal process.

An infinite series of the form $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n}$ is called a power series in $z-z_{0}$. Here $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}, z$, $z_{0}$ are complex numbers. With every power series there is associated a disk, called the disk of convergence such that a series converges absoulately for every $z$ interior to this disk. The center of the disk is at $z_{0}$ and its radius is called the radius of convergence of the power series.

Given a power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n}$, let $\lambda==_{n-1 \infty}^{\text {Limsup }} \sqrt[n]{\left|a_{n}\right|}, r=\frac{1}{\lambda} \quad$ (where $r=0$ if $\lambda=+\infty$ and $r=\infty$ if $\lambda=0$ ). The series converges absoulately if $\left|z-z_{0}\right|<r$ and diverges if $\left|z-z_{0}\right|>r$.

If $x_{0}, x$ and $a_{n}$ are real numbers the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{n}$ is called a real power series. Its disk of convergence intersects the real axis in an interval $\left(x_{0}-r, x_{0}+r\right)$ called the interval of convergence.

If $g$ is a function defined on an interval I containing point $x_{0}$ we say that g is analytic at $x_{0}$ if $g$ can be expanded in a power series about $x_{0}$ which has a positive radius of convergence. Thus $g$ is analytic at $x_{0}$ if it can be representd in the form

$$
g(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{n}
$$

Where $a_{n}$ are constants and the series converges for $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<r, r>0$. If $g$ has a power series expansion then all the derivatives of $g$ exist on $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<r$ and they may be computed by differentating the series term by term that is

$$
g^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n a_{n}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{n-1}, \quad g^{\prime \prime}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{n-2} \text { etc. }
$$

The differentiated series converges on $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<r$.
In calculus there are certain tests by which one could determine an interval of converge of a real power series. A simple one and one which is frequently used is known as ratio test.

The series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_{i}$ converges absolutely if ${\underset{n}{\mathrm{Lt}}}_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{u_{n+1}}{u_{n}}\right|=k<1$.
Example 1: For the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n}$,

$$
\operatorname{Lt}_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{u_{n+1}}{u_{n}}\right|=\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\mathrm{Lt}}\left|\frac{x^{n+1} / n+1}{x^{n} / n}\right|=\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{n}{n+1} \cdot x\right|=|x|
$$

Hence the series converges absoulately if $|x|<\mid$.
Example 2 : For the power series

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1-\frac{x^{2}}{2!}+\frac{x^{4}}{4!}-\frac{x^{6}}{6!}+\ldots .+\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(2 n-2)!} x^{2 n-2}+\ldots ., \\
& u_{n}=\frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(2 n-2)!} x^{2 n-2} \text { and } u_{n+1}=\frac{(-1)^{n}}{(2 n)!} x^{2 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{u_{n+1}}{u_{n}}\right|=\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{(-1)^{n} x^{2 n}}{(2 n)!} \times \frac{(2 n-2)!}{(-1)^{n-1} x^{2 n-2}}\right|=\operatorname{Lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^{2}}{2 n(2 n-1)}=0 \text { for each } x
$$

Hence the series converges absoulately for all $x$. Its interval of convergence is the entire real axis.

## Theorem 2.3.1 : (Existence theorem)

Let $x_{0}$ be a real number and suppose that the coefficients $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots . . a_{n}$ in

$$
L(y)=y^{(n)}+a_{1}(x) y^{(n-1)}+a_{2}(x) y^{(n-2)}+\ldots .+a_{n}(x) y
$$

have convergent power series expansions in powers of $\left(x-x_{0}\right)$ on an interval $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<r, r>0$.
If $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \ldots . . \alpha_{n}$ are any $n$ constants, there exists a solution $\phi$ of the problem

$$
L(y)=0, \quad y\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{1}, y^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{2}, \ldots, y^{(n-1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=\alpha_{n}
$$

with a power series expansion

$$
\phi(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{k}
$$

is convergent for $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<r$. We have
$k!c_{k}=\alpha_{k+1}(k=0,1,2,3, \ldots, n-1)$, and $c_{k}$ for $k \geq n$ may be computed in terms of $c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots . . c_{n-1}$ by substituting the series into $L(y)=0$.

If the coefficients $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots . . a_{n}$ are analytic at $x_{0}$ then the solutions are also analytic. The solutions can be computed by a formal algebraic process.

## Illustration :

$$
L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}-x y=0
$$

Here $a_{1}(x)=0, a_{2}(x)=-x$ are analytic for all real $x$.
Let the solution of the equation $L(y)=0$ be $\phi$ defined by

Then $\quad \phi^{\prime}(x)=a_{1}+2 a_{2} x+3 a_{3} x^{2}+4 a_{4} x^{3}+\ldots=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n} x^{n-1}$

$$
\phi(x)=a_{0}+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{3} x^{3}+\ldots=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{\prime \prime}(x) & =2 a_{2}+6 a_{3} x+12 a_{4} x^{2}+\ldots=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-2} \\
\phi^{\prime \prime}(x)-x \phi(x) & =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-2}-x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n} \\
& =2 a_{2}+6 a_{3} x+12 a_{4} x^{2}+20 a_{5} x^{3}+\ldots-\left\{a_{0} x+a_{1} x^{2}+a_{2} x^{3}+a_{3} x^{4}+\ldots\right\} \\
& =2 a_{2}+\left(6 a_{3}-a_{0}\right) x+\left(12 a_{4}-a_{1}\right) x^{2}+\left(20 a_{5}-a_{2}\right) x^{3}+\ldots \\
& =2 a_{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[(n+2)(n+1) a_{n+2}-a_{n-1}\right] x^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\phi$ is a solutin of $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}-x y=0$ if $\phi^{\prime \prime}-x \phi=0$ or

$$
2 a_{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[(n+2)(n+1) a_{n+2}-a_{n-1}\right] x^{n}=0
$$

Above equation is true only if all the coefficients of the power series of $x$ are zero. Thus,

$$
2 a_{2}=0,(n+2)(n+1) a_{n+2}-a_{n-1}=0, n=1,2,3 \ldots .
$$

This gives an infinite set of equations, and can be solved for $a_{n}$. Thus, for $n=1$ we have
(3) $\cdot(2) \cdot a_{3}=a_{0} \quad$ or $a_{3}=\frac{a_{0}}{(3) \cdot(2)}$

For $n=2$ we find
(4) $\cdot(3) a_{4}=a_{1}$ or $a_{4}=\frac{a_{1}}{(4) \cdot(3)}$

## Differential Equations

Continuing in this way we see that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a_{0} ; & a_{1} & a_{2}=0 \\
a_{3}=\frac{a_{0}}{(3) \cdot(2)} ; & a_{4}=\frac{a_{1}}{(4) \cdot(3)} ; & a_{5}=\frac{a_{2}}{(5) \cdot(4)}=0 \\
a_{6}=\frac{a_{3}}{(6) \cdot(5)}=\frac{a_{0}}{(9) \cdot(5) \cdot(3) \cdot(2)} ; & a_{7}=\frac{a_{4}}{(7) \cdot(6)}=\frac{a_{1}}{(7) \cdot(3) \cdot(4) \cdot(3)} ; & a_{8}=0 \\
a_{9}=\frac{a_{6}}{(9) \cdot(8)}=\frac{a_{0}}{(9) \cdot(8) \cdot(0) \cdot(5) \cdot(3) \cdot(2)} ; & a_{10}=\frac{a_{7}}{(10) \cdot(9)}=\frac{a_{1}}{(10) \cdot(9) \cdot(7) \cdot(6) \cdot(4) \cdot(3)} ; & a_{11}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

In general

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{3 m}=\frac{a_{0}}{(2) \cdot(3) \cdot(5) \cdot(6) \cdot(8) \cdot(9) \cdots(3 m-1)(3 m)} ; \\
& a_{3 m+1}=\frac{a_{1}}{(3) \cdot(4) \cdot(6) \cdot(7) \cdot(9) \cdot(10) \cdots(3 m)(3 m+1)} ; a_{3 m+2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus all the constants are determined in terms of $a_{0}$ and $a_{1}$. Collecting together terms containing $a_{0}$ and $a_{1}$ as a factor we have

$$
\phi(x)=a_{0}\left[1+\frac{x^{3}}{(3) \cdot(2)}+\frac{x^{6}}{(2) \cdot(3) \cdot(5) \cdot(6)}+\ldots\right]+a_{1}\left[x+\frac{x^{4}}{(4) \cdot(3)}+\frac{x^{7}}{(3) \cdot(4) \cdot(6) \cdot(7)}+\ldots\right]
$$

Let $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ represent the two series in the brackets.
Thus, $\quad \phi_{1}(x)=1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{3 m}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \ldots(3 m-1)(3 m)}$,

$$
\phi_{2}(x)=x+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{3 m+1}}{3 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 \cdot 10 \ldots .(3 m)(3 m+1)} .
$$

We have shown, in a formal way that $\phi$ satisfies $y^{\prime \prime}-x y=0$ for any two contants $a_{0}$ and $a_{1}$
In particular the choice $a_{0}=0$ and $a_{1}=1$ implies $\phi_{2}(x)$ satisfies the equation and $a_{0}=1, a_{1}$ $=0$ implies $\phi_{1}(x)$ satisfies the equation.

The only question that remains is about the convergence of the series, defining $\phi_{1}(x)$ and $\phi_{2}(x)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{1}(x) & =1+\sum d_{m}(x)=1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{3 m}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \ldots(3 m-1)(3 m)} \\
\frac{d_{m+1}}{d m} & =\frac{x^{3 m+3}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdots(3 m)(3 m+2)(3 m+3)} \times \frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdots(3 m-1)(3 m)}{x^{3 m}} \\
& =\frac{x^{3}}{(3 m+2)(3 m+3)} \\
& \operatorname{Ltsup}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(3 m+2)(3 m+3)}={ }_{m \rightarrow \infty}^{\mathrm{Ltinf}} \frac{1}{(3 m+2)(3 m+3)}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The series converges if $|x|<\infty$.
Similarly $\phi_{2}(x)$ is convergent series.

## EXAMPLES

1. Find two linearly independent power series solutions (in powers of $x$ ) of the following equations.
(a) $y^{\prime \prime}-x y^{\prime}+y=0$
(b) $y^{\prime \prime}+3 x^{2} y^{\prime}-x y=0$
(c) $y^{\prime \prime}-x^{2} y=0$
(d) $y^{\prime \prime}+3 x^{3} y^{\prime}+x^{2} y=0$

Ans. (a) : Let $\phi(x)=a_{0}+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{3} x^{3}+\ldots+a_{n} x^{n}+\ldots=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}$ be a solution of $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}-x y^{\prime}+y=0$. Since it is a solution it satisfies the equation $L(\phi)=0$.

Then $\quad \phi^{\prime}(x)=a_{1}+2 a_{2} x+3 a_{3} x^{2}+\ldots+n a_{n} x^{n-1}+\ldots=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n} x^{n-1}$

$$
\phi(x)=a_{0}+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{3} x^{3}+\ldots+a_{n} x^{n}+\ldots=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}
$$

$$
\phi^{\prime \prime}(x)=2 a_{2}+3 \cdot 2 a_{3} x+\ldots+n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-2}+\ldots=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-2}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(\phi) & =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-2}-x \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n} x^{n-1}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}=0 . \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+2)(n+1) a_{n+2} x^{n}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n} x^{n}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}=0 \\
& =\left(2 a_{2}+a_{0}\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{(n+2)(n+1) a_{n+2}-n a_{n}+a_{n}\right\} x^{n}=0 \\
L(\phi) & =\left(2 a_{2}+a_{0}\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{(n+2)(n+1) a_{n+2}-(n-1) a_{n}\right\} x^{n}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that $L(\phi)=0$ if and only if $2 a_{2}+a_{0}=0$ and $(n+2)(n+1) a_{n+2}-(n-1) a_{n}=0$ for $n=1,2,3, \ldots \quad a_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} a_{0} ; a_{n+2}=\frac{(n-1) a_{n}}{(n+2)(n+1)}$ is called recurrence relation.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a_{0} & ; & a_{1} \\
a_{2}=\frac{-a_{0}}{2 \cdot 1} ; & ; & a_{3}=0 . a_{1} \\
a_{4}=\frac{a_{2}}{4 \cdot 3}=-\frac{a_{0}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4} & ; & a_{5}=\frac{2}{5 \cdot 4} .0=0 \\
a_{6}=\frac{3 a_{4}}{6 \cdot 5}=-\frac{3 a_{0}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6} & ; & a_{7}=0 \\
a_{8}=-\frac{3 \cdot 5 a_{0}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8} & ; & a_{9}=0 \\
a_{10}=-\frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 a_{0}}{10!} & ; & a_{11}=0
\end{array}
$$

In general $a_{2 n+1}=0 \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{2 n}=-\frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 \cdots(2 n-3) a_{0}}{(2 n)!} \\
&=-\frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdots(2 n-3)(2 n-2)(2 n-1)(2 n)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdots \cdot 2 n(2 n-1)(2 n)!} a_{0} \\
&=-\frac{(2 n)!}{2^{n} n!(2 n-1)(2 n)!} a_{0} \\
&=-\frac{a_{0}}{2^{n} n!(2 n-1)} \\
& \phi(x)= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{2 n} x^{2 n}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{2 n+1} x^{2 n+1} \\
&=a_{0}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{0}}{2^{n} n!(2 n-1)} x^{2 n}+a_{1} x \\
&=a_{0}\left[1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2 n}}{2^{n} n!(2 n-1)}\right]+a_{1} x \\
& \phi_{1}(x)= 1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2 n}}{2^{n} n!(2 n-1)} \text { and } \phi_{2}(x)=x \text { are two solutions of the equation } \\
& \phi_{1}(x)= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_{m}(x) \\
& \frac{x^{2(n+1)}}{2^{n+1}(n+1)!(2 n+1)} \\
& \frac{d_{n+1}}{d n}= \frac{x^{2}(2 n-1)}{2(n+1)(2 n+1)} \\
& 2^{n} n!(2 n-1) \\
& L_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_{n+1}}{d_{n}}={ }_{n \rightarrow \infty}^{\mathrm{Lt}} \frac{(2 n-1)}{2(n+1)(2 n+1)}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Redius of convergence $=\infty$
The series converges if $|x|<\infty$ i.e. all values of $x$. Both the solutions are convergent for all values of $x$.

Ans. (b) : Let $\quad \phi(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}$ be a solution.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{\prime}(x) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n} x^{n-1}, \phi^{\prime \prime}(x)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-2} \\
L(\phi) & =\phi^{\prime \prime}+3 x^{2} \phi^{\prime}-x \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-2}+3 x^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n} x^{n-1}-x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}
$$

$L(\phi)=0$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \cdot 1 a_{2}+3 \cdot 2 a_{3} x+4 \cdot 3 a_{4} x^{2}+\cdots+3\left[a_{1} x^{2}+2 a_{2} x^{3}+3 a_{3} x^{4}+4 a_{4} x^{5}+\ldots .\right] \\
& \quad-\left[a_{0} x+a_{1} x^{2}+a_{2} x^{3}+a_{3} x^{4}+\ldots .\right]=0 . \\
& 2 \cdot 1 a_{2}+\left(3 \cdot 2 a_{3}-a_{0}\right) x+\left[4 \cdot 3 a_{4}+(3-1) a_{1}\right] x^{2}+\left[5 \cdot 4 a_{5}+(3(2)-1) a_{2}\right] x^{3} \\
& \quad-\left[6.5 a_{6}+(3(3)-1) a_{3}\right] x^{4}+\cdots=0 \\
& 2 \cdot 1 a_{2}+\left(3 \cdot 2 a_{3}-a_{0}\right) x+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[(n+3)(n+2) a_{n+3}+(3 n-1) a_{n}\right] x^{n+1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a_{2}=0 ; & a_{3}=\frac{a_{0}}{2 \cdot 3} ; & a_{n+3}=-\frac{(3 n-1) a_{n}}{(n+3)(n+2)} \\
a_{0} & ; a_{1} & ; a_{2}=0 \\
a_{3}=-\frac{a_{0}}{2 \cdot 3} & ; a_{4}=-\frac{2 a_{1}}{4 \cdot 3} & ; a_{5}=0 \\
a_{6}=-\frac{8 a_{3}}{6 \cdot 5}=+\frac{8 a_{0}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6} & ; a_{7}=-\frac{11 a_{4}}{7 \cdot 6}=\frac{11 \cdot 2 a_{1}}{7 \cdot 6 \cdot 4 \cdot 3} & ; a_{8}=0 \\
a_{9}=-\frac{(18-1)(9-1) a_{0}}{9 \cdot 8 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 2} & ; a_{10}=-\frac{20 \cdot 11 \cdot 2 a_{1}}{10 \cdot 9 \cdot 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 4 \cdot 3} & ; a_{11}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

The solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}(x)=1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}(-1) 8 \cdot 17 \cdots(9 m-1)}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdots(3 m-1)(3 m)} x^{3 m} \\
& \phi_{2}(x)=x+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2 \cdot 11 \cdot 20 \cdots(3(3 m-2)-1)}{3 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 \cdot 10 \cdots(3 m)(3 m+1)} x^{3 m+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Ans. (c) : Let $\phi(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}$ be a solution of $y^{\prime \prime}-x^{2} y=0$. Since it is a solution $\phi(x)$ satisfies $L(\phi)=\phi^{\prime \prime}-x^{2} \phi=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}, \quad \phi^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n} x^{n-1}, \quad \phi^{\prime \prime}(x)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-2} \\
& L(\phi)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-2}-x^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}=0 . \\
& 2 \cdot 1 a_{2}+3 \cdot 2 a_{3} x+\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-2}-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n+2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
2 \cdot 1 a_{2}+3 \cdot 2 a_{3} x+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+4)(n+3) a_{n+4} x^{n+2}-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n+2}=0
$$

Here we have replaced $n$ by $n+4$ and therefore the sum is from 0 to $\infty$.

$$
2 \cdot 1 a_{2}+3 \cdot 2 a_{3} x+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[(n+4)(n+3) a_{n+4}-a_{n}\right] x^{n+2}=0
$$

Thus, $a_{2}=0, \quad a_{3}=0 \quad$ and $\quad a_{n+4}=\frac{a_{n}}{(n+4)(n+3)}$.

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
a_{0} & a_{1} & a_{2}=0 & a_{3}=0 \\
a_{4}=\frac{a_{0}}{3 \cdot 4} & ; & a_{5}=\frac{a_{1}}{5 \cdot 4} ; & a_{6}=0 ; & a_{7}=0 \\
a_{8}=\frac{a_{4}}{8 \cdot 7}=\frac{a_{0}}{3 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \cdot 8} & ; & a_{9}=\frac{a_{5}}{9 \cdot 8}=\frac{a_{1}}{4 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \cdot 9} & ; & a_{10}=0
\end{array} ; \quad a_{11}=0
$$

Thus all the coefficients $a_{n}$ 's are determined in terms of $a_{0}$ and $a_{1}$ since $a_{2}=a_{3}=0$ implies $a_{4 \mathrm{~m}+2}$ and $a_{4 \mathrm{~m}+3}=0$ for $m=0,1,2,3, \ldots$. . Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(x) & =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{4 m} x^{m}+\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{4 m+1} x^{4 m+1} \\
= & a_{0}\left[1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{4 m}}{3 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 12 \cdots(4 m-1)(4 m)}\right] \\
& \quad+a_{1}\left[x+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{4 m+1}}{4 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdot 12 \cdot 13 \cdots(4 m)(4 m+1)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore two linearly independent solutions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}(x)=1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{4 m}}{3 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 12 \cdot 13 \cdots(4 m-1)(4 m)} \text { and } \\
& \phi_{2}(x)=x+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{4 m+1}}{4 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdot 12 \cdot 13 \cdots(4 m)(4 m+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Ans. (d) : Let $\phi_{2}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}$ be a solution of $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+x^{3} y^{\prime}+x^{2} y=0$. Therefore

$$
L(\phi)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-2}+x^{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n} x^{n-1}+x^{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}=0
$$

that is

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n} x^{n+2}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n+2}=0 .
$$

## Differential Equations

The first term starts from $x^{0}$ where as last two series start from $x^{3}$ and $x^{2}$ respectively. To get the common base we write the expansion in the following form

$$
2 \cdot 1 a_{2}+3 \cdot 2 a_{3} x+4 \cdot 3 a_{4} x^{2}+\sum_{n=5}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n} x^{n+2}+a_{0} x^{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n+2}=0 .
$$

Therefore

$$
2 \cdot 1 a_{2}+3 \cdot 2 a_{3} x+\left(4 \cdot 3 a_{4}+a_{0}\right) x^{2}+\sum_{n=5}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+1) a_{n} x^{n+2}=0
$$

We replace $n$ by $n+4$ in the first series.

$$
2 \cdot 1 a_{2}+3 \cdot 2 a_{3} x+\left(4 \cdot 3 a_{4}+a_{0}\right) x^{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[(n+4)(n+3) a_{n+4}+(n+1) a_{n}\right] x^{n+2}=0 .
$$

Above equation is true for all values of $x$ and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{2}=0 ; \quad a_{3}=0 ; \\
& 4 \cdot 3 a_{4}+a_{0}=0 ; \\
& (n+4)(n+3) a_{n+4}+(n+1) a_{n}=0 \\
& a_{0} a_{1} \quad a_{2}=0 \quad a_{3}=0 \\
& a_{4}=\frac{-a_{0}}{3 \cdot 4} ; \quad ; \quad a_{5}=\frac{-2 a_{1}}{5 \cdot 4} \quad ; \quad a_{6}=0 \quad ; \quad a_{7}=0 \\
& a_{8}=+\frac{5 a_{0}}{3 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \cdot 8} \quad ; \quad a_{9}=\frac{6 \cdot 2 a_{1}}{4 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \cdot 9} \quad ; \quad a_{10}=0 \quad ; \quad a_{11}=0 \\
& a_{12}=-\frac{9 \cdot 5 a_{0}}{3 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 11 \cdot 12} ; \quad a_{13}=-\frac{10 \cdot 6 \cdot 2 a_{1}}{4 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdot 12 \cdot 13} ; \quad a_{14}=0 \quad ; \quad a_{15}=0 \\
& a_{4 m}=\frac{(-1)^{m} 5 \cdot 9 \cdot 13 \cdots(4 m-3)}{3 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 11 \cdot 12 \cdots(4 m-1)(4 m)} \text {; } \\
& a_{4 m+1}=\frac{(-1)^{m} 2 \cdot 6 \cdot 10 \cdots(4 m-2)}{4 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdots(4 m)(4 m+1)} ; a_{4 m+2}=a_{4 m+3}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore two linearly independent solutions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}(x)=1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} 5 \cdot 9 \cdot 13 \cdots(4 m-3)}{3 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 11 \cdot 12 \cdots(4 m-1)(4 m)} x^{4 m} \\
& \phi_{2}(x)=x+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2 \cdot 6 \cdot 10 \cdots(4 m-2)}{4 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdots(4 m)(4 m+1)} x^{4 m+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Find the solution $\phi$ of $y^{\prime \prime}+(x-1)^{2} y^{\prime}-(x-1) y=0$ in the form $\phi(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k}(x-1)^{k}$ which satsfies $\phi(1)=1, \phi^{\prime}(1)=0$.

Ans. : Let $\phi(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k}(x-1)^{k}$ be a solution of $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+(x-1)^{2} y^{\prime}-(x-1) y=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(\phi)=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1) a_{k}(x-1)^{k-2}+(x-1)^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_{k}(x-1)^{k-1}-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k}(x-1)^{k+1}=0 . \\
& \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1) a_{k}(x-1)^{k-2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_{k}(x-1)^{k+1}-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k}(x-1)^{k+1}=0 . \\
& 2 \cdot 1 a_{2}+3 \cdot 2 a_{3}(x-1)+\sum_{k=4}^{\infty} k(k-1) a_{k}(x-1)^{k-2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_{k}(x-1)^{k+1} \\
& -a_{0}(x-1)-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k}(x-1)^{k+1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

In the third term replace $k$ by $k+3$ we get

$$
2 \cdot 1 a_{2}+\left[3 \cdot 2 a_{3}-a_{0}\right](x-1)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[(k+3)(k+2) a_{k+3}+(k-1) a_{k}\right] x^{k+1}=0
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
a_{0} & ; a_{1} & ; a_{2}=0 \\
a_{3}=\frac{a_{0}}{3 \cdot 2} & ; a_{4}=0 & ; a_{5}=0 \\
a_{6}=-\frac{2 a_{3}}{6 \cdot 5}=\frac{-2 a_{0}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6} & ; a_{7}=0 & ; a_{8}=0 \\
a_{9}=\frac{-5 a_{6}}{9 \cdot 8}=\frac{5 \cdot 2 a_{0}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 9} & ; a_{10}=0 & ; a_{11}=0 . \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{3 m}=\frac{(-1)^{m} 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \cdots(3 m-1) a_{0}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdots(3 m-1)(3 m)} & ; a_{3 m+1}=0 \text { for } m=1,2 \ldots & ; a_{3 m+2}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

Corresponding to the coefficients $a_{0}$ and $a_{1}$ we get the following two linearly independent solutions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}(x)=1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \cdots(3 m-1)}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdots(3 m-1)(3 m)}(x-1)^{3 m} \text { and } \\
& \phi_{1}(x)=(x-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

The general solution $\phi$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi(x)=a_{0}\left[1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \cdots(3 m-1)}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdots(3 m-1)(3 m)}(x-1)^{3 m}\right]+a_{1}(x-1) \\
& \phi_{1}(1)=1 \text { give } a_{0}=1 \\
& \phi^{\prime}(x)=a_{0} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \cdots(3 m-1)(3 m)}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdots(3 m-1)(3 m)}(x-1)^{3 m-1}+a_{1} \\
& \phi^{\prime}(1)=0 \text { give } a_{1}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the required solution is

$$
\phi(x)=1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \cdots(3 m-1)}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdots(3 m-1)(3 m)}(x-1)^{3 m} .
$$

3. Compute the solution $\phi$ of $y^{\prime \prime \prime}-x y=0$ which satisfies $\phi(0)=1, \phi^{\prime}(0)=0, \phi^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$.

Ans. : Let $\phi(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}$ be a solution of $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime \prime}-x y=0$. Then

$$
L(\phi)=\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} n(n-1)(n-2) a_{n} x^{n-3}-x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}=0
$$

Then

$$
3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 a_{3}+\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} n(n-1)(n-2) a_{n} x^{n-3}-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n+1}=0
$$

In the first sum replace $n$ by $n+4$, then

$$
3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 a_{3}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[(n+4)(n+3)(n+2) a_{n+4}-a_{n}\right] x^{n+1}=0
$$

Thus, $a_{3}=0 \quad$ and $\quad a_{n+4}=\frac{a_{n}}{(n+4)(n+3)(n+2)}$.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
a_{0} & a_{1} & ; a_{2} & ; a_{3}=0 \\
a_{4}=\frac{a_{0}}{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2} & ; a_{5}=\frac{a_{1}}{5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3} & ; a_{6}=\frac{a_{2}}{6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4} & ; a_{7}=0 \\
a_{8}=\frac{a_{0}}{8 \cdot 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2} & ; a_{9}=\frac{a_{1}}{9 \cdot 8 \cdot 7 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3} & ; a_{10}=\frac{a_{2}}{10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4} & ; a_{11}=0 \\
a_{12}=\frac{a_{0}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 10 \cdot 11 \cdot 12} & ; a_{13}=\frac{a_{1}}{3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdot 11 \cdot 12 \cdot 13} & ; a_{14}=\frac{a_{2}}{4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdot 10 \cdot 12 \cdot 13 \cdot 14} \\
& & ; a_{15}=0
\end{array}
$$

$$
a_{4 m}=\frac{a_{0}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdots(4 m-2)(4 m-1)(4 m)} \quad ; \quad a_{4 m+1}=\frac{a_{1}}{3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdots(4 m-1)(4 m)(4 m+1)}
$$

$$
; a_{4 m+2}=\frac{a_{2}}{4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdot 10 \cdots(4 m)(4 m+1)(4 m+2)} \quad ; \quad a_{4 m+3}=0
$$

The general solution $\phi(x)$ of the given equation contains three parameters $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}$. The solution $\phi(x)$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(x) & =a_{0}\left[1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{4 m}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdots(4 m-2)(4 m-1)(4 m)}\right] \\
& +a_{1}\left[x+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{4 m+1}}{3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdots(4 m-1)(4 m)(4 m+1)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad+a_{2}\left[x^{2}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{4 m+2}}{4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdot 10 \cdots(4 m)(4 m+1)(4 m+2)}\right] \\
& \begin{aligned}
\phi(0) & =1 \text { gives } a_{0}=1 \\
\phi^{\prime}(x) & =a_{0} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{4 m x^{(4 m-1)}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdots(4 m-2)(4 m-1)(4 m)} \\
& +a_{1}\left[1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(4 m+1) x^{4 m}}{3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdots(4 m-1)(4 m)(4 m+1)}\right] \\
& +a_{2}\left[2 x+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(4 m+2) x^{4 m+1}}{3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdots(4 m-1)(4 m)(4 m+1)}\right] \\
\phi^{\prime}(0) & =0 \text { gives } a_{1}=0 .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly $\phi^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$ gives $a_{2}=0$.
Thus, the required solution is

$$
\phi(x)=1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{4 m}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdots(4 m-2)(4 m-1)(4 m)} .
$$

4. Legendre equation is an important differential equation occur in physical problems. The equation

$$
L(y)=\left(1-x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}-2 x y^{\prime}+\alpha(\alpha+1) y=0
$$

where $\alpha$ is constant is called Legendre equation.
If we write this equation as

$$
y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2 x}{1-x^{2}} y^{\prime}+\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{1-x^{2}} y=0
$$

we see that $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are given by

$$
a_{1}(x)=\frac{2 x}{1-x^{2}} \text { and } a_{2}(x)=\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{1-x^{2}} .
$$

Both these functions are analytic at $x=0$. Indeed, $\frac{1}{1-x^{2}}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^{2 k}$ and the series converges for $|x|<1$.

Thus, $a_{1}(x)$ and $a_{2}(x)$ have the series expansions. Both there series converge for $|x|<\mid$. Thus by existence theorem the solution $L(y)=0$ on $|x|<\mid$ have convergent power series expansions.

Let $\phi$ be any solution of $L(y)=0$ on $|x|<\mid$.
Suppose $\phi(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}$ then

$$
L(\phi)=\left(1-x^{2}\right) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-2}-2 x \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n} x^{n-1}+\alpha(\alpha+1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}
$$

## Differential Equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-2}-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n}-2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n} x^{n}+\alpha(\alpha+1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[(n+2)(n+1) a_{n+2}-\{n(n-1)+2 n-\alpha(\alpha+1)\} a_{n}\right] x^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\phi$ to satisfy $L(\phi)=0$ we must have all the coefficients of the powers of $x$ equal to zero.
Hence, $\quad(n+2)(n+1) a_{n+2}-[n(n+1)-\alpha(\alpha+1)] a_{n}=0, n=0,1,2,3, \ldots$
This is a recurrance relation which gives $a_{n+2}$ in terms of $a_{n}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n+2} & =\frac{n(n+1)-\alpha(\alpha+1)}{(n+1)(n+2)} a_{n} \\
& =\frac{-(\alpha+n+1)(\alpha-n)}{(n+1)(n+2)} a_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n=0$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{2}=-\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2} a_{0} \\
& a_{3}=-\frac{(\alpha+2)(\alpha-1)}{2 \cdot 3} a_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{4} & =-\frac{(\alpha+3)(\alpha-2)}{3 \cdot 4} a_{2} & ; a_{5} & =-\frac{(\alpha+4)(\alpha-3)}{4 \cdot 5} a_{3} \\
& =+\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+3)(\alpha-2)}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4} a_{0} & & =+\frac{(\alpha+4)(\alpha+2)(\alpha-1)(\alpha-3)}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5} a_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

In general

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{2 m} & =(-1)^{m} \frac{(\alpha+2 m-1)(\alpha+2 m-3) \cdots(\alpha+1) \alpha(\alpha-2) \cdots(\alpha-2 m+2)}{(2 m)!} a_{0} \\
a_{2 m+1} & =(-1)^{m} \frac{(\alpha+2 m)(\alpha+2 m-2) \cdots(\alpha+2)(\alpha-1)(\alpha-3) \cdots(\alpha-2 m+1)}{(2 m+1)!} a_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

All the coefficients are determined in terms of $a_{0}$ and $a_{1}$ and we have

$$
\phi(x)=a_{0} \phi_{1}(x)+a_{1} \phi_{2}(x)
$$

where, $\quad \phi_{1}(x)=1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}(\alpha+2 m-1)(\alpha+2 m-3) \cdots \alpha(\alpha-2) \cdots(\alpha-2 m+2)}{(2 m)!} x^{2 m}$

$$
\phi_{2}(x)=x+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}(\alpha+2 m)(\alpha+2 m-2) \cdots(\alpha+2)(\alpha-1)(\alpha-3) \cdots(\alpha-2 m+1)}{(2 m+1)!} x^{2 m+1}
$$

Both $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are solutions of Legendre equation, corresponding to the choices

$$
c_{0}=1, c_{1}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad c_{0}=0, c_{1}=1,
$$

respectively. They form a basis for the solutions, since

$$
\phi_{1}(0)=1, \quad \phi_{2}(0)=0 \quad ; \quad \phi_{1}^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad \phi_{2}^{\prime}(0)=1
$$

$\therefore \quad \mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)(0)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}\phi_{1}(0) & \phi_{2}(0) \\ \phi_{1}^{\prime}(0) & \phi_{2}^{\prime}(0)\end{array}\right|=\phi_{1}(0) \phi_{2}^{\prime}(0)-\phi_{2}(0) \phi_{1}^{\prime}(0)=1$
Since Wronkian $\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) \neq(0), \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly independent and therefore forms a basis.
If $\alpha$ is a non-negativ even integer $\alpha=2 n$, then $\phi_{1}$ has only a finite number of non-zero terms. In this case $\phi_{1}$ is a polynomial of degree $2 n$ containing only even powers of $x$. for example,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha=0, & \phi_{1}(x)=1=p_{0}(x) \\
\alpha=2, & \phi_{1}(x)=1+\frac{(-1)(2+1)}{2} \alpha x^{2}=1-3 x^{2}=p_{2}(x)
\end{array}
$$

or the recurrance relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{n+2} & =\frac{n(n+1)-\alpha(\alpha+1)}{(n+1)(n+2)} a_{n} \text { implies } \\
a_{2} & =\frac{0(0)-2(3)}{1 \cdot 2} a_{0}=-3 a_{0} \\
a_{4} & =\frac{2(3)-2(3)}{3 \cdot 4} a_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

with $a_{0}=1$ we get $\phi_{1}(x)=1-3 x^{2}=p_{2}(x)$
for $\alpha=4, \quad a_{2}=\frac{0(0)-4(5)}{1 \cdot 2} a_{0}=-10 a_{0}$

$$
a_{4}=\frac{2(3)-4(5)}{3 \cdot 4} a_{2}
$$

$$
=\frac{6-20}{12}\left(-10 a_{0}\right)
$$

$$
=+\frac{140}{12} a_{0}
$$

$$
=\frac{35}{3} a_{0}
$$

$$
a_{6}=\frac{4(5)-4(5)}{5 \cdot 6}
$$

$$
=0
$$

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=a_{0}\left[1-10 x^{2}+\frac{35}{3} x^{4}\right] \text { with } a_{0}=1
$$

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=1-10 x^{2}+\frac{35}{3} x^{4}=p_{4}(x)
$$

The solution $\phi_{2}$ is not a polynomial in this case since none of the coefficients in the series of $\phi_{2}$ vanish.

A similar situation occurs when $\alpha$ is a positive odd integer $n$. Then $\phi_{2}$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ having only odd powers of $x$ and $\phi_{1}$ is not a polynomial.
for example

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\alpha=1) \quad a_{n+2} & =\frac{n(n+1)-\alpha(\alpha+1)}{(n+1)(n+2)} a_{n} \\
a_{3} & =\frac{1(2)-1(2)}{2 \cdot 3} a_{1}=0 \\
\phi_{2}(x) & =x=p_{1}(x) \\
a_{3} & =\frac{1(2)-3(4)}{2 \cdot 3} a_{1} \\
& =-\frac{5}{3} a_{1} \\
a_{5} & =\frac{3(4)-3(4)}{4 \cdot 5} a_{3}=0 \\
\phi_{2}(x) & =x-\frac{5}{3} x^{3}=p_{3}(x) \\
a_{3} & =\frac{1(2)-5 \cdot 6}{2 \cdot 3} a_{1} \\
& =-\frac{14}{3} a_{1} \\
a_{5} & =\frac{3(4)-5 \cdot 6}{4 \cdot 5} a_{3} \\
& =-\frac{18}{20}\left(-\frac{14}{3}\right) a_{1} \\
& =\frac{21}{5} a_{1} \\
a_{7} & =\frac{5 \cdot 6-5 \cdot 6}{6 \cdot 7} a_{5}=0 . \\
\phi_{2}(x) & =x-\frac{14}{3} x^{3}+\frac{21}{5} x^{5}=p_{5}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Definition : 2.1.3

A polynomial solution $p_{n}$ of degree $n$ of $\left(1-x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}-2 x y^{\prime}+n(n+1)=0$,
Satisfying $P_{n}(1)=1$ is called the $n^{\text {th }}$ Legendre polynomial and the differential equation is called Legendre equation.

Let $\phi$ be a polynomial of degree $n$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi(x)=\frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{n} \\
& u(x)=\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{n} \text { implies } u^{\prime}(x)=n\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{n-1} 2 x \text { gives } \\
& \left(x^{2}-1\right) u^{\prime}(x)-2 n x \quad u(x)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Differentiate this equation $(n+1)$ times.
First differentiation gives

$$
\left(x^{2}-1\right) u^{\prime \prime}(x)+2 x(1-n) u^{\prime}(x)-2 n u(x)=0
$$

Second differentiation gives

$$
\left(x^{2}-1\right) u^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)+2 x[(1+1)-n] u^{\prime \prime}+2[(1-n)+(0-n)] u^{\prime}(x)=0
$$

Third differentiation gives

$$
\left(x^{2}-1\right) u^{(i v)}+2 x[(1+1+1)-n] u^{\prime \prime \prime}+2[(2-n)+(1-n)+(0-n)] u^{\prime \prime}=0 .
$$

i.e.

$$
\left(x^{2}-1\right) u^{(i v)}+2 x(3-n) u^{\prime \prime \prime}-2[(n-2)+(n-1)+n] u^{\prime \prime}=0 .
$$

In general $(n+1)^{\text {th }}$ differentiation gives

$$
\left(x^{2}-1\right) u^{(n+2)}+2 x((n+1)-n) u^{(n+1)}-2[1+2+3+4+\ldots+(n-1)+n] u^{(n)}=0 .
$$

i.e.

$$
\left(x^{2}-1\right) u^{(n+2)}+2 x u^{(n+1)}-n(n+1) u^{(n)}=0 . \quad \text { or }
$$

$$
\left(1-x^{2}\right) u^{(n+2)}-2 x u^{(n+1)}+n(n+1) u^{(n)}=0 .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi(x)=\frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{n}=\frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}} u(x)=u^{(n)}(x), \\
& \left(1-x^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime \prime}-2 x \phi^{\prime}(x)+n(n+1) \phi(x)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the function $\phi(x)=\frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{n}$ is a solution of Legendre equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{n} & =\frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}\left[(x+1)^{n}(x-1)^{n}\right] \\
& =\left\{\frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}\left[(x-1)^{n}\right]\right\}(x+1)^{n}+\left\{\frac{d^{n-1}}{d x^{n-1}}(x-1)^{n}\right\} \frac{d}{d x}(x+1)^{n}+\ldots \\
& =n(n-1)(n-2) \ldots \cdot 2 \cdot 1(x+1)^{n}+\text { terms containing }(x-1) \text { as factor. } \\
& =n!(x+1)^{n}+\operatorname{terms} \text { containing }(x-1) \text { as factor. } \\
\text { Thus, at } \quad x & =1,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{n}=2^{n} \cdot n!
$$

Define $P_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{2^{n} n!} \phi(x)=\frac{1}{2^{n} n!} \frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{n}$ then $P_{n}(x)$ is a solution of Legendre equation with $\alpha=n P_{n}(1)=\frac{1}{2^{n} n!}\left[2^{n} \cdot n!\right]=1$. Thus, $P_{n}(x)$ is a Legendre polynomial of degree $n$.

Suppose $\psi$ is a polynomial solution of Legendre equation with $\alpha=n$. Since $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are basic solutions of Legendre equation $\psi=c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}$ on $|x|<\mid$ for some constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ is a solution. If $n$ is even $\phi_{1}$ is polynomial solution and $\phi_{2}$ is not a polynomial $\psi-c_{1} \phi_{1}$ is polynomial
where as $c_{2} \phi_{2}$ is not a polynomial and therefore $c_{2}=0$. In particular the function $P_{n}$ satisfies $P_{n}(x)=c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)$ for some constant $c_{1}$ if $n$ is even. Since $P_{n}(1)=1=c_{1} \phi_{1}(1)$ therefore $\phi_{1}(1) \neq 0$. Thus no nontrivial polynomial solution of Legendre equation can be zero at $x=1$. A similar result is valid for $n$ odd.

The formula

$$
P_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{2^{n} n!} \frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{n}
$$

is known as Rodriges formula. This expression can be used to prove properties of Legendre polynomials.

## EXERCISES

1. The equation $\left(1-x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}-x y^{\prime}+\alpha^{2} y=0$ where $\alpha$ is a constant is called the Chebyshev equation.
(a) Compute two linearly independent series solutions for $|x|<\mid$.
(b) Show that for every non negative integer $\alpha=n$ there is a polynomial solution of degree $n$.
2. The equation $y^{\prime \prime}-2 x y^{\prime}+2 \alpha y=0$, where $\alpha$ is a constant, is called the Hermite equation.
(a) Find two linearly independent solutions on $-\infty<x<\infty$.
(b) Show that there is a polynomial solution of degree $n$, in case $\alpha=n$ is a non-negative integer.
3. Find the general solution valid near the origin
(i) $y^{\prime \prime}+3 x y^{\prime}+3 y=0$
(ii) $\left(1+4 x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}-8 y=0$
(iii) $\left(1+x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}-4 x y^{\prime}+6 y=0$
(iv) $2 y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}-4 y=0$
(v) $y^{\prime \prime}+x^{2} y=0$

## Answers :

1. (a) $\phi_{1}(x)=1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-\alpha^{2}\right)\left(2^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right) \cdots\left[(2 m-2)^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right]}{(2 m)!} x^{2 m}$

$$
\phi_{2}(x)=x+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right)\left(3^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right) \cdots\left[(2 m-1)^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right]}{(2 m+1)!} x^{2 m+1}
$$

(b) $\quad \phi_{1}$ is a polynomial if $\alpha$ is an even integer,
$\phi_{2}$ is a polynomial if $\alpha$ is an odd integer.
2. (a) $\phi_{1}(x)=1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{m}(-\alpha)(2-\alpha) \cdots(2 m-2-\alpha)}{(2 m)!} x^{2 m}$

$$
\phi_{2}(x)=x+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{m}(1-\alpha)(3-\alpha) \cdots(2 m-1-\alpha)}{(2 m+1)!} x^{2 m+1}
$$

(b) $\quad \phi_{1}$ is a polynomial if $a$ is an even integer, $\phi_{2}$ is a polynomial if $\alpha$ is an odd integer.
3. (i) $y(x)=a_{0}\left[1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-3)^{k} x^{2 k}}{2^{k} k!}\right]$

$$
+a_{1}\left[x+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-3)^{k} x^{2 k+1}}{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7, \cdots(2 k+1)}\right]
$$

(ii)

$$
y=a_{0}\left(1+4 x^{2}\right)+a_{1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} 2^{2 k} x^{2 k+1}}{4 k^{2}-1}
$$

(iii) $\quad y(x)=a_{0}\left(1-3 x^{2}\right)+a_{1}\left(x-\frac{x^{3}}{3}\right)$
(iv)

$$
y(x)=a_{0}\left(1+x^{2}+\frac{1}{12} x^{4}\right)+a_{1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{3(-1)^{k} x^{2 k+1}}{2^{2 k} k!(2 k-3)(2 k-1)(2 k+1)}
$$

(v)

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(x) & =a_{0}\left[1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} x^{4 k}}{2^{2 k} k!3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdots(4 k-1)}\right] \\
& +a_{1}\left[x+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} x^{4 k+1}}{2^{2 k} k!5 \cdot 9 \cdot 13 \cdots(4 k+1)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
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# Chapter <br> Linear Equations with <br> Regular Singular Points 

## Contents:
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Unit 2: Second order equations with regular singular points
Unit 3 : The Bessel equation
Unit 4 : Regular singular points at infinity

## Introduction

For a linear differential equation $a_{0}(x) y^{(n)}+a_{1}(x) y^{(n-1)}+a_{2}(x) y^{(n-2)}+\ldots .+a_{n}(x) y=0$, where the coefficient functions $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots . . a_{n}$ are analytic at some point $x_{0}$, the point $x_{0}$ is called an ordinary point of the equation if $a_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. In the last chapter we have obtain power series solutions valid near an ordinary point of a linear equation.

A singular point of the above linear equation is any point $x=x_{1}$ for which $a_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)=0$. In this chapter we shall get power series solutions valid near a certain kind of singular points of the equation. It is usually difficult to determine the nature of the solutions in the vicinity of singular points. However there is a large class of equations for which the singularity is rather weak in the sense that slight modification of the methods used for solving equations with analytic coefficients discussed in chapter II unit 3, serve to yield solutions near the singularities.

## Definition 3.1.1 (a)

A point $x=x_{0}$ is a regular singular point of $L(y)=a_{0}(x) y^{(n)}+a_{1}(x) y^{(n-1)}+a_{2}(x) y^{(n-2)}$ $+\cdots+a_{n}(x) y=0$ if the equation can be written in the form $L(y)=\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{n} y^{(n)}+b_{1}(x)$ $\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{(n-1)} y^{(n-1)}+\cdots+b_{n}(x) y=0$ where $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, \ldots, b_{n}$ are analytic at $x_{0}$.

If the functions $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, \ldots, b_{n}$ can be written in the form

$$
b_{k}(x)=\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{k} \beta_{k}(x) \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots ., n
$$

Where $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ are analytic at $x_{0}$ then $L(y)=0$ becomes

$$
y^{(n)}+\beta_{1}(x) y^{(n-1)}+\beta_{2}(x) y^{(n-2)}+\ldots . .+\beta_{n}(x) y=0
$$

## Definition 3.1.1 (b)

A equation of the form $c_{0}(x)\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{n} y^{(n)}+c_{1}(x)\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{n-1} y^{(y-1)}+c_{2}(x)\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{(n-2)}$ $y^{(n-2)}+\ldots .+c_{n}(x) y=0$ has a regular singular point at $x_{0}$ if $c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots . . c_{n}$ are analytic at $x=x_{0}$ and $c_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$.

## Definition 3.1.2

If $x=x_{0}$ is a singular point but is not a regular singular point, then it is called irregular singular point. For example, consider the equation

$$
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-y^{\prime}-\frac{3}{4} y=0
$$

The origin $x=0$ is a singular point but not regular therefore $x=0$ is irregular singular point. The coefficient of $y^{\prime}$ is not of the form $x b_{1}(x)$ where $b_{1}(x)$ analytic.

In the first unit we study the differential equation that has a regular singular point at origin and all the analytic functions $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, \ldots, b_{n}$ are constants.

## Unit 1: The Euler Equation

The simplest example of a second order equation that follows defination 3.1.1(a) is the Euler equation

$$
L(y)=x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+a x y^{\prime}+b y=0
$$

where $a, b$ are constants.

## Theorem 3.1.1

Consider the second order Euler equation

$$
L(y)=x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+a x y^{\prime}+b y=0 \quad(a, b \text { constants })
$$

and the polynomial $q$ given by

$$
q(r)=r(r-1)+a r+b
$$

A basis for the solutions of the Euler equation on any interval not containing $x=0$ is given by

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=|x|^{r_{1}}, \quad \phi_{2}(x)=|x|^{r_{2}},
$$

in case $r_{1}, r_{2}$ are distinct roots of $q$ and by

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=|x|^{r_{1}}, \quad \phi_{2}(x)=|x|^{r_{1}} \log |x|,
$$

if $r_{1}$ is a root of equation $q$ of multiplicity two.

## Proof:

Case 1: $r_{1} \neq r_{2}$
(a) We first consider the equation for $x>0$. Let $x^{r}$ be a solution of Euler equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(y) & =x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+a x y^{\prime}+b y=0 \\
L\left(x^{r}\right) & =x^{2}\left[r(r-1) x^{r-2}\right]+a x\left[r x^{r-1}\right]+b x^{r}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

implies $[r(r-1)+a r+b]\left[x^{r}\right]=0$
$q$ is a polynomial defined by $q(r)=r(r-1)+a r+b$
Thus, we have

$$
L\left(x^{r}\right)=q(r) x^{r}
$$

If $r_{1}$ is a root of $q(x)$ then $q\left(r_{1}\right)=0$ and therefore $L\left(x^{r_{1}}\right)=0$. i.e. $\phi_{1}(x)=x^{r_{1}}$ is a solution of $L(y)=0$. If $r_{2}$ is another root of $q$ and $r_{2} \neq r_{1}$ then $\phi_{2}(x)=x^{r_{2}}$ is another solution of $L(y)=0$. Thus, $\phi_{1}(x)=x^{r_{1}}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=x^{r_{2}}$ is a basis for the solution of the Euler equation as $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are linearly independent.
(b) If $x<0$, Let $(-x)^{r}$ be a solution (if $x<0,-x>0$ ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[(-x)^{r}\right]^{\prime} }=-r(-x)^{r-1},\left[(-x)^{r}\right]^{\prime \prime}=r(r-1)(-x)^{r-2} \\
& x\left[(-x)^{r}\right]^{\prime}=r(-x)(-x)^{r-1}=r(-x)^{r} \text { and } \\
& L(y)= r(r-1)(-x)^{r}+\operatorname{ar}(-x)^{r}+b(-x)^{r}=q(r)(-x)^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $r_{1} \neq r_{2}$ then

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=(-x)^{r_{1}}, \phi_{2}(x)=(-x)^{r_{2}} \text { are solutions of } L(y)=0 \text {. If } r_{1} \text { and } r_{2} \text { are complex }
$$ roots of $q(r)=0$, we define $x^{r}$ for $r$ complex by

$$
x^{r}=e^{r \log x}(x>0)
$$

then $\quad\left(x^{r}\right)^{\prime}=(r \cdot \log x)^{\prime} \cdot e^{r \log x}=\frac{r}{x} \cdot x^{r}=r x^{r-1}$ and the result follows on the same lines for complex roots also.

Thus, we have proved that if $(x>0) r_{1} \neq r_{2} \quad \phi_{1}(x)=x^{r_{1}}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=x^{r_{2}}$ are solutions of $L(y)=0$ and for $x<0, r_{1} \neq r_{2}$ we have $\phi_{1}(x)=(-x)^{r_{1}}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=(-x)^{r_{2}}$ are solution of $L(y)=0$. Since $|x|=x$ for $x>0$ and $|x|=-x$ for $x<0 \phi_{1}(x)=|x|^{r_{1}}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=|x|^{r_{2}}$ are solutions of $L(y)=0$ if $r_{1}, r_{2}$ are distinct roots of $q(r)=0$.

We prove that $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are linearly independent.
Let $c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}=0$ i.e. $c_{1}|x|^{r_{1}}+c_{2}|x|^{r_{2}}=0$ then $c_{1}+c_{2}|x|^{r_{2}-r_{1}}=0$ for every $x \in \mathrm{R}$. Differentiating above equation w.r.t. $x$ for $x>0$ or $x<0$ we get,

$$
c_{2}\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)|x|^{r_{2}-r_{1}}=0
$$

But $r_{1} \neq r_{2}$ and $x \neq 0$ therefore $c_{2}=0$ and $c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}=0$ for all $x$ implies $c_{1}=0$ since $c_{2}=0$ and $\phi_{1}(x) \neq 0$.

Thus, $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are linearly independent solutions.
Therefore if $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are distinct roots of $q(x)=0$, then $\phi_{1}(x)=|x|^{r_{1}}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=|x|^{r_{2}}$ forms a basis for the solutions of $L(y)=0$.

Case 2: $r_{1}=r_{2}$
(a) $\boldsymbol{x}>\mathbf{0}$ : If $r_{1}=r_{2}$ then $q\left(r_{1}\right)=0$ and $q^{\prime}\left(r_{1}\right)=0$. We have proved that if $r_{1}$ is a root of $q(x)=0$ then $\phi_{1}(x)=x^{r}$ is a solution. To construct second solution consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} L\left(x^{r}\right) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[q(r) x^{r}\right] \\
& =\left[q^{\prime}(r)+q(r) \log x\right] x^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} x^{r}=x^{r} \log x$
But if $r_{1}=r_{2}=r$ then $q(r)=0$ and $q^{\prime}(r)=0$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[L\left(x^{r}\right)\right]=0 \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[L\left(x^{r}\right)\right]=L\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} x^{r}\right)=L\left(x^{r} \log x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $L\left(x^{r} \log x\right)=0$ implies $x^{r} \log x$ is a solution of $L(y)=0$.
If $r_{1}$ is a root of $q(r)=0$ of multiplicity two then $\phi_{1}(x)=x^{r_{1}}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=x^{r_{1}} \log x$ are two solutions of $L(y)=0$.
(b) $x<0$ : If $x<0$, then $-x>0$ and $\phi_{1}(x)=(-x)^{r_{1}}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=(-x)^{r_{1}} \log (-x)$ are solution of $L(y)=0$.

Thus $\phi_{1}(x)=|x|^{r_{1}}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=|x|^{r_{1}} \log |-x|$ are two solution of $L(y)=0$.
$c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}=0$ implies $c_{1}+c_{2} \log |x|=0$ for all $x$ and therefore $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$ and $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly independent.

Thus if $r_{1}$ is a repeated root of $q(r)=0$ then $\phi_{1}(x)=|x|^{r_{1}}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=|x|^{r_{1}} \log |x|$ is a basis for solutions of the Euler equation $L(y)=x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+a x y^{\prime}+b y=0$.

## Illustration :

$$
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+y=0 \text { for } x \neq 0 \text { is Euler equation with } a=b=1 .
$$

The polynomial $q(r)=r(r-1)+r+1=r^{2}+1$ and $r=+i,-i$ are roots of $q(r)$. A basis for the solutions by theorem 3.1.1 are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}(x)=|x|^{i} \text { and } \phi_{2}(x)=|x|^{-i} \quad(x \neq 0) \\
& |x|^{i}=e^{i \log |x|}=\cos (\log |x|)+i \sin (\log |x|)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\psi_{1}(x)=\cos (\log |x|)$ and $\psi_{2}(x)=\sin (\log |x|)$ is another basis for solution of $L(y)=x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+y=0$.

## Theorem 3.1.2

Consider the Euler equation of order $n$.

$$
L(y)=x^{n} y^{(n)}+a_{1} x^{n-1} y^{(n-1)}+a_{2} x^{n-2} y^{(n-2)}+\ldots+a_{n} y=0
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are constants. Let $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{s}$ be distinct roots of the indicial polynomial $q(r)=r(r-1)(r-2) \ldots(r-n+1)+a_{1} r(r-1) \ldots(r-n+2)+\ldots+a_{n}$ and suppose $r_{i}$ has multiplicity $m_{i}$. Then the $n$ functions

$$
\begin{gathered}
|x|^{r_{1}},|x|^{r_{1}} \log |x|, \ldots .,|x|^{r_{1}}(\log |x|)^{m_{1}-1} ;|x|^{r_{2}},|x|^{r_{2}} \log |x|, \ldots,|x|^{r_{2}}(\log |x|)^{m_{2}-1} ; \ldots ; \\
|x|^{r_{s}},|x|^{r_{s}} \log |x|, \ldots .,|x|^{r_{s}}(\log |x|)^{m_{s-1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

form a basis for the solution of $L(y)=0$ on any interval not containing zero.
Proof: Let $|x|^{r}$ be a solution of $L(y)=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(|x|^{r}\right)^{\prime} & =r|x|^{r-1},\left(|x|^{r}\right)^{\prime \prime}=r(r-1)|x|^{r-2}, \ldots . \\
\left(|x|^{r}\right)^{(n)} & =r(r-1)(r-2) \ldots(r-n+1)|x|^{r-n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $L\left(|x|^{r}\right)=r(r-1)(r-2) \ldots(r-n+1)|x|^{r}+a_{1} r(r-1)(r-2)$

$$
\ldots(r-n+2)|x|^{r}+\ldots+a_{n}|x|^{r}
$$

$$
=q(r)|x|^{r}
$$

where

$$
q(r)=(r)(r-1)(r-2) \ldots(r-n+1)+r(r-1)(r-2) \ldots(r-n+2) a_{1}+\ldots+a_{n} .
$$

The polynomial $q(r)$ is called indicial polynomial. Thus, $|x|^{r}$ is a solution of $L(y)=0$ if $q(r)=0$ i.e. if $r$ is a root of indicial polynomial then $|x|^{r}$ is a solution of $L(y)=0$.

Differentiating $L\left(|x|^{r}\right)=q(r)|x|^{r}$ with respect to ' $r$ ' we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} L\left(|x|^{r}\right) & =L\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}|x|^{r}\right) \\
& =\left(q^{\prime}(r)+q(r) \log |x|\right)|x|^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

In general $k$ times differentiation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial r^{k}} L\left(|x|^{r}\right)=L\left(\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial r^{k}}|x|^{r}\right) \\
& =\left[q^{(k)}(r)+k q^{(r-1)}(r) \log |x|+k(k-1) q^{(k-2)}(x)(\log |x|)^{2}+\ldots+q(r)(\log |x|)^{k}\right]|x|^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $r$ is a root of $q(r)$ with multiplicity $(k+1)$ then $q(r)=0, q^{\prime}(r)=0, q^{\prime \prime}(r)=0 \ldots$, $q^{(k)}(r)=0$ and therefore $L\left(\frac{\partial^{i}}{\partial r^{i}}|x|^{r}\right)=0$ for $i=1,2,3, \ldots, k$.

Thus $\phi(x)=\frac{\partial^{i}}{\partial r^{i}}|x|^{r}, i=1,2,3, \ldots, k$. are solution of $L(y)=0$.
If $r_{1}$ is a root of $q(r)$ of multiplicity $m_{1}$ then

$$
\begin{gathered}
|x|^{r_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{1}}|x|^{r_{1}}=|x|^{r_{1}} \log |x|, \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r_{1}^{2}}|x|^{r_{1}}=|x|^{r_{1}}(\log |x|)^{2}, \ldots, \frac{\partial^{m_{1}-1}}{\partial r_{1}^{m_{1}-1}}|x|^{r_{1}} \\
=|x|^{r_{1}}(\log |x|)^{m_{1}-1} \text { are solutions of } L(y)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Repeating this process for each root of $q(r)$ we obtain all the solution and the result follows.
All these solutions are linearly independent and therefore form a basis for the solutions of $L(y)=0$ on any interval not containing zero.

## EXAMPLES

## Q. 1. Find all solutions of the following equations for $\boldsymbol{x}>\mathbf{0}$

(a) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+2 x y^{\prime}-6 y=0$
(b) $2 x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}-y=0$
(c) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}-4 y=0$
(d) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-5 x y^{\prime}+9 y=x^{3}$
(e) $x^{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-x y^{\prime}+y=0$.

## Ans.:

(a) The indicial equation
$q(r)=r(r-1)+2 r-6=r^{2}+r-6$ has root $r=3,-2$.
Therefore $\phi_{1}(x)=x^{3}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=x^{-2}$ are basic solutions and $\phi(x)=c_{1} x^{3}+c_{2} x^{-2}$ is general solution for constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$.
(b) The indicial equation
$q(r)=2 r(r-1)+r-1=2 r^{2}-r-1$ has root $r=1,-\frac{1}{2}$ and $\phi_{1}(x)=x, \phi_{2}(x)=x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ are basic solution, $\phi(x)=c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is general solution for constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$.
(c) The indicial equation $q(r)=r(r-1)+r-4=r^{2}-4$ has root $2,-2$ Then $\phi_{1}(x)=x^{2}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=x^{-2}$ are basic solution, $\phi(x)=c_{1} x^{2}+c_{2} x^{-2}$ is general solution.
(d) The indicial equation $q(r)=r(r-1)-5 r+9=r^{2}-6 r+9$ has root 3, 3. Since the root 3 is repeated root of multiplicity two $\phi_{1}(x)=x^{3}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=x^{3} \log x$ are basic solution of corresponding homogeneous equation $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-5 x y^{\prime}+9 y=0$.
The particular solution will be determined by using variation of constant method.
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi=u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x) \text { be a solution of equation } \\
& y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{5}{x} y^{\prime}+\frac{9}{x^{2}} y=x \text { then } \\
& u_{k}(x)=\int \frac{\mathrm{W}_{k}(t) b(t) d t}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)} \text { Here } b(t)=t, \\
& \mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
x^{3} & x^{3} \log x \\
3 x^{2} & x^{2}+3 x^{2} \log x
\end{array}\right|=x^{5}, \\
& \mathrm{~W}_{1}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
0 & x^{3} \log x \\
1 & x^{2}+3 x^{2} \log x
\end{array}\right|=-x^{3} \log x,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}_{2} & =\left|\begin{array}{cc}
x^{3} & 0 \\
3 x^{2} & 1
\end{array}\right|=+x^{3}, \\
u_{1}(x) & =\int \frac{-x^{3} \log x \cdot x}{x^{5}} d x=-\int \frac{\log x}{x} d x=-\frac{1}{2}(\log x)^{2} \\
u_{2}(x) & =\int \frac{x^{3} \cdot x d x}{x^{5}}=\int \frac{d x}{x}=\log x \\
\psi(x) & =u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}(\log x)^{2} x^{3}+(\log x) x^{3} \log x=\frac{1}{2} x^{3}(\log x)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The general solution

$$
\phi=c_{1} \phi_{1}+c_{2} \phi_{2}+\psi=c_{1} x^{3}+c_{2} x^{3} \log x+\frac{1}{2} x^{3}(\log x)^{2} .
$$

(e) The indicial equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(r) & =r(r-1)(r-2)+2 r(r-1)-r+1 \\
& =(r-1)\left[r^{2}-2 r+2 r-1\right]=(r-1)\left(r^{2}-1\right) \text { has root } 1,1,-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since one is a root of multiplicity two, $\phi_{1}(x)=x, \phi_{2}(x)=x \log x$ and corresponding to $-1, \phi_{3}(x)=x^{-1}$.
The general solution

$$
\phi(x)=c_{1} x+c_{2} x \log x+c_{3} x^{-1}
$$

Q. 2. Find all solutions of the following equations for $|x|>0$.
(a) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+4 y=1$
(b) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-3 x y^{\prime}+5 y=0$
(c) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}-4 \pi y=x$

## Ans.:

(a) The indicial equation $q(r)=r(r-1)+r+4$ has root $r= \pm 2 i$.

Since both the roots are distinct, $\phi_{1}(x)=|x|^{2 i}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=|x|^{-2 i}$. The general solution of homogeneous equation is

$$
\phi(x)=c_{1}|x|^{2 i}+c_{2}|x|^{-2 i}
$$

The particular solution will be calculated by variation of constant method.
Case 1: $x>0$,

$$
\text { If } x>0 \text { then }|x|=x
$$

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=x^{2 i} \text { and } \phi_{2}(x)=x^{-2 i}
$$

Let $\psi(x)=u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x)$ be a solution of

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+4 y=1 \text {. then } u_{1}(x)=\int \frac{\mathrm{W}_{1}(x) b(x) d x}{\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)} \text { and } \\
u_{2}(x)=\int \frac{\mathrm{W}_{2}(x) b(x)}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)} d x \text { where } b(x)=\frac{1}{x^{2}}, \\
\mathrm{~W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
x^{2 i} & x^{-2 i} \\
2 i x^{2 i-1} & -2 i x^{-2 i-1}
\end{array}\right|=-2 i x^{-1}-2 i x^{-1}=-\frac{4 i}{x} \\
\mathrm{~W}_{1}(x)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
0 & x^{-2 i} \\
1 & -2 i x^{-2 i-1}
\end{array}\right|=-x^{-2 i} ; \quad \mathrm{W}_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
x^{2 i} & 0 \\
2 i x^{2 i-1} & 1
\end{array}\right|=x^{2 i} \\
u_{1}(x)=\int \frac{-x^{-2 i}\left(\frac{1}{x^{2}}\right)}{\left(-\frac{4 i}{x}\right)} d x=\int \frac{x^{-2 i-2+1}}{4 i} d x=\frac{x^{-2 i}}{4 i(-2 i)}=\frac{x^{-2 i}}{8} \\
u_{2}(x)=\int \frac{x^{2 i}\left(\frac{1}{x^{2}}\right)}{\left(-\frac{4 i}{x}\right)} d x=\int \frac{x^{2 i-2+1}}{-4 i} d x=\frac{x^{2 i}}{-4 i(+2 i)}=\frac{x^{2 i}}{8} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, $\psi(x)=u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x)=\frac{x^{-2 i}}{8} \cdot x^{2 i}+\frac{x^{2 i}}{8} \cdot x^{-2 i}=\frac{1}{4}$. For $x>0$, $\phi(x)=c_{1} x^{2 i}+c_{2} x^{-2 i}+\frac{1}{4}$ is a solution of given equation.

## Case 2: $x<0$

If $x<0$ then $|x|=-x$ and $\phi_{1}(x)=(-x)^{2 i}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=(-x)^{-2 i}$
Let $\psi(x)=u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x)$ be a solution of the given differential equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) & =\left|\begin{array}{ll}
(-x)^{2 i} & (-x)^{-2 i} \\
-2 i(-x)^{2 i-1} & +2 i(-x)^{-2 i-1}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{2 i}{(-x)}+\frac{2 i}{(-x)}=\frac{4 i}{-x} \\
\mathrm{~W}_{1} & =\left|\begin{array}{ll}
0 & (-x)^{-2 i} \\
1 & 2 i(-x)^{-2 i-1}
\end{array}\right|=-(-x)^{-2 i}, \quad \mathrm{~W}_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
(-x)^{2 i} & 0 \\
-2 i(-x)^{2 i-1} & 1
\end{array}\right|=(-x)^{+2 i}, \\
b(x) & =\frac{1}{x^{2}} \\
u_{1}(x) & =\int \frac{\mathrm{W}_{1}(x) b(x)}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)} d x=\int \frac{-(-x)^{-2 i} \cdot \frac{1}{(+x)^{2}}}{\frac{4 i}{(-x)}} d x=-\frac{1}{4 i} \frac{(-x)^{-2 i}}{2 i}=\frac{(-x)^{-2 i}}{8} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{2}(x)=\int \frac{\mathrm{W}_{2}(x) b(x)}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)} d x=\int \frac{\frac{(-x)^{2 i}}{(-x)^{2}}}{\frac{4 i}{(-x)}} d x=\frac{1}{4 i} \frac{(-x)^{+2 i}}{-2 i}=\frac{(-x)^{+2 i}}{8} \\
& \psi(x)=u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x) \\
& \psi(x)=\frac{(-x)^{-2 i}}{8} \cdot(-x)^{2 i}+\frac{(-x)^{2 i}}{8}(-x)^{-2 i}=\frac{1}{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x<0, \quad \phi(x)=c_{1}(-x)^{2 i}+c_{2}(-x)^{-2 i}+\frac{1}{4}$ is a solution of the given differential equation.
Thus $\phi(x)=c_{1}|x|^{2 i}+c_{2}|x|^{-2 i}+\frac{1}{4}$ is a solution of the given differential equation if $x \neq 0$.
(b) The indical equation $q(r)=r(r-1)-3 r+5$ has roots $2+i, 2-i$. Since both the roots are distinct $\phi_{1}(x)=|x|^{2+i}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=|x|^{2-i}$ are two independent solutions. The general solution

$$
\phi=c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)=c_{1}|x|^{2+i}+c_{2}|x|^{2-i}=x^{2}\left(c_{1}|x|^{i}+c_{2}|x|^{-i}\right) .
$$

(c) The indical equation $q(r)=r(r-1)+r-4 \pi$ has roots $2 \sqrt{\pi}$ and $-2 \sqrt{\pi}$. Since both the roots are distinct $\phi_{1}(x)=|x|^{2 \sqrt{\pi}}$ and $\phi_{2}(x)=|x|^{-2 \sqrt{\pi}}$ are two solutions. The general solution of corresponding homogeneous equation is $\phi(x)=c_{1}|x|^{2 \sqrt{\pi}}+c_{2}|x|^{-2 \sqrt{\pi}}$.
We solve the non-homogeneous equation using the variation of constants method.

## Case 1: $x>0$

If $x>0$ then $|x|^{2 \sqrt{\pi}}=x^{2 \sqrt{\pi}},|x|^{-2 \sqrt{\pi}}=x^{-2 \sqrt{\pi}}$
Let $\psi(x)=u_{1}(x) \phi_{1}(x)+u_{2}(x) \phi_{2}(x)$ be a solution of given equation then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
x^{2 \sqrt{\pi}} & x^{-2 \sqrt{\pi}} \\
2 \sqrt{\pi} & x^{2 \sqrt{\pi}-1} \\
-2 \sqrt{\pi} & x^{-2 \sqrt{\pi}-1}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{-2 \sqrt{\pi}}{x}-\frac{2 \sqrt{\pi}}{x}=\frac{-4 \sqrt{\pi}}{x} \\
\mathrm{~W}_{1}(x)=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
0 & x^{-2 \sqrt{\pi}} \\
1 & -2 \sqrt{\pi} & x^{-2 \sqrt{\pi}-1}
\end{array}\right|=-x^{-2 \sqrt{\pi}}, \quad \mathrm{~W}_{2}(x)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
x^{2 \sqrt{\pi}} & 0 \\
+2 \sqrt{\pi} & x^{+2 \sqrt{\pi}-1} \\
1
\end{array}\right|=x^{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \\
b(x)=\frac{1}{x}, \text { since the given equation is } y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{x} y^{\prime}-\frac{4 \pi}{x} y=\frac{1}{x} . \\
u_{1}(x)=\int \frac{\mathrm{W}_{1}(x) b(x)}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)} d x=\int \frac{-x^{-2 \sqrt{\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right.}{\frac{-4 \sqrt{\pi}}{x}} d x=+\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{\pi}} \int x^{-2 \sqrt{\pi}-1+1} d x=+\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{\pi}} \frac{x^{-2 \sqrt{\pi}+1}}{(-2 \sqrt{\pi}+1)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, $\quad u_{1}(x)=+\frac{x^{-2 \sqrt{\pi}+1}}{4 \sqrt{\pi}(1-2 \sqrt{\pi})}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{2}(x) & =\int \frac{\mathrm{W}_{2}(x) b(x)}{\mathrm{W}\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)} d x=\int \frac{x^{2 \sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{x}}{-4 \sqrt{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{x}} d x=-\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{\pi}} \frac{x^{2 \sqrt{\pi}+1}}{2 \sqrt{\pi}+1} \\
\psi(x) & =+\frac{x^{-2 \sqrt{\pi}+1}}{4 \sqrt{\pi}(1-2 \sqrt{\pi})} \cdot x^{2 \sqrt{\pi}}-\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{\pi}} \frac{x^{2 \sqrt{\pi}+1}}{2 \sqrt{\pi}+1} \\
& =+\frac{x}{4 \sqrt{\pi}}\left[\frac{1}{1-2 \sqrt{\pi}}-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi}+1}\right]=\frac{x}{4 \sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{4 \sqrt{\pi}}{1-4 \pi}=\frac{x}{1-4 \pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $x>0, \phi(x)=c_{1} x^{2 \sqrt{\pi}}+c_{2} x^{-2 \sqrt{\pi}}+\frac{x}{1-4 \pi}$ is a solution of given equation. For $x<0$ also we get $\psi(x)=\frac{x}{1-4 \pi}$.

Thus the general solution of the given equation is $\phi(x)=c_{1}|x|^{2 \sqrt{\pi}}+c_{2}|x|^{-2 \sqrt{\pi}}+\frac{x}{1-4 \pi}$.
Till now we have considered Euler equation having a regular singular point at origin. At the beginning of this chapter we defined singular points, regular singular points and irregular singular points. We present some definitions of singularities which can be used to classify the singularities of the given differential equation.

## Definition 3.1.3 (a) :

A second order differential equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+p(z) y^{\prime}+q(z) y=0
$$

analytic for $0<\left|z-z_{0}\right|<r$, has a regular singular point at $z_{0}$ when $\mathrm{p}(z)$ has at worst a simple pole at $z=z_{0}$ and $q(z)$ has at worst a double pole at $z=z_{0}$.
Definition 3.1.3 (b) :
For a second order differential equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+p(x) y^{\prime}+q(x) y=0
$$

if $x=x_{0}$ is a singular point and if the denominator of $p(x)$ does not contain the factor $\left(x-x_{0}\right)$ to a power higher than one and if the denominator of $q(x)$ does not contain the factor $\left(x-x_{0}\right)$ to a power higher than two, then $x-x_{0}$ is called a regular singular point.

## EXAMPLES

Q. 1. Classify the singular points, in the finite plane, of the equation

$$
x(x-1)^{2}(x+2) y^{\prime \prime}+x^{2} y^{\prime}-\left(x^{3}+2 x-1\right) y=0
$$

Ans.:

$$
a_{0}(x)=x(x-1)^{2}(x+2)=0 \text { gives } x=0,1,-2
$$

Thus the singular points in a finite plane are at $x=0,1,-2$.
Given equation can be written as

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{x^{2}}{x(x-1)^{2}(x+2)} y^{\prime}-\frac{\left(x^{3}+2 x-1\right)}{x(x-1)^{2}(x+2)} y=0
$$
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therefore $\quad p(x)=\frac{x}{(x-1)^{2}(x+2)}$ and $q(x)=\frac{-\left(x^{3}+2 x-1\right)}{x(x-1)^{2}(x+2)}$
Since the denominator of $p(x)$ does not contain the factor $(x-0)$ and the denominator of $q(x)$ does not contain a factor $(x-0)^{p}$ for $p>2$. Hence, $x=0$ is a regular singular point. Now consider $x=1$. Since the denominator of $p(x)$ contains the factor $(x-1)^{p}$ where $p=2>1$ therefore $x=1$ is not a regular singular point i.e. $x=1$ is irregular singular point.

At $x=-2$, the factor $(x+2)$ appears to the first power in the denominator of $p(x)$ which is not higher than 1 and the factor $(x+2)$ appears to the first power in the denominator of $q(x)$ which is not higher than 2 . so $x=-2$ is a regular singular point.

## Q. 2. Classify the singular points in the finite plane for the equation

$$
x^{4}\left(x^{2}+1\right)(x-1)^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+4 x^{3}(x-1) y^{\prime}+(x+1) y=0
$$

Ans.: $\quad a_{0}(x)=x^{4}\left(x^{2}+1\right)(x-1)^{2}=0$ gives $x=0, x= \pm i, x=1$ are roots of $a_{0}(x)=0$.
Thus, the singular points in a finite plane are at $x=0,+i,-i, 1$.
Given equation is of the form

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{4}{x\left(x^{2}+1\right)(x-1)} y^{\prime}+\frac{(x+1)}{x^{4}\left(x^{2}+1\right)(x-1)^{2}} y=0 .
$$

Here $\quad p(x)=\frac{4}{x\left(x^{2}+1\right)(x-1)}$ and $q(x)=\frac{x+1}{x^{4}\left(x^{2}+1\right)(x-1)^{2}}$
(i) $x=0$

The denominator of $p(x)$ contains a factor $(x-0)^{r}$ where $r=1 \ngtr 1$ and the denominator of $q(x)$ contains a factor $(x-0)^{r}$ where $r=4>2$. Therefore $x=0$ is an irregular singular point.
(ii) $x=i$

The denominator of $p(x)$ contains a factor $(x-i)^{r}$ where $r=1 \ngtr 1$ and the denominator of $q(x)$ contains a factor $(x-i)^{r}$ where $r=1 \ngtr 2$. Therefore $x=i$ is a regular singular point.
(iii) $x=-i$

The denominator of $p(x)$ contains a factor $(x+i)^{r}$ where $r=1 \ngtr 1$ and the denominator of $q(x)$ contains a factor $(x+i)^{r}$ where $r=1 \ngtr 2$. Therefore $x=-i$ is a regular singular point.
(iv) $x=1$

The denominator of $p(x)$ contains a factor $(x-1)^{r}$ where $r=1 \ngtr 1$ and the denominator of $q(x)$ contains a factor $(x-1)^{r}$ where $r=2 \ngtr 2$. Therefore $x=1$ is a regular singular point.

Thus $x=i,-i, 1$ are regular singular points and $x=0$ is an irregular singular point.

## Q. 3. For each equation, locate and classify all its singular points in the finite plane.

(a) $x^{3}(x-1) y^{\prime \prime}+(x-1) y^{\prime}+4 x y=0$.
(b) $x^{2}\left(x^{2}-4\right) y^{\prime \prime}+2 x^{3} y^{\prime}+3 y=0$
(c) $y^{\prime \prime}+x y=0$
(d) $x^{2}(x-4)^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+3 x y^{\prime}-(x-4) y=0$

Ans.:
(a) $a_{0}(x)=x^{3}(x-1), a_{0}(x)=0$ give $x=0, x=1$. Therefore $x=0$ and $x=1$ are singularities. Given equation can be put in the form

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{x^{3}} y^{\prime}+\frac{4}{x^{2}(x-1)} y=0
$$

for $x=0$, denominator of $p(x)$ contains a factor $x^{r}$ where $r=3>1$ and therefore $x=0$ is irregular singular point. For $x=1$, denominator of $p(x)$ contains a factor $(x-1)^{r}$ where $r=0 \ngtr 1$ and the denominator of $q(x)$ contains a factor $(x-1)^{r}$ where $r=1 \ngtr 2$.

Therefore $x=1$ is a regular singular point.
(b) $a_{0}(x)=x^{2}\left(x^{2}-4\right)=x^{2}(x+2)(x-2) \cdot a_{0}(x)=0$ gives $x=0,2,-2$. Therefore $0,2,-2$ are singular points. Given equation is

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{2 x}{(x+2)(x-2)} y^{\prime}+\frac{3}{x^{2}(x+2)(x-2)} y=0 .
$$

For $x=0$, the denominator of $p(x)$ contains a factor $x^{r}$ where $r=0 \ngtr 1$ and denominator of $q(x)$ contains a factor $x^{r}$ for $r=2 \ngtr 2$. Therefor $x=0$ is a regular singular point.
For $x=2$, the denominator of $p(x)$ contains a factor $(x-2)^{r}$ for $r=1 \ngtr 1$ and the denominator of $q(x)$ contains a factor $(x-2)^{r}$ for $r=1 \ngtr 2$. Therefor $x=1$ is a regular singular point.

For $x=-2$, the denominator of $p(x)$ contains a factor $(x+2)^{r}$ for $r=1 \ngtr 1$ and the denominator of $q(x)$ contains a factor $(x+2)^{r}$ for $r=1 \ngtr 2$. Therefor $x=-2$ is a regular singular point.
Thus, all the singular points are regular.
(c) $a_{0}(x)=1 \neq 0$ for any $x$ therefore equation do not have any finite singular point.
(d) $a_{0}(x)=x^{2}(x-4)^{2} . a_{0}(x)=0$ gives $x=0$, 4. $x=0,4$ are singular point of the given equation. Given equation is

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3}{x(x-4)^{2}} y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{x^{2}(x-4)} y=0
$$

For $x=0$, the denominator of $p(x)$ contains a factor $x^{r}$ for $r=1 \ngtr 1$ and the denominator of $q(x)$ contains a factor $x^{r}$ for $r=2 \ngtr 2$. Therefor $x=0$ is a regular singular point.

For $x=4$, the denominator of $p(x)$ contains a factor $(x-4)^{r}$ for $r=2>1$ therefor $x=$ 4 is not a regular singular point.
Thus $x=0$ is regular and $x=4$ is irregular singular point.

## EXERCISE

1. For each equation, locate and classify all its singular points in the finite plane
(a) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+y=0$ (Ans.: $x=0$ is regular, no irregular)
(b) $\left(x^{2}+1\right)(x-4)^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+(x-4)^{2} y^{\prime}+y=0$
(Ans.: $x=i,-i$ regular, $x=4$ irregular)
(c) $x^{2}(x-2) y^{\prime \prime}+3(x-2) y^{\prime}+y=0$ (Ans.: $x=2$ is regular, $x=0$ irregular)
(d) $\left(1+4 x^{2}\right)^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+6 x\left(1+4 x^{2}\right) y^{\prime}-9 y=0$ (Ans.: $x= \pm \frac{i}{2}$ are regular)
2. Find all solutions of the following equations.
(a) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+2 x y^{\prime}-12 y=0$
(Ans.: $y=c_{1} x^{3}+c_{2} x^{-4}$ )
(b) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}-9 y=0$
(Ans.: $y=c_{1} x^{3}+c_{2} x^{-3}$ )
(c) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}-4 y=x$
(Ans.: $x=c_{1} x^{2}+c_{2} x^{-2}-\frac{x}{3}$ )
(d) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-3 x y^{\prime}+4 y=0$
(Ans.: $y=x^{2}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} \ln x\right)$ )
(e) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+5 x y^{\prime}+5 y=0$
(Ans.: $\left.y=x^{-2}\left[c_{1} \cos (\ln x)+c_{2} \sin (\ln x)\right]\right)$
3. Find all solutions of the following equations.
(a) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-5 x y^{\prime}+9 y=0$
(Ans.: $\left.y=|x|^{3}\left(c_{1}+c_{2} \ln |x|\right)\right)$
(b) $9 x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+2 y=0$
(Ans.: $y=c_{1}|x|^{\frac{1}{3}}+c_{2}|x|^{\frac{2}{3}}$ )
(c) $2 x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-3 x y^{\prime}+2 y=0$
(Ans.: $y=c_{1}|x|^{2}+c_{2}|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ )

## Unit 2 : Second order equation with Regular Singular Points

A second order equation with a regular singular point at $x_{0}$ has the form

$$
L(y)=\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+\left(x-x_{0}\right) a(x) y^{\prime}+b(x) y=0
$$

where $a(x), b(x)$ are analytic functions at $x_{0}$ i.e. they have power series expansions

$$
a(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{k} \text { and } b(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{k}
$$

which are convergent on some interval $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<r_{0}$ for some $r_{0}>0$.
Without loss of generality we assume $x_{0}=0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(y)=x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x a(x) y^{\prime}+b(x) y=0 \text { and } \\
& a(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k} x^{k}, b(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k} x^{k} \text { which are convergent on an interval }
\end{aligned}
$$

$|x|<r_{0}, r_{0}>0$. The Euler equation is a particular case of $L(y)=0$ with $a, b$ constants.

A second order equation with regular singular point has a power series solution. If functions $a(x), b(x)$ have power series expansion on some interval $|x|<r_{0}$ then the power series solution converges on the interval $|x|<r_{0}$.

## Theorem 3.2.1

Consider the equation

$$
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+a(x) x y^{\prime}+b(x) y=0,
$$

where $a$ and $b$ have convergent power series expansions for $|x|<r_{0}, r_{0}>0$. Let $r_{1}, r_{2}$ ( $\operatorname{Re} r_{1} \geq \operatorname{Re} r_{2}$ ) be the roots of the indicial polynomial

$$
q(r)=r(r-1)+a(0) r+b(0)
$$

for $0<|x|<r_{0}$ there is a solution $\phi_{1}$ of the form

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=|x|^{r_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k} \quad\left(c_{0}=1\right)
$$

where the series converges for $|x|<r_{0}$. If $r_{1}-r_{2}$ is not zero or a positiove integer, there is a second solution $\phi_{2}$ for $0<|x|<r_{0}$ of the form

$$
\phi_{2}(x)=|x|^{r_{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{c_{k}} x^{k} \quad\left(\tilde{c_{0}}=1\right)
$$

where the series converge for $|x|<r_{0}$.
The coefficients $c_{k}, c_{k}$ can be obtained by substitution of the solution into the differential equation.

## Proof :

Suppose we have a solution $\phi$ of the form

$$
\phi(x)=x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k} \quad\left(c_{0} \neq 0, x>0\right)
$$

for the equation $L(y)=x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+a(x) x y^{\prime}+b(x) y=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Where } \begin{aligned}
a(x) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k} x^{k} \text { and } b(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k} x^{k} \text { for }|x|<r_{0} . \text { Then } \\
\phi(x) & =x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k+r}, \\
\phi^{\prime}(x) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r) c_{k} x^{k+r-1}=x^{r-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r) c_{k} x^{k}, \\
\phi^{\prime \prime}(x) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r)(k+r-1) c_{k} x^{k+r-2}=x^{r-2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r)(k+r-1) c_{k} x^{k} \\
b(x) \phi(x) & =\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k} x^{k}\right)\left(x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\beta}_{k} x^{k} \text { where } \tilde{\beta}_{k}=\sum_{j=0}^{k} c_{j} \beta_{k-j} \\
x a(x) \phi^{\prime}(x) & =x\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k} x^{k}\right)\left(x^{r-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r) c_{k} x^{k}\right) \\
& =x^{r}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k} x^{k}\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r) c_{k} x^{k}\right) \\
& =x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\alpha}_{k} x^{k} \text { where } \tilde{\alpha}_{k}=\sum_{j=0}^{k}(j+r) c_{j} \alpha_{k-j} \\
x^{2} \phi^{\prime \prime}(x) & =x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r)(k+r-1) c_{k} x^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $L(\phi)(x)=x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r)(k+r-1) c_{k} x^{k}+x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\alpha}_{k} x^{k}+x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\beta}_{k} x^{k}$

$$
=x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[(k+r)(k+r-1) c_{k}+\tilde{\alpha}_{k}+\tilde{\beta}_{k}\right] x^{k}
$$

$L(\phi)=0$ implies $\left.[\quad]_{k}=\left[(k+r)(k+r-1) c_{k}+\tilde{\alpha}_{k}+\tilde{\beta}_{k}\right)\right]=0$

$$
k=0,1,2,3, \ldots
$$

Using the definitions of $\tilde{\alpha}_{k}, \tilde{\beta}_{k}$ we can write []$_{k}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\quad]_{k} } & =(k+r)(k+r-1) c_{k}+\sum_{j=0}^{k}(j+r) c_{j} \alpha_{k-j}+\sum_{j=0}^{k} c_{j} \beta_{k-j} \\
& =\left[(k+r)(k+r-1)+(k+r) \alpha_{0}+\beta_{0}\right] c_{k}+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left[(j+r) \alpha_{k-j}+\beta_{k-j}\right] c_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k=0$ we must have

$$
r(r-1)+r \alpha_{0}+\beta_{0}=0
$$

Since $c_{0} \neq 0$ the second degree polynomial $q$ given by

$$
q(r)=r(r-1)+r \alpha_{0}+\beta_{0}
$$

is called the indicial polynomial and the only admissible values of $r$ are the roots of $q$.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
{[\quad]_{k}} & =q(r+k) c_{k}+d_{k}=0 \quad(k=1,2,3, \ldots .) \ldots . .(3.2 .1) \\
\text { where } \quad & d_{k} & =\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left[(j+r) \alpha_{k-j}+\beta_{k-j}\right] c_{j} \quad(k=1,2,3, \ldots .) \ldots
\end{array}
$$

Note that $d_{k}$ is a linear combination of $c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots . . c_{k-1}$ with coefficients involving the known functions $a, b$ and $r$. Leaving $r$ and $c_{0}$ indeterminant for the moment we solve equations (3.2.1) and (3.2.2) successively in terms of $c_{0}$ and $r$. The solutions we denote by $C_{k}(r)$ and the corresponding $d_{k}$ by $D_{k}(\mathrm{r})$. Thus,

$$
D_{1}(r)=\left(r \alpha_{1}+\beta_{1}\right) c_{0}, \quad C_{1}(r)=-\frac{D_{1}(r)}{q(r+1)},
$$

and in general

$$
D_{k}(r)=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left[(j+r) \alpha_{k-j}+\beta_{k-j}\right] C_{j}(r), C_{k}(r)=-\frac{D_{k}(r)}{q(r+k)} \quad(k=1,2,3, \ldots)
$$

The $C_{k}$ thus, determined are rational functions of $r$, and the only points where they cease to exist are the points $r$ for which the denominator $q(r+k)=0$ for some $k=1,2,3, \ldots$. Only two such possible points exist.

Define $\Phi$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x, r)=c_{0} x^{r}+x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}(r) x^{k} \tag{3.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the series converges for $0<x<r_{0}$, then clearly

$$
L(\Phi)(x, r)=c_{0} q(r) x^{r},
$$

since $C_{k}(r)$ satisfies equation 3.2.1 for every $k=1,2,3 \ldots$.
Thus if the function $\phi=x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k} x^{k}$ is a solution of $L(y)=0$ then $r$ must be a root of the indicial polynomial

$$
q(r)=r(r-1)+r \alpha_{0}+\beta_{0}
$$

and $c_{k}(k \geq 1)$ are determined uniquely in terms of $r$ and $c_{0}$ given by equation (3.2.2), provided $q(r+k) \neq 0 \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots$ Conversely if $r$ is a root of $q$ and if $C_{k}(r)$ can be determined then the function $\phi$ given by equation (3.2.3) is a solution of $L(y)=0$ for any choice of $c_{0}$, provided the series in equation (3.2.3) is convergent.

Let $r_{1}, r_{2}$ be two roots of $q$ and suppose $\operatorname{Re} r_{1} \geq \operatorname{Re} r_{2}$. Then $q\left(r_{1}+k\right) \neq 0$ for all $k=1,2,3, \ldots$ Thus, $C_{k}\left(r_{1}\right)$ exists for all $k=1,2,3, \ldots$ and for $c_{0}=C_{0}(r)=1$ we get a solution.

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=\Phi\left(x, r_{1}\right)=x^{r_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}\left(r_{1}\right) x^{k} \quad\left(C_{0}(r)=1\right),
$$

is a solution of $L(y)=0$, provided the series converges.
If $r_{2}$ is a root of $q$ distinct from $r_{1}$ and $q\left(r_{2}+k\right) \neq 0$ for $k=1,2,3, \ldots$, then clearly $C_{k}\left(r_{2}\right)$ is defined for $k=1,2,3, \ldots$. and the function $\Phi_{2}$ defined by

$$
\Phi_{2}(x)=\Phi\left(x, r_{2}\right)=x^{r_{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}\left(r_{2}\right) x^{k} \quad\left(C_{0}\left(r_{2}\right)=1\right)
$$

is another solution of $L(y)=0$, provided the series is convergent. The condition $q\left(r_{2}+k\right) \neq 0$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$ is same as $r_{2}+k \neq r_{1}$ for any $k=1,2,3, \ldots$ or $r_{1}-r_{2} \neq k$ i.e. $r_{1}-r_{2}$ is not a positive integer and the result follows.

## Illustration :

Consider the equation

$$
L(y)=x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{3}{2} x y^{\prime}+x y=0
$$

As per theorem 3.2.1 we assume the solution $\phi$ of the equation $L(y)=0$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi(x)=x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k} \\
& \phi^{\prime}(x)=x^{r-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r) c_{k} x^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{\prime \prime}(x)= & x^{r-2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r)(k+r-1) c_{k} x^{k} \\
L(\phi)= & x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r)(k+r-1) c_{k} x^{k}+\frac{3}{2} x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r) c_{k} x^{k}+x^{r+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k} \\
= & {\left[r(r-1)+\frac{3}{2} r\right] c_{0} x^{r}+\left\{\left[(r+1)(r)+\frac{3}{2}(r+1)\right] c_{1}+c_{0}\right\} x^{r+1} } \\
& +\left\{\left[(r+2)(r+1)+\frac{3}{2}(r+2)\right] c_{2}+c_{1}\right\} x^{r+2}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
q(r)=r(r-1)+\frac{3}{2} r \text { is the indicial polynomial }
$$

$$
L(\phi)=q(r) c_{0} x^{r}+\left[q(r+1) c_{1}+c_{0}\right] x^{r+1}+\left[q(r+2) c_{2}+c_{1}\right] x^{r+2}+\ldots . .
$$

$$
=q(r) c_{0} x^{r}+x^{r} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[q(r+k) c_{k}+c_{k-1}\right] x^{k}
$$

$$
L(\phi)=0 \text { implies } q(r)=0 \text { and } q(r+k) c_{k}+c_{k-1}=0
$$

$$
q(r)=r(r-1)+\frac{3}{2} r=r\left(r+\frac{1}{2}\right)=0 \text { implies } r=0,-\frac{1}{2}
$$

$\left(\right.$ Re $\left.r_{1}>\operatorname{Re} r_{2}\right)$ Define $r_{1}=0, r_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}$

$$
q(r+k) c_{k}+c_{k-1}=0 \text { gives } c_{k}=-\frac{c_{k-1}}{q(r+k)},(k=1,2,3, \ldots)
$$

Thus, $\quad c_{k}=\left(-\frac{1}{q(r+k)}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{q(r+k-1)}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{q(r+k-2)}\right) \ldots\left(-\frac{1}{q(r+1)}\right) c_{0}$
In the above expression $c_{k-1}$ is written in terms of $c_{k-2}, c_{k-2}$ is expressed in terms of $c_{k-3}$ and so on.

$$
c_{k}=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{q(r+k) q(r+k-1) q(r+k-2) \ldots . . q(r+1)}, \quad k=1,2,3 \ldots \ldots
$$

Since $r_{1}=0, r_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}, r_{1}-r_{2}$ is non zero and is not an integer. Therefore we apply theorem 3.2.1. For $r=r_{1}=0, c_{0}=1$ we get

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} x^{k}}{q(k) q(k-1) q(k-2) \ldots . . q(1)}
$$

and for $c_{0}=1, r=r_{2}=-1 / 2$ we obtain another solution

$$
\phi_{2}(x)=x^{-\frac{1}{2}}+x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} x^{k}}{q\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right) q\left(k-\frac{3}{2}\right) q\left(k-\frac{5}{2}\right) \ldots \ldots q\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}
$$

These functions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ will be solutions provided the series converge on some interval containing 0 .

$$
\text { Let } \phi_{1}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{k}(x) .
$$

Using the ratio test we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{d_{k+1}(x)}{d_{k}(x)}\right|=\frac{|x|}{|q(k+1)|}=\frac{|x|}{(k+1)\left(k+\frac{3}{2}\right)} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \text { provided }|x|<\infty \text {. Thus }
$$

the series defining $\phi_{1}$ is convergent for all finite $x$. The same is true for $\phi_{2}$.
To obtain solutions for $x<0$, all the above calculations are valid if $x^{r}$ replaced by $|x|^{r}$, where $|x|^{r}=e^{r \log |x|}$

Thus two solutions which are valid for all $x \neq 0$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}(x)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} x^{k}}{q(k) q(k-1) q(k-2) \ldots . . q(1)} \text { and } \\
& \phi_{2}(x)=|x|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left[1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} x^{k}}{q\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right) q\left(k-\frac{3}{2}\right) \ldots q\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a positive square root of $|x|$.
Thus we have seen that if the roots of indicial polynomials are distinct and the difference between these two roots is not an integer then the solutions of $L(y)=0$ will be constructed by using power series method.

In the next theorem we prove that if the roots are identical or the difference between the roots is an integer still the power series solution exist.

## Theorem 3.2.2

Consider the equation

$$
L(y)=x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+a(x) x y^{\prime}+b(x) y=0
$$

where $a, b$ have power series expansions which are convergent for $|x|<r_{0}, r_{0}>0$. Let $r_{1}, r_{2}$ ( $\operatorname{Re} r_{1} \geq \operatorname{Re} r_{2}$ ) be the roots of the indicial polynomial

$$
q(r)=r(r-1)+a(0) r+b(0)
$$

If $r_{1}=r_{2}$ there are two linearly independent solutions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ for $0<|x|<r_{0}$ of the form

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=|x|^{r_{1}} \sigma_{1}(x), \phi_{2}(x)=|x|^{r_{1+1}} \sigma_{2}(x)+(\log |x|) \phi_{1}(x),
$$

where $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ have power series expansions which are convergent for $|x|<r_{0}$ and $\sigma_{1}(0) \neq 0$.
If $r_{1}-r_{2}$ is a positive integer there are two linearly independent solutions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ for $0<|x|<r_{0}$ of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{1}(x) & =|x|^{r_{1}} \sigma_{1}(x), \\
\phi_{2}(x) & =|x|^{r_{2}} \sigma_{2}(x)+c(\log |x|) \phi_{1}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ have power series expansions which are convergent for $|x|<r_{0}, \sigma_{1}(0) \neq 0$, $\sigma_{2}(0) \neq 0$, and $c$ is a constant. It may happen that $c=0$.

## Proof :

For $x>0$, suppose we have a solution $\phi$ of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(x) & =x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k} . \\
L(\phi)(x) & =x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[(k+r)(k+r-1) c_{k}+\tilde{\alpha}_{k}+\tilde{\beta}_{k}\right] x^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\alpha}_{k} & =\sum_{j=0}^{k}(j+r) c_{j} \alpha_{k-j} \text { and } \tilde{\beta_{k}}=\sum_{j=0}^{k} c_{j} \beta_{k-j} \\
a(x) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k} x^{k}, \quad b(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k} x^{k} . \\
L(\phi)(x) & =0 \text { implies } \\
{[\quad]_{k} } & =\left[(k+r)(k+r-1) c_{k}+\tilde{\alpha_{k}}+\tilde{\beta_{k}}\right]=0, \quad k=0,1,2,3, \ldots \\
& =\left[(k+r)(k+r-1) c_{k}+\sum_{j=0}^{k}(j+r) c_{j} \alpha_{k-j}+\sum_{j=0}^{k} c_{j} \beta_{k-j}\right] \\
= & {\left[(k+r)(k+r-1) c_{k}+(k+r) \alpha_{0}+\beta_{0}\right] c_{k} } \\
& \quad+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left[(j+r) \alpha_{k-j}+\beta_{k-j}\right] c_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $k=0$ we must have

$$
q(r)=r(r-1)+r \alpha_{0}+\beta_{0}=0
$$

Then
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
]_{k}
\end{array}=q(r+k) c_{k}+d_{k}=0\right.} \\
& d_{k}=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left[(j+r) \alpha_{k-j}+\beta_{k-j}\right] c_{j}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we are going to consider two cases according as the roots $r_{1}, r_{2}\left(\operatorname{Re} r_{1} \geq \operatorname{Re} r_{2}\right)$ of the indicial polynomial $q(r)$ satisfy.

Case (i) $\quad r_{1}=r_{2}$
Case (ii) $\quad r_{1}-r_{2}$ is a positive integer.
Since, $\operatorname{Re} r_{1} \geq \operatorname{Re} r_{2}, q\left(r_{1}+k\right) \neq 0$ for $k=1,2,3, \ldots$. and we can solve equation (3.2.4) and (3.2.5) for $c_{k}$ and $d_{k}$. Let the solutions of $c_{k}$ be denoted by $C_{k}(r)$ and solution for $d_{k}$ be denoted by $D_{k}(r)$. Then

$$
L(\Phi)(x, r)=c_{0} q(r) x^{r}
$$

where $\Phi$ is given by

$$
\Phi(x, r)=c_{0} x^{r}+x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}(r) x^{k}
$$

The $C_{k}(r)$ are determined recursively by the formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{0}(r) & =c_{0} \neq 0, \\
q(r+k) C_{k}(r) & =-D_{k}(r) \\
D_{k}(r) & =\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left[(j+r) \alpha_{k-j}+\beta_{k-j}\right] C_{j}(r), k=1,2,3, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

In case (i) i.e. $r_{1}=r_{2}, q\left(r_{1}\right)=0, q^{\prime}\left(r_{1}\right)=0$.
On differentiating equation (3.2.6) with respect to $r$
We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} L(\Phi)(x, r) & =L\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r}\right)(x, r) \\
& =C_{0}\left[q^{\prime}(r)+(\log x) q(r)\right] x^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we see that if $r=r_{1}=r_{2}$ and $C_{0}=1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r}\right)\left(x, r_{1}\right) & =c_{0}\left[q^{\prime}\left(r_{1}\right)+(\log x) q\left(r_{1}\right)\right] x^{r_{1}} \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $L\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r}\right)\left(x, r_{1}\right)=0,\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r}\right)\left(x, r_{1}\right)$ is a solution of $L(\phi)=0$. Thus the term by term differentiation of equation (3.2.3) gives the second solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{2}(x) & =x^{r_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}^{\prime}\left(r_{1}\right) x^{k}+(\log x) x^{r_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}\left(r_{1}\right) x^{k} \\
& =x^{r_{1}} \sum C_{k}^{\prime}\left(r_{1}\right) x^{k}+(\log x) \phi_{1}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\phi_{1}$ is the solution already obtained in (3.2.3)

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=x^{r_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}\left(r_{1}\right) x^{k}, \quad\left(C_{k}(0)=1\right)
$$

Case (ii) : Suppose $r_{1}=r_{2}+m$, where $m$ is a positive integer. If $C_{0}$ is given,

$$
C_{1}\left(r_{2}\right), C_{2}\left(r_{2}\right), \ldots . ., \quad C_{m-1}(r)
$$

all exist as finite numbers, but since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad q(r+m) C_{m}(r)=-D_{m}(r), \text { the coefficient of } C_{m}(r) \text { becomes zero at } r=r_{2} \text {. } \\
& q(r)=\left(r-r_{1}\right)\left(r-r_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(r+m) & =\left(r+m-r_{1}\right)\left(r+m-r_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(r+m-r_{2}-m\right)\left(r+m-r_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(r-r_{2}\right)\left(r+m-r_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $D_{m}(r)$ also has $\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)$ as a factor (i.e. $D_{m}\left(r_{2}\right)=0$ ), then it will get cancel from both the sides of equation $q(r+m) C_{m}(r)=-D_{m}(r)$ and would give $C_{m}\left(r_{2}\right)$ as a finite number. Then

$$
C_{m+1}\left(r_{2}\right), \quad C_{m+2}\left(r_{2}\right), \ldots .
$$

all exist. In this special situation we will have a solution $\phi_{2}$ of the form

$$
\phi_{2}(x)=x^{r_{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}\left(r_{2}\right) x^{k} \quad\left(C_{0}\left(r_{2}\right)=1\right)
$$

If we choose $C_{0}(r)=r-r_{2}$ then $D_{m}\left(r_{2}\right)=0$, as $D_{m}(r)$ is linear homogeneous in $C_{0}(r)$, $C_{1}(r) \ldots . \quad C_{m-1}(r)$ and hence $D_{m}(r)$ has $C_{0}(r)$ as a factor.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(x, r) & =x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}(r) x^{k} \quad\left(C_{0}(r)=\left(r-r_{2}\right)\right), \\
L(\psi)(x, r) & =\left(r-r_{2}\right) q(r) x^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $L(\psi)\left(x, r_{2}\right)=0$ and

$$
\psi(x)=\psi\left(x, r_{2}\right)
$$

is the second solution of $L(y)=0$
Since $C_{0}\left(r_{2}\right)=C_{1}\left(r_{2}\right)=\ldots . .=C_{m-1}\left(r_{2}\right)=0$, the series $\psi$ actually starts with the $m$-th power in $x$.

To get a solution associated with $r_{2}$ differentiate

$$
L(\psi)(x, r)=\left(r-r_{2}\right) q(r) x^{r}
$$

with respect to $r$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial r}[L(\psi)(x, r)] & =L\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}\right)(x, r) \\
& =q(r) x^{r}+\left(r-r_{2}\right)\left[q^{\prime}(x)+(\log x) q(r)\right] x^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

and
and

$$
L\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}\right)=0 \text { at } r=r_{2}
$$

$$
\phi_{2}(x)=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}\left(x, r_{2}\right)
$$

is a solution provided the series involved is convergent and

$$
\phi_{2}(x)=x^{r_{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}^{\prime}\left(r_{2}\right) x^{k}+(\log x) x^{r_{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}\left(r_{2}\right) x^{k}
$$

where $C_{0}(r)=\left(r-r_{2}\right)$ and

$$
C_{0}\left(r_{2}\right)=C_{1}\left(r_{2}\right)=C_{2}\left(r_{2}\right)=\ldots=C_{m-1}\left(r_{2}\right)=0
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{2}(x) & =x^{r_{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}^{\prime}\left(r_{2}\right) x^{k}+(\log x) x^{r_{2}} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} C_{k}\left(r_{2}\right) x^{k} \\
& =x^{r_{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}^{\prime}\left(r_{2}\right) x^{k}+(\log x) x^{r_{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} C_{k+m}\left(r_{2}\right) x^{k+m} \\
& =x^{r_{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}^{\prime}\left(r_{2}\right) x^{k}+(\log x) x^{r_{2}+k} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} C_{k+m}(r-m) x^{m} \\
& =x^{r_{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}^{\prime}\left(r_{2}\right) x^{k}+(\log x) x^{r_{1}} C \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} C_{m}\left(r_{1}\right) x^{m} \\
\phi_{2}(x) & =x^{r_{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}^{\prime}\left(r_{2}\right) x^{k}+(\log x) \cdot c \cdot \phi_{1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $c$ is constant.
For $x<0$, we replace $x^{r_{1}}, x^{r_{2}}, \log x$ everywhere by $|x|^{r_{1}},|x|^{r_{2}}, \log |x|$ respectively and the result follows.

The method used in the theorem 3.2.2 is called the Frobenius method. The solutions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are linearly independent. Thus, if the roots are equal or they differ by an integer then theorem 3.2.2 gives two linearly independent solutions of the differential equation

$$
L(y)=x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x a(x) y^{\prime}+b(x) y=0
$$

## EXAMPLES

## Q. 1. Find all solutions $\phi$ of the form

$$
\Phi(x)=|x|^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k} x^{k} \quad(|x|>0)
$$

## for the following equations.

(a) $3 x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+5 x y^{\prime}+3 x y=0$
(b) $2 x y^{\prime \prime}+(1+x) y^{\prime}-2 y=0$

Test each of the series involved for convergence
Answer (a) : For $x>0$ suppose we have a solution $\phi$ of the form

$$
\phi(x)=x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k}, \quad c_{0} \neq 0
$$

then

$$
\phi^{\prime}(x)=x^{r-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r) c_{k} x^{k} \text { and } \phi^{\prime \prime}(x)=x^{r-2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r)(k+r-1) c_{k} x^{k}
$$

Let $\quad L(y)=3 x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+5 x y^{\prime}+3 x y$ therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
L(\phi)(x)=x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}[3(k+r)(k+r-1)+5(k+r)] c_{k} x^{k}+x^{r} \cdot 3 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k+1} \\
=[3 r(r-1)+5 r] c_{0} x^{r}+\left\{[3(r+1) r+5(r+1)] c_{1}+3 c_{0}\right\} x^{r+1} \\
+\left\{[3(r+2)(r+1)+5(r+2)] c_{2}+3 c_{1}\right\} x^{r+2}+\ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\quad q(r)=3 r(r-1)+5 r=r(3 r+2)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(\phi)(x, r) & =q(r) c_{0} x^{r}+\left[q(r+1) c_{1}+3 c_{0}\right] x^{r+1}+\left[q(r+2) c_{2}+3 c_{1}\right] x^{r+2}+\ldots . \\
& =q(r) c_{0} x^{r}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[q(r+k) c_{k}+3 c_{k-1}\right] x^{r+k} \\
L(\phi)(x, r) & =0 \text { only if } q(r)=0 \text { and } \\
q(r+k) c_{k} & +3 c_{k-1}=0 \text { for } k=1,2,3, \ldots . .
\end{aligned}
$$

The indicial equation $q(r)=0$ implies $r(3 r+2)=0$ that is $r=0,-\frac{2}{3}$. Let $r_{1}=0, r_{2}=-\frac{2}{3}$. (By choice $r_{1}>r_{2}$ )

Since $\quad q(r)=r(3 r+2), q(r+k)=(r+k)(3(r+k)+2)=(r+k)(3 r+3 k+2)$

$$
q(r+k) c_{k}+3 c_{k-1}=0 \text { gives }
$$

$$
c_{k}=\frac{-3 c_{k-1}}{q(r+k)}, k=1,2,3 \ldots
$$

$$
=\frac{(-3)^{k} c_{0}}{q(r+k) q(r+k-1) q(r+k-2) \ldots . . q(r+1)}
$$

Case 1: $r_{1}=0$
For $c_{0}=1$ we obtain $c_{k}=\frac{(-3)^{k} c_{0}}{q(k) q(k-1) \ldots . . . q(1)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{(-3)^{k}}{k(3 k+2)(k-1)(3 k-1)(k-2)(3 k-4) \ldots . .1 \cdot 5} \\
& =\frac{(-3)^{k}}{k!5 \cdot 8 \cdot 11 \cdots \cdot(3 k-4)(3 k-1)(3 k+2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-3)^{k} x^{k}}{k!5 \cdot 8 \cdot 11 \cdots \cdot(3 k-4)(3 k-1)(3 k+2)}
$$

## Differential Equations

Case 2: $r_{2}=-\frac{2}{3}$
For $c_{0}=1$ we obtain $c_{k}=\frac{(-3)^{k} c_{0}}{q\left(k-\frac{2}{3}\right) q\left(k-\frac{5}{3}\right) q\left(k-\frac{7}{3}\right) \ldots . . q\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}$
Since $\quad q(\mathrm{r})=r(3 r+2)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{k} & =\frac{(-3)^{k} c_{0}}{\left(k-\frac{2}{3}\right)(3 k)\left(k-\frac{5}{3}\right)(3 k-3)\left(k-\frac{8}{3}\right)(3 k-6) \ldots \cdot \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot 3} \\
& =\frac{(-3)^{k} c_{0}}{k!1 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \cdots(3 k-8)(3 k-5)(3 k-2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\phi_{2}(x)=x^{-\frac{2}{3}}\left[1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-3)^{k} x^{k}}{k!1 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \cdots(3 k-8)(3 k-5)(3 k-2)}\right]
$$

To obtain solutions for $x<0$, we replace $x^{r}$ by $|x|^{r}$. Thus,
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}(x)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-3)^{k} x^{k}}{k!5 \cdot 8 \cdot 11 \cdots(3 k-4)(3 k-1)(3 k+2)} \\
& \phi_{2}(x)=|x|^{-\frac{2}{3}}\left[1+\sum \frac{(-3)^{k} x^{k}}{k!1 \cdot 4 \cdot 7 \cdots(3 k-8)(3 k-5)(3 k-2)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

These functions $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ will be solutions for $x \neq 0$, provided both the series converges on some interval containing $x=0$.

Let $\quad \phi_{1}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{k}(x)$
Using ratio test we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{d_{k+1}(x)}{d_{k}(x)}\right|=\left|\frac{(-3) x}{(k+1)(3 k+5)}\right|=\frac{3|x|}{(k+1)(3 k+5)} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$ provided $|x|<\infty$. Thus, series defining $\phi_{1}$ is convergent for all finite $x$.
Let $\quad \phi_{2}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k}(x)$
Using ratio test we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{d_{k+1}(x)}{d_{k}(x)}\right|=\left|\frac{-3 x}{3\left(k+\frac{1}{3}\right)(k+1)}\right|=\frac{3|x|}{(3 k+1)(k+1)} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$ provided $|x|<\infty$. Thus, series defining $\phi_{2}$ is convergent for all finite $x$.
Thus $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ are solutions of the given equation.
(b) Suppose for $x>0$ we have a solution $\phi$ of the form

$$
\phi(x)=x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k} x^{k}, \quad c_{0} \neq 0
$$

Let

$$
L(y)=2 x y^{\prime \prime}+(1+x) y^{\prime}-2 y=0 \text { then }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(\phi)(x, r)= & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2(k+r)(k+r-1) C_{k} x^{k+r-1}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r) C_{k} x^{k+r-1} \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r) C_{k} x^{k+r}-2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k} x^{k+r} \\
= & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}[2(k+r)(k+r-1)+(k+r)] C_{k} x^{k+r-1}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r-2) C_{k} x^{k+r} \\
= & {[2 r(r-1)+r] c_{0} x^{r-1}+\left\{[2(r+1)(r)+(r+1)] c_{1}+(r-2) c_{0}\right\} x^{r} } \\
& \quad+\left\{[2(r+2)(r+1)+(r+2)] c_{2}+(r-1) c_{1}\right\} x^{r+1}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

The indicial equation $q(r)=0$ implies $2 r^{2}-2 r+r=0, r(2 r-1)=0$ gives $r=0, \frac{1}{2}$ Let $r_{1}=\frac{1}{2}$ and $r_{2}=0$.
Observe that $r_{1} \neq r_{2}$ and $r_{1}-r_{2}$ is not a positive integer. $L(\phi)(x, r)=0$ if and only if $q(r)=0$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
q(r+k) C_{k}+(r+k-3) C_{k-1}=0 \text { or } \\
C_{k}=-\frac{(r+k-3) C_{k-1}}{q(r+k)} \text { for } k=1,2,3
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $q(r)=r(2 r-1)$ therefore $q(r+k)=(r+k)(2 r+2 k-1)$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{k}=+\left[-\frac{(r+k-3)}{q(r+k)}\right]\left[-\frac{(r+k-4)}{q(r+k-1)}\right]\left[-\frac{(r+k-5)}{q(r+k-2)}\right] \cdots \ldots \ldots .\left[-\frac{(r-2)}{q(r+1)}\right] C_{0} \\
=\frac{(-1)^{k}(r+k-3)(r+k-4)(r+k-5) \cdots(r-2) C_{0}}{(r+k)(2 r+2 k-1)(r+k-1)(2 r+2 k-3) \ldots . .(r+1)(2 r+1)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Case 1: $r_{1}=\frac{1}{2}, C_{0}=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{k} & =\frac{(-1)^{k}\left(k-\frac{5}{2}\right)\left(k-\frac{7}{2}\right)\left(k-\frac{9}{2}\right) \cdots\left(-\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)(2 k)\left(k-\frac{1}{2}\right)(2 k-2)\left(k-\frac{3}{2}\right)(2 k-4) \cdots \frac{3}{2}(2)} \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k}(2 k-5)(2 k-7)(2 k-9) \cdots 3(-1)(-3)}{2^{k} k!(2 k+1)(2 k-1)(2 k-3) \cdots \cdot(3)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Differential Equations

$$
=\frac{(-1)^{k}(3)}{2^{k} k!(2 k+1)(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}
$$

and

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=x^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}=\frac{3(-1)^{k} x^{k}}{2^{k} k!(2 k+1)(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}\right]
$$

Case 2: $r_{2}=0, C_{0}=1$

$$
C_{k}=\frac{(-1)^{k}(k-3)(k-4)(k-5) \cdots \cdot(-1)(-2)}{k(2 k-1)(k-1)(2 k-3) \cdots(1)}
$$

for $k=3, C_{3}=0$ therefore $C_{k}=0$ for $k=1,2,3, \ldots$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}=-\frac{(-2) C_{0}}{(1)}=2 \\
& C_{2}=-\frac{(-1) C_{1}}{2 \cdot 3}=\frac{2}{6}=\frac{1}{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{2}(x) & =c_{0}+c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2} \\
& =1+2 x+\frac{1}{3} x^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for $x \neq 0$ we get two solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}(x)=|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{3(-1)^{k} x^{k}}{2^{k} k!(2 k+1)(2 k-1)(2 k-3)}\right] \\
& \phi_{2}(x)=1+2 x+\frac{x^{2}}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Check that series in the first solution is convergent Let $\phi_{1}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{k}(x)$.
Using ratio test

$$
\left|\frac{d_{k+1}}{d_{k}}\right|=\left|\frac{x}{2(k+1)(2 k+3)}\right|=\frac{|x|}{2(k+1)(2 k+3)} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$ if $|x|<\infty$. The series convergent for finite $x$.
Q. 2. Obtain two linearly independent solutions of the following equations which are valid near $\boldsymbol{x}=\mathbf{0}$.
(a) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+3 x y^{\prime}+(1+x) y=0$
(b) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+2 x^{2} y^{\prime}-2 y=0$

Ans. :
(a): For $x>0$ suppose we have a solution $\phi$ of the form

$$
\phi(x)=x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k} x^{k} \quad, \quad C_{0} \neq 0
$$

Let

$$
L(y)=x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+3 x y^{\prime}+(1+x) y
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
L(\phi)(x, r)=x^{r} \sum(k+r)(k+r-1) C_{k} x^{k}+3 x^{r} \sum(k+r) C_{k} x^{k}+\sum C_{k} x^{k+r}+x^{r} \sum C_{k} x^{k+1} \\
\left.=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}[(k+r)(k+r-1)+3(k+r)+1)\right] C_{k} x^{k+r}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k+r+1}
\end{gathered}
$$

$L(\phi)(x, r)=0$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}[(k+r)(k+r-1)+3(k+r)+1] C_{k} x^{k+r}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k} x^{k+r+1}=0 \\
& {[r(r-1)+3 r+1] C_{0} x^{r}+\left\{[(r+1)(r)+3(r+1)+1] C_{1}+C_{0}\right\} x^{r+1}} \\
& \quad+\left\{[(r+2)(r+1)+3(r+2)+1] C_{2}+C_{1}\right\} x^{r+2}+\cdots=0 \\
& q(r)=r(r-1)+3 r+1=0 \text { is indicial equation. } \\
& q(r)=r^{2}-r+3 r+1=(r+1)^{2} \\
& L(\phi)(x)=q(r) C_{0} x^{r}+\left[q(r+1) C_{1}+C_{0}\right] x^{r+1}+\left[q(r+2) C_{2}+C_{1}\right] q^{r+2}+\cdots=0 \\
& \quad=q(r) C_{0} x^{r}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[q(r+k) C_{k}+C_{k-1}\right] x^{r+k}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$L(\phi)(x)=0$ if and only if $q(r)=0$ and

$$
q(r+k) C_{k}+C_{k-1}=0 \text { for } k=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

$q(r)=0$ implies $(r+1)^{2}=0$ that is $r=-1$ is a repeated root. Here $r=r_{1}=r_{2}=-1$.

$$
q(r+k) C_{k}+C_{k-1}=0 \text { for } k=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

Since, $\quad q(r)=(r+1)^{2}, q(r+k)=(r+k+1)^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{k} & =\frac{-C_{k-1}}{(r+k+1)^{2}} \\
& =\left(\frac{-1}{(r+k+1)^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{-1}{(r+k)^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{-1}{(r+k-1)^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{-1}{(r+k-2)^{2}}\right) \cdots\left(\frac{-1}{(r+2)^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k} C_{0}}{[(r+2)(r+3) \cdots(r+k-2)(r+k-1)(r+k)(r+k+1)]^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first solution will be constructed by substituting $C_{k}$ 's at $r=-1$ in the series. $C_{k}$ at $r=-1$ is

$$
C_{k}=\frac{(-1)^{k} C_{0}}{k!^{2}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{1}(x) & =x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k} x^{k} \\
& =x^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!^{2}} x^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The series converges for all finite $x$.
Since $r=-1$ is the root of multiplicity 2, i.e. $r=r_{1}=r_{2}=-1$, the second solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{2}(x) & =x^{r_{1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}^{\prime}\left(r_{1}\right) x^{k}+(\log x) \phi_{1}(x) \\
C_{k} & =\frac{(-1)^{k} C_{0}}{[(r+2)(r+3)(r+4) \cdots(r+k+1)]^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $D=(r+2)(r+3)(r+4) \ldots . .(r+k+1)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{k}^{\prime} & =(-1)^{k} C_{0} \frac{(-2)}{D^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{r+2}+\frac{1}{r+3}+\frac{1}{r+4}+\cdots+\frac{1}{r+k+1}\right] \\
C_{k}^{\prime}(-1) & =\frac{(-2)(-1)^{k}}{k!^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{1}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{k}\right] \\
\phi_{2}(x) & =x^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-2)(-1)^{k}}{k!^{2}}\left[1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{k}\right] x^{k}+(\log x) \phi_{1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

To obtain solution for $x<0$ we replace $x$ by $|x|$.
Thus, the two solutions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}(x)=|x|^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} x^{k}}{k!^{2}} \\
& \begin{aligned}
\phi_{2}(x)=|x|^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-2)(-1)^{k}}{k!^{2}} & {\left[1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{k}\right] x^{k} } \\
& +(\log |x|)|x|^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} x^{k}}{k!^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Check that series in both the solutions converge.
(b): For $x>0$ suppose we have a solution $\phi$ of the form

$$
\phi(x)=x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k} x^{k} \quad\left(C_{0} \neq 0\right)
$$

Let

$$
L(y)=x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+2 x^{2} y^{\prime}-2 y \text { then }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(\phi)(x, r) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[(k+r)(k+r-1) C_{k} x^{k+r}+2(k+r) C_{k} x^{k+r+1}-2 C_{k} x^{k+r}\right] \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}[(k+r)(k+r-1)-2] C_{k} x^{k+r}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2(k+r) C_{k} x^{k+r+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =[r(r-1)-2] C_{0} x^{r}+\left\{[(r+1)(r)-2] C_{1}+2 r C_{0}\right\} x^{r+1} \\
& \quad+\left\{[(r+2)(r+1)-2] C_{2}+2(r+1) C_{1}\right\} x^{r+2}+\cdots \\
& =q(r) C_{0} x^{r}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[q(r+k) C_{k}+2(r+k-1) C_{k-1}\right] x^{r+k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Indicial equation is $q(r)=r(r-1)-2=0$ gives $r^{2}-r-2=(r-2)(r+1)=0 r=-1,2$. $r_{1}=2, r_{2}=-1$ and $r_{1}-r_{2}=3$ a positive integer.

If $r_{1}-r_{2}$ is a positive integer, we try a series using the smallest root. If $c_{0}$ and $c_{3}$ both turn out to be arbitrary, we obtain the general solution by this method. Otherwise the general solution will involve a logarithm as it did in the case of equal roots. That logarithmic case is treated in theorem 3.2.2.

Let us consider the series solution as $\phi(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k-1} \quad\left(r_{2}-\right.$ smallest root $\left.=-1\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(\phi) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k-1)(k-2) c_{k} x^{k-1}+2 \sum(k-1) c_{k} x^{k}-2 \sum c_{k} x^{k-1} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}[(k-1)(k-2)-2] c_{k} x^{k-1}+2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k-1) c_{k} x^{k} \\
& =(2-2) c_{0} x^{-1}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{[(k-1)(k-2)-2] c_{k}+2(k-2) c_{k-1}\right\} x^{k-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\phi$ is a solution $L(\phi)=0$ i.e.
$0 . c_{0}=0$ i.e. $c_{0}$ is arbitary.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[(k-1)(k-2)-2] c_{k}+2(k-2) c_{k-1}=0 \quad k=1,2,3, \ldots} \\
& k=1 \quad-2 c_{1}-2 c_{0}=0 \text { i.e. } c_{1}=-c_{0} \\
& k=2 \quad-2 c_{2}=0 \quad \text { i.e. } c_{2}=0
\end{aligned} \quad(2-2) c_{3}+2 c_{2}=0 \text { i.e. } 0 \cdot c_{3}=0 \Rightarrow c_{3} \text { is arbitary. } \quad \begin{aligned}
& {[(k-1)(k-2)-2] c_{k}+2(k-2) c_{k-1}=0 \quad k=4,5,6, \ldots} \\
& \left(k^{2}-3 k\right) c_{k}+2(k-2) c_{k-1}=0 \\
& k(k-3) c_{k}+2(k-2) c_{k-1}=0 \\
& c_{k}=-\frac{2(k-2) c_{k-1}}{k(k-3)}, \quad k=4,5,6,7, \ldots . \\
& c_{k}=\left(\frac{-2(k-2)}{k(k-3)}\right)\left(\frac{-2(k-3)}{(k-1)(k-4)}\right)\left(\frac{-2(k-4)}{(k-2)(k-5)}\right) \cdots\left(\frac{-2(2)}{4 \cdot 1}\right) c_{3} \\
& =\frac{(-2)^{k-3}(k-2)(k-3)(k-4) \cdots(2)}{k(k-3)(k-1)(k-4)(k-2)(k-5) \cdots 4 \cdot 1} c_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{(-2)^{k-3}(k-2) 6}{k!} c_{3}
$$

Thus, we get a solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(x) & =c_{0} x^{-1}-c_{0} x^{0}+0 \cdot x^{1}+c_{3} x^{2}+\sum_{k=4}^{\infty} \frac{(-2)^{k-3}(k-2) 6}{k!} c_{3} x^{k-1} \\
& =c_{0}\left(x^{-1}-1\right)+c_{3}\left[x^{2}+\sum_{k=4}^{\infty} \frac{(-2)^{k-3}(k-2) 6}{k!} x^{k-1}\right] \\
& =c_{0} x^{-1}(1-x)+c_{3}\left[x^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-2)^{k}(k+1) 6}{(k+3)!} x^{k+2}\right] \\
& =c_{0} x^{-1}(1-x)+c_{3} x^{2}\left[1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-2)^{k}(k+1) 6}{(k+3)!} x^{k}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we get two solutions

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=x^{-1}(1-x) \text { and } \phi_{2}(x)=x^{2}\left[1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-2)^{k}(k+1) 6}{(k+3)!} x^{k}\right]
$$

These are two solutions for $x>0$ for $x<0$ replace $x$ by $|x|$ we get,

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=|x|^{-1}(1-x) \text { and } \phi_{2}(x)=|x|^{2}\left[1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-2)^{k}(k+1) 6}{(k+3)!} x^{k}\right]
$$

Check that series appearing in $\phi_{2}$ is convergent series.

## EXERCISE

1. Compute indicial polynomials and their roots for the following equations.
(a) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+\left(x+x^{2}\right) y^{\prime}-y=0$
(b) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+\left(x^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\right) y=0$
(c) $4 x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+\left(4 x^{4}-5 x\right) y^{\prime}+\left(x^{2}+2\right) y=0$
(d) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+\left(x-3 x^{2}\right) y^{\prime}+e^{x} y=0$

## 2. Find a solutions $\phi$ of the form

$$
\phi(x)=x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k} x^{k}, \quad(x>0)
$$

for the following equations.
(a) $2 x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+\left(x^{2}-x\right) y^{\prime}+y=0$
(b) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+\left(x-x^{2}\right) y^{\prime}+y=0$
3. For each equation obtain two linearly independent solutions valid near origin
(a) $2 x(x-1) y^{\prime \prime}+3(x-1) y^{\prime}-y=0$
(b) $2 x y^{\prime \prime}+5(1+2 x) y^{\prime}+5 y=0$
(c) $3 x y^{\prime \prime}+(2-x) y^{\prime}-2 y=0$
(d) $2 x y^{\prime \prime}+\left(1-2 x^{2}\right) y^{\prime}-4 x y=0$
4. Consider the following equation near $\boldsymbol{x}=0$
(a) $2 x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+\left(5 x+x^{2}\right) y^{\prime}+\left(x^{2}-2\right) y=0$
(b) $4 x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-4 x e^{x} y^{\prime}+3(\cos x) y=0$

Compute the roots $r_{1}, r_{2}$ of the indicial equation for each relative to $x=0$.
5. Obtain two linearly independent solutions of the following equations which are valid near $\boldsymbol{x}=\mathbf{0}$.
(a) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-2 x(x+1) y^{\prime}+2(x+1) y=0$
(b) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}-2 x^{2} y^{\prime}+(4 x-2) y=0$
(c) $x y^{\prime \prime}-(4+x) y^{\prime}+2 y=0$
(d) $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+2 x(x-2) y^{\prime}+2(2-3 x) y=0$.

## Answers :

1. (a) $q(r)=r^{2}-1 \quad ; \quad r_{1}=1, r_{2}=-1$
(b) $q(r)=r^{2}-\frac{1}{4} ; \quad r_{1}=\frac{1}{2}, r_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}$
(c) $\quad q(r)=r^{2}-\frac{9}{4} r+\frac{1}{2} \quad ; \quad r_{1}=2, r_{2}=\frac{1}{4}$
(d) $q(r)=r^{2}+1 \quad ; \quad r_{1}=i, r_{2}=-i$
2. (a) $y_{1}(x)=x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} x^{k}}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdots(2 k+1)}, \quad y_{2}(x)=x^{1 / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k} x^{k}}{2^{k} k!}=x^{1 / 2} e^{-\frac{x}{2}}$
(b) $y_{1}(x)=x^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{i(1+i) \cdots(k-1+i)}{(1+2 i)(2+2 i) \cdots(k+2 i) k!} x^{k} ; \quad y_{2}(x)=x^{-i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)(1-i) \cdots(k-1-i)}{k!(1+2 i)(2-2 i) \cdots(k-2 i)} x^{K}$
3. (a) $y_{1}=1-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{4 n^{2}-1} \quad ; \quad y_{2}=x^{-1 / 2}-x^{1 / 2}$
(b) $y_{1}=1+\sum \frac{3(-5) x^{n}}{n!(2 n+1)(2 n+3)} \quad ; \quad y_{2}=x^{-\frac{3}{2}}-10 x^{-1 / 2}$
(c) $y_{1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(3 n+4) x^{n+\frac{1}{3}}}{4 \cdot 3^{n} n!} ; \quad y_{2}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1) x^{n}}{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 8 \cdots(3 n-1)}$
(d) $y_{1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2 k+1}}{2^{k} k!} \quad ; \quad y_{2}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{k} x^{2 k}}{3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdots(4 k-1)}$
4. (a) $r_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \quad ; \quad r_{2}=-2$
(b) $r_{1}=\frac{3}{2} \quad ; \quad r_{2}=\frac{1}{2}$
5. (a) $y_{1}(x)=x \quad ; \quad y_{2}(x)=x\left(e^{2 x}-1\right)$
(b) $y_{1}(x)=x^{2} \quad ; \quad y_{2}(x)=x^{-1}\left[1+3 x+6 x^{2}-3 \sum_{k=4}^{\infty} \frac{2^{k} x^{k}}{(k-3) k!}\right]-4 x^{2} \log |x|$
(c) $y_{1}=1+\frac{1}{2} x+\frac{1}{12} x^{2} \quad ; \quad y_{2}=x^{5}+\sum_{n=6}^{\infty} \frac{60 x^{n}}{(n-5)!n(n-1)(n-2)}$
(d) $y_{1}=x-2 x^{2}+2 x^{3} \quad ; \quad y_{2}=x^{4}+\sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \frac{6(-2)^{n-3} x^{n+1}}{n!}$

## Unit 3: The Bessel equation

If $\alpha$ is a constant, $\operatorname{Re} \alpha \geq 0$ the Bessel equation of order $\alpha$ is the equation

$$
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+\left(x^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right) y=0
$$

This has the form

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{x} y^{\prime}+\frac{x^{2}-\alpha^{2}}{x^{2}} y=0
$$

where $p(x)=\frac{1}{x}$ and $q(x)=\frac{x^{2}-\alpha^{2}}{x^{2}}, x=0$ is a singular point. Since the denominator of $p(x)$ does not contain $x$ to a power higher than one and the denominator of $q(x)$ (i.e. $x^{2}$ ) does not contain the factor $x$ to a power higher then $2, x=0$ is a regular singular point. Therefore the power series solution $\phi$ will have the form

$$
\phi(x)=x^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k} x^{k}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(y)= & x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+\left(x^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right) y . \\
L(\phi)(x, r)= & \sum(k+r)(k+r-1) C_{k} x^{k+r}+\sum(k+r) C_{k} x^{k+r}+\left(x^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right) \sum C_{k} x^{k+r} \\
= & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[(k+r)(k+r-1)+(k+r)-\alpha^{2}\right] C_{k} x^{k+r}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k} x^{k+r+2} \\
= & {\left[r(r-1)+r-\alpha^{2}\right] C_{0} x^{r}+\left[(r+1) r+(r+1)-\alpha^{2}\right] C_{1} x^{r+1} } \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left\{\left[(k+r)^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right] C_{k}+C_{k-2}\right\} x^{k+r}
\end{aligned}
$$

The indicial equation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q(r)=r(r-1)+r-\alpha^{2}=0 \\
& q(r)=r^{2}-\alpha^{2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The indicial polynomial $q(r)$ has two roots $r_{1}=\alpha$ and $r_{2}=-\alpha$. We shall construct solutions for $x>0$. We consider three cases namely $\alpha=0,2 \alpha$ is not a positive integer and $2 \alpha$ is a positive integer.
Case 1: $\alpha=0$
Since the roots are both equal to zero by theorem 3.2.2, there are two solutions $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ of the form

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=\sigma_{1}(x) \text { and } \phi_{2}(x)=x \sigma_{2}(x)+(\log x) \phi_{1}(x),
$$

Where $\sigma_{1}(x), \sigma_{2}(x)$ have power series expansions which converge for all finite $x$. Since $\alpha=0$,

$$
L(y)=x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+x^{2} y
$$

Suppose $\sigma_{1}(x)=x^{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k} x^{k}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k} x^{k} \quad\left(C_{0} \neq 0\right)$ be a solution of $L(y)=0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(\sigma_{1}\right)(x) & =\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1) C_{k} x^{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k C_{k} x^{k}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k} x^{k+2} \\
& =\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1) C_{k} x^{k}+C_{1} x+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k C_{k} x^{k}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} C_{k-2} x^{k} \\
& =C_{1} x+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left\{[k(k-1)+k] C_{k}+C_{k-2}\right\} x^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sigma_{1}$ is a solution $L\left(\sigma_{1}\right)=0$ for all $x$. Therefore $C_{1}=0$ and $[k(k-1)+k] C_{k}+C_{k-2}=0$, $k=2,3,4$

Thus, $C_{1}=0$ and

$$
k^{2} C_{k}=-C_{k-2} \text { for } k=2,3,4, \ldots \ldots
$$

The recurrance relation becomes

$$
C_{k}=-\frac{C_{k-2}}{k^{2}}, \quad k=2,3,4, \ldots \ldots
$$

Since

$$
C_{1}=0, C_{3}=C_{5}=C_{7}=\ldots . .=C_{2 n+1}=\ldots .
$$

The choice $C_{0}=1$ implies

$$
C_{2}=-\frac{C_{0}}{2^{2}}=-\frac{1}{2^{2}}, C_{4}=-\frac{C_{2}}{4^{2}}=\left(-\frac{1}{4^{2}}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2^{2}}\right)=\frac{(-1)^{2}}{2^{2} \cdot 4^{2}}, \ldots \ldots .
$$

In general

$$
C_{2 m}=\frac{(-1)^{m}}{2^{2} \cdot 4^{2} \cdot 6^{2} \cdots(2 m)^{2}}=\frac{(-1)^{m}}{2^{2 m} m!^{2}}, \quad m=1,2,3, \ldots .
$$

Thus $\sigma_{1}$ contains only even powers of $x$ and we get

$$
\sigma_{1}(x)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} x^{2 m}}{2^{2 m} m!^{2}}
$$

The function defined by this series is called the Bessel function of zero order of the first kind and is denoted by $\mathrm{J}_{0}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
J_{0}(x) & =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} x^{2 m}}{2^{2 m} m!^{2}} \\
\text { Let } \quad J_{0}(x) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{k}(x)
\end{aligned}, l
\end{aligned}
$$

Using ratio test

$$
\left|\frac{d_{k+1}}{d_{k}}\right|=\left|\frac{x^{2}}{2^{2}(k+1)^{2}}\right|=\frac{x^{2}}{4(k+1)^{2}} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$ if $|x|<\infty$. Thus, the series converges for $|x|<\infty$ and $J_{0}(x)$ is the first solution of Bessel equation with $\alpha=0$.

Now we determine a second solution $\phi_{2}$ for the Bessel equation of order zero (i.e. $\alpha=0$ ).
Let $\phi_{1}(x)=J_{0}(x)$ then the solution $\phi_{2}$ has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{2}(x) & =x \sigma_{2}(x)+(\log x) \phi_{1}(x) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k}+(\log x) \phi_{1}(x), \quad\left(C_{0}=0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\phi_{2}$ is second solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(\phi_{2}\right)(x) & =x^{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}+x \phi_{2}^{\prime}+x^{2} \phi_{2}=0 \\
\phi_{2}^{\prime}(x) & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k c_{k} x^{k-1}+\frac{\phi_{1}(x)}{x}+(\log x) \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1) c_{k} x^{k-2}+\frac{2 \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)}{x}-\frac{\phi_{1}}{x^{2}}+(\log x) \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime} \\
& L\left(\phi_{2}\right)(x)=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1) c_{k} x^{k}+2 x \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)-\phi_{1}(x) \\
& \\
& \quad+x^{2}(\log x) \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k c_{k} x^{k}+\phi_{1}(x)+x(\log x) \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x) \\
& \quad+x^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k}+x^{2}(\log x) \phi_{1}(x) \\
& =\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1) c_{k} x^{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k c_{k} x^{k}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k+2}+2 x \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x) \\
& \quad+(\log x)\left(x^{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+x \phi_{1}^{\prime}+x^{2} \phi_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\left(\phi_{1}\right) & =x^{2} \phi_{1}^{\prime \prime}+x \phi_{1}^{\prime}+\phi_{1}=0 \\
L\left(\phi_{2}\right)(x) & =\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1) c_{k} x^{k}+c_{1} x+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k c_{k} x^{k}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_{k-2} x^{k}+2 x \phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $L\left(\phi_{2}\right)$ should be zero and $\phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)=J_{0}{ }^{\prime}(x)$,

$$
\phi_{1}^{\prime}(x)=J_{0}^{\prime}(x)=\sum \frac{(-1)^{m} 2 m x^{2 m-1}}{2^{2 m}(m!)^{2}}
$$

Thus $\quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1) c_{k} x^{k}+c_{1} x+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k c_{k} x^{k}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_{k-2} x^{k}=-2 \sum \frac{(-1)^{m} 2 m x^{2 m}}{2^{2 m}(m!)^{2}}$

$$
c_{1} x+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left\{[k(k-1)+k] c_{k}+c_{k-2}\right\} x^{k}=-2 \sum \frac{(-1)^{m} 2 m x^{2 m}}{2^{2 m}(m!)^{2}}
$$

Since the series on right has only even powers of $x$, all odd terms on the left hand side should be zero $c_{1}=c_{3}=c_{5}=c_{7}=\ldots .=c_{2 n+1}=\ldots . .=0$.

The relation for the other coefficients that is for $k$ even (let $k=2 \mathrm{~m}$ ) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[2 m(2 m-1)+2 m] c_{2 m}+c_{2 m-2}=-2 \frac{(-1)^{m} 2 m}{2^{2 m}(m!)^{2}}} \\
& {\left[4 m^{2}\right] c_{2 m}+c_{2 m-2}=\frac{(-1)^{m+1} m}{2^{2 m-2}(m!)^{2}}, m=1,2,3,4, \ldots \ldots} \\
& c_{2 m}=\frac{1}{(2 m)^{2}}\left[\frac{(-1)^{m+1} m}{2^{2 m-2}(m!)^{2}}-c_{2 m-2}\right], \quad m=1,2,3,4 \ldots \\
& c_{0}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{2}=\frac{1}{2^{2}}, c_{4}=\frac{1}{4^{2}}\left[-\frac{1}{2.2^{2}}-\frac{1}{2^{2}}\right]=-\frac{1}{2^{2} 4^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}+1\right) \\
& c_{6}=\frac{1}{6^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2^{2} 4^{2} 3}+\frac{1}{2^{2} 4^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}+1\right)\right]=+\frac{1}{2^{2} 4^{2} 6^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and it can be shown by induction that

$$
c_{2 m}=\frac{(-1)^{m}}{2^{2 m}(m!)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{m}+\frac{1}{m-1}+\frac{1}{m-2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2}+1\right), m=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

The solution thus determined is called a Bessel function of zero order of second kind, and is denoted by $K_{0}$. Hence,

$$
K_{0}(x)=-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{(m!)^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m}\right)\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m}+(\log x) J_{0}(x)
$$

Using the ratio test we can check that the series on the right is convergent for all finite values of $x$.

Now we compute solution for Bessel equation of order $\alpha$, where $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} \alpha \geq 0$.

$$
L(y)=x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+\left(x^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right) y=0
$$

Let $x>0$. The roots of indicial equation are

$$
r_{1}=\alpha, r_{2}=-\alpha
$$

Let us find out the solution corresponding to $r_{1}=\alpha$. A solution $\phi_{1}(x)$ has the form

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=x^{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k}, \quad\left(c_{0} \neq 0\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L\left(\phi_{1}\right)(x)= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+\alpha)(k+\alpha-1) c_{k} x^{k+\alpha}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+\alpha) c_{k} x^{k+\alpha} \\
&+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k+\alpha+2}-\alpha^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k+\alpha} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[(k+\alpha)(k+\alpha-1)+(k+\alpha)-\alpha^{2}\right] c_{k} x^{k+\alpha}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k+\alpha+2} \\
&=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[(k+\alpha)^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right] c_{k} x^{k+\alpha}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k+\alpha+2} \\
&=0 \cdot c_{0} x^{\alpha}+\left[(\alpha+1)^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right] c_{1} x^{\alpha+1}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left[(k+\alpha)^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right] c_{k} x^{k+\alpha} \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_{k-2} x^{k+\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=0 \cdot c_{0} x^{\alpha}+\left[(\alpha+1)^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right] c_{1} x^{\alpha+1}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left[\left(\left(k+\alpha^{2}\right)-\alpha^{2}\right) c_{k}+c_{k-2}\right] x^{k+\alpha}
$$

Thus, $L\left(\phi_{1}\right)(x)=0$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \cdot c_{0}=0, c_{0} \text { is arbitrary. } \\
& c_{1}=0, \\
& \quad\left[(\alpha+k)^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right] c_{k}+c_{k-2}=0 \quad k=2,3,4, \ldots \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
(\alpha+k)^{2}-\alpha^{2}=k(2 \alpha+k) \neq 0 \text { for } k=2,3, \ldots \ldots,
$$

$$
k(2 \alpha+k) c_{k}+c_{k-2}=0 \text { gives }
$$

$$
c_{k}=-\frac{c_{k-2}}{k(2 \alpha+k)}
$$

Since

$$
c_{1}=0, c_{2 k+1}=0 \text { for } k=0,1,2,3, \ldots . .
$$

that is all odd terms are zero.
We find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{2}=-\frac{c_{0}}{2(2 \alpha+2)}=\frac{-c_{0}}{2^{2}(\alpha+1)} \\
& c_{4}=-\frac{c_{2}}{4(2 \alpha+4)}=\frac{c_{0}}{2^{2}(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)} \\
& c_{6}=\frac{-c_{4}}{6(2 \alpha+6)}=\frac{-c_{4}}{12(\alpha+3)}=\frac{-c_{4}}{2 \cdot 3!(\alpha+3)}=\frac{-c_{0}}{2^{6} \cdot 3!(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)} \\
& c_{8}=\frac{-c_{6}}{8(2 \alpha+8)}=\frac{-c_{0}}{2^{8} 4!(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)(\alpha+4)}
\end{aligned}
$$

In general,

$$
c_{2 m}=\frac{(-1)^{m} c_{0}}{2^{2 m} m!(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3) \cdots(\alpha+m)} .
$$

Thus the solution $\phi_{1}$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{1}(x) & =x^{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k} \quad\left(c_{0} \neq 0\right) \\
& =c_{0} x^{\alpha}+x^{\alpha} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} x^{2 m}}{2^{2 m} m!(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) \cdots(\alpha+m)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\alpha=0$ and $c_{0}=1, \phi_{1}(x)$ becomes $J_{0}(x)$. Before going for the second solution let us define gamma function and study some properties of gamma function.

## Definition 3.3.1

The gamma function is defined by

$$
\Gamma(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{z-1} d x, \quad(\operatorname{Re} z>0)
$$

Lemma 1 : $\Gamma(z+1)=z \Gamma(z)$
Proof : $\quad \Gamma(z+1)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{z} d x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\operatorname{Lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{z} d x \\
& =\operatorname{Lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left.x^{z} \frac{e^{-x}}{-1}\right|_{x=0} ^{T}-\int_{0}^{T}-e^{-x} \cdot z e^{z-1} d x\right] \\
& =\operatorname{Lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} z \int_{0}^{T} e^{-x} x^{z-1} d x \\
& =z \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{z-1} d x \\
& =z \Gamma(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that $\left.\quad \operatorname{Lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} x^{z} e^{-x}\right|_{0} ^{T}=\underset{T \rightarrow \infty}{\operatorname{Lim}} T^{z} \cdot e^{-T}-0=0$
By definition 3.3.1

$$
\Gamma(1)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} d x=1
$$

Thus, if $z$ is a positive integer $n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(n+1) & =n \Gamma(n)=n(n-1)(n-2) \\
& =(n)(n-1)(n-2)(n-3) \cdots \Gamma(1) \\
& =n!
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, gamma function is an extension of the factorial function to numbers which are not integers.

Suppose $\operatorname{Re} z<0$ and $z$ is not a negative integer then there is a natural number N such that

$$
-\mathrm{N}<\operatorname{Re} z<-\mathrm{N}+1
$$

But then $\operatorname{Re}(z+\mathrm{N})>0$ and therefore we can define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(z+N) & =(z+N-1)(z+N-2) \cdots(z+1) z \Gamma(z) . \text { Then } \\
\Gamma(z) & =\frac{\Gamma(z+N)}{(z+N-1)(z+N-2)(z+N-3) \cdots(z+1) z}, \quad(\operatorname{Re} z<0)
\end{aligned}
$$

The gamma function is not defined at $0,-1,-2,-3, \ldots \ldots$.
We have a solution $\phi_{1}(x)$ as

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=c_{0} x^{\alpha}+c_{0} x^{\alpha} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} x^{2 m}}{2^{2 m} m!(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) \cdots(\alpha+m)}
$$

Now choose $c_{0}=\frac{1}{2^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha+1)}$. we obtain a solution of the Bessel equation of order $\alpha$ which is denoted by $J_{\alpha}$ and is called the Bessel function of order $\alpha$ of the first kind.

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\alpha}(x) & =\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!(\alpha+m)(\alpha+m-1) \cdots(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\alpha+1)}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m} \\
& =\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!\Gamma(\alpha+m+1)}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m},(\operatorname{Re} z>0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that this formula for $J_{\alpha}$ reduces to $J_{0}$ when $\alpha=0$ as $\Gamma(m+1)=m!. J_{\alpha}(x)$ is one solution of Bessel equation with $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} \alpha \geq 0$.

To determine second solution we have to consider two situations. Either $2 \alpha$ is not a positive integer or $2 \alpha$ is a positive integer. We determine second solution for both the situations.

Case 2: $2 \alpha$ is not a positive integer
If $2 \alpha$ is not a positive integer there is another solution $\phi_{2}(x)$ corresponding to the root $r_{2}=-\alpha$ of the form

$$
\phi_{2}(x)=x^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k} .
$$

On repeating the same calculations we have carried out for the root $\alpha$, (replace $\alpha$ by $-\alpha$ everywhere)

We get the second solution

$$
J_{-\alpha}(x)=\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!\Gamma(m-\alpha+1)}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m} .
$$

Observe that $\Gamma(m-\alpha+1)$ exists for all $m=0,1,2,3, \ldots \ldots$ since $\alpha$ is not a positive integer.
Case 3: $2 \alpha$ is a positive integer
(a) $\alpha$ is not a positive integer .

If $\alpha$ is not a positive integer $\Gamma(m-\alpha+1)$ exists and the function $J_{-\alpha}(x)$ is the second solution of the Bessel equation.
(b) $\alpha$ is a positive integer.

Suppose $\alpha=n$. According to theorem 3.2.2 there is a solution $\phi_{2}$ of the form

$$
\phi_{2}(x)=x^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k}+c(\log x) J_{n}(x) .
$$

$$
L\left(\phi_{2}\right)(x)=x^{2} \phi_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x)+x \phi_{2}^{\prime}(x)+\left(x^{2}-n^{2}\right) \phi_{2}(x)=0 \text { implies }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{2}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k-n)(k-n-1) c_{k} x^{k-n-2}+\frac{2 c}{x} J_{n}^{\prime}-\frac{c}{x^{2}} J_{n}+(c \log x) J_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
& +x\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k-n) c_{k} x^{k-n-1}+\frac{c}{x} J_{n}+(c \log x) J_{n}^{\prime}\right] \\
& +\left(x^{2}-n^{2}\right)\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k-n}+(c \log x) J_{n}(x)\right]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $J_{n}(x)$ is the first solution corresponding to $\alpha=n, x^{2} J_{n}^{\prime \prime}+x J_{n}^{\prime}+\left(x^{2}-n^{2}\right) J_{n}(x)=0$, and above equation gets reduce to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k-n)(k-n-1) c_{k} x^{k-n}+2 c x J_{n}^{\prime}-c J_{n}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k-n) c_{k} x^{k-n}+c J_{n} \\
+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k-n+2}-n^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k-n}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore
or

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[(k-n)(k-n-1)+(k-n)-n^{2}\right] c_{k} x^{k-n}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k-n+2}+2 c x J_{n}^{\prime}(x)=0 .
$$

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[(k-n)^{2}-n^{2}\right] c_{k} x^{k-n}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k-n+2}+2 c x J_{n}^{\prime}(x)=0
$$

that is

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \cdot c_{0} x^{-n}+\left[(1-n)^{2}-n^{2}\right] c x^{1-n}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left[(k-n)^{2}-n^{2}\right] c_{k} x^{k-n}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k-n+2} \\
+2 c x J_{n}^{\prime}(x)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k-n+2}=c_{0} x^{-n+2}+c_{1} x^{1-n+2}+c_{2} x^{2-n+2}+c_{3} x^{3-n+2}+\cdots$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_{k-2} x^{k-n}, \text { we get } \\
0 \cdot c_{0} x^{-n}+\left[(1-n)^{2}-n^{2}\right] c_{1} x^{1-n}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left\{\left[(k-n)^{2}-n^{2}\right] c_{k}+c_{k-2}\right\} x^{k-n}+c x J_{n}^{\prime}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

On multiplying by $x^{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \cdot c_{0}+(1-2 n) c_{1} x+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left[k(k-2 n) c_{k}+c_{k-2}\right] x^{k}=-2 c x J_{n}^{\prime}(x) \cdot x^{n} \tag{3.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the first solution is $J_{\alpha}(x)$ with $\alpha=n$ and for $\alpha=n, \Gamma(m+\alpha+1)=\Gamma(m+n+1)$ $=(m+n)!$ we have

$$
J_{n}(x)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!(m+n)!}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m+n}
$$

Therefore

$$
J_{n}^{\prime}(x)=\sum \frac{(2 m+n)(-1)^{m}}{m!(m+n)!}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m+n-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

Thus equation (3.3.1) becomes

$$
0 \cdot c_{0}+(1-2 n) c_{1} x+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left[k(k-2 n) c_{n}+c_{k-2}\right] x^{k}=-c \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2 m+n)(-1)^{m} x^{2 m+2 n}}{m!(m+n)!2^{2 m+n-1}}
$$

The series on the right side begin with $x^{2 n}$ and since $n$ is positive integer, the right side do not contain any odd terms. Therefore $c_{1}=0 c_{2 k+1}=0$ for $k=1,2,3, \ldots$ and if $n>1$ then

$$
k(k-2 n) c_{k}+c_{k-2}=0, \text { for } k=2,3,4, \ldots, 2 n-1
$$

Since $\quad c_{1}=0, c_{3}=c_{5}=c_{7}=\ldots .=c_{2 n-1}=0$.
Whereas $\quad c_{k}=\frac{+c_{k-2}}{k(2 n-k)}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{2}=\frac{c_{0}}{2^{2}(n-1)}, \quad c_{4}=\frac{c_{2}}{4 \cdot 2(n-2)}=\frac{c_{0}}{2^{4} \cdot 2 \cdot(n-2)(n-1)} \\
& c_{6}=\frac{c_{4}}{6 \cdot 2(n-3)}=\frac{c_{0}}{3!2^{6}(n-3)(n-2)(n-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

in general

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2 j}=\frac{c_{0}}{2^{2 j} j!(n-1)(n-2)(n-3) \cdots(n-j)}, j=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots, n-1 \tag{3.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular

$$
c_{2 n-2}=\frac{c_{0}}{2^{2 n-2}(n-1)!(n-1)!}
$$

On comparing the coefficients of $x^{2 n}$ in equation (3.3.2) we get

$$
c_{2 n-2}=-c \frac{n}{n!2^{n-1}}=-\frac{c}{(n-1)!2^{n-1}}
$$

Thus

$$
c_{2 n-2}=\frac{c_{0}}{2^{2 n-2}(n-1)!^{2}}=-\frac{c}{2^{n-1}(n-1)!}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=-\frac{c_{0}}{2^{n-1}(n-1)!} . \tag{3.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $c_{2 n-2}$ is used to find $c, c_{2 n}$ remains undetermined, but the remaining coefficients $c_{2 n+2}, c_{2 n+4}, c_{2 n+6}, \ldots \ldots$. can be obtained by comparing the coefficients of $x^{2(n+j)}$ in equation (3.3.2).

$$
(2 n+2 j)(2 n+2 j-2 n) c_{2 n+2 j}+c_{2 n+2 j-2}=-c \frac{(-1)^{j}(2 j+n)}{j!(n+j)!} \frac{1}{2^{2 j+n-1}} \quad j=1,2,3 \ldots \ldots
$$

$$
4 j(n+j) c_{2 n+2 j}+c_{2 n+2 j-2}=-c \frac{(-1)^{j}(2 j+n)}{j!(n+j)!} \frac{1}{2^{2 j+n-1}} \quad j=1,2,3 \ldots .
$$

for $j=1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4(n+1) c_{2 n+2}+c_{2 n}=-c \frac{(-1)(n+2)}{(n+1)!2^{n+1}} \\
& \quad c_{2 n+2}=\frac{c}{4 \cdot 2^{n+1} \cdot(n+1)!}\left(1+\frac{1}{n+1}\right)-\frac{c_{2 n}}{4(n+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose $\frac{c_{2 n}}{4(n+1)}=\frac{-c}{4 \cdot 2^{n+1} \cdot(n+1)!}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{4}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n}\right)$
i.e.

$$
c_{2 n}=\frac{-c}{2^{n+1} n!}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{4}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n}\right)
$$

Then $\quad c_{2 n+2}=\frac{+c}{4 \cdot 2^{n+1}(n+1)!}\left(1+1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{4}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{n+1}\right)$
for $j=2$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \cdot 2(n+2) c_{2 n+4}=-\frac{c(-1)^{2}(n+4)}{2!(n+2)!2^{n+3}}-c_{2 n+2} \\
& \begin{aligned}
& c_{2 n+4}=\frac{-c}{4 \cdot 2 \cdot 2!(n+2)!2^{n+3}}\left(1+\frac{2}{n+2}\right)-\frac{1}{4 \cdot 2(n+2)} \frac{c}{4 \cdot 2^{n+1}(n+1)!}\left(1+1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n+1}\right) \\
&=\frac{-c}{4^{2}(n+2)!2^{n+2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{n+2}+1+1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{n+1}\right) \\
&=\frac{-c}{4^{2}(n+2)!2^{n+2}}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right] \\
&=\frac{-(-1)^{2} c}{2 \cdot 2!(n+2)!2^{n+4}}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

It can be shown by induction that

$$
c_{2 n+2 m}=\frac{-(-1)^{m} c}{2 \cdot m!(n+m)!2^{n+2 m}}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m}\right)+\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n+m}\right)\right]
$$

$$
m=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

Finally we get a solution $\phi_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{2}(x) & =x^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k}+(c \log x) J_{n}(x) \\
& =x^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{2 n-1} c_{k} x^{k}+x^{-n} c_{2 n-1} x^{2 n-1}+x^{-n} c_{2 n} x^{2 n}+x^{-n} \sum_{k=2 n-1}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{k}+(c \log x) J_{n}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since all odd terms $c_{2 k+1}=0, k=1,2,3, \ldots \ldots$, we get,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi_{2}(x)=x^{-n} c_{0}+x^{-n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{x^{2 j} c_{0}}{2^{2 j} j!(n-1)(n-2) \cdots(n-j)}-\frac{c}{2^{n+1} n!}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n}\right) x^{n} \\
-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} c x^{2 m+n}}{2 \cdot m!(n+m)!2^{n+2 m}}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m}\right)+\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n+m}\right)\right] \\
+(c \log x) J_{n}(x) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Where $c_{0}$ and $c$ are constants related by equation (3.3.4) when $c=1$, the resulting solution $\phi_{2}$ is often denoted by $K_{n}$. If $c=1$ then $c_{0}=-2^{n-1}(n-1)!$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{2}(x)=-x^{-n} 2^{n-1}(n-1)!+x^{-n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1) 2^{n-1}(n-1)!x^{2 j}}{2^{2 j} j!(n-1)(n-2) \cdots(n-j)} \\
&-\frac{x^{n}}{2^{n+1} n!}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n}\right)-\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{2 \cdot m!(n+m)!}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{n+2 m} \\
&= {\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m}\right)+\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n+m}\right)\right]+(c \log x) J_{n}(x) } \\
&-\frac{1}{2}(n-1)!+\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-n} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{-(n-j-1)!}{j!}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 j} \\
&-\frac{x^{n}}{2^{n+1} n!\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n}\right)-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{2 \cdot m!(n+m)!}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{n+2 m}} \\
& \quad\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m}\right)+\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n+m}\right)\right]+(c \log x) J_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The function $\phi_{2}$ when $c=1$ is denoted by $K_{n}$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{n}(x)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-j-1)!}{j!}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 j}-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \\
&-\frac{1}{n!}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n}\right)\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{n} \\
&)^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!(n+m)!}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m}\right)+\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m+n}\right)\right]  \tag{3.3.5}\\
&+(\log x) J_{n}(x) .
\end{align*}
$$

The function $K_{n}$ is called a Bessel function of order $n$ of second kind.
In this section we have derived all kinds of Bessel functions. We list all these functions here.
(1) Bessel function of zero order of the first kind denoted by $J_{0}(x)$ and defined by

$$
J_{0}(x)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} x^{2 m}}{2^{2 m} m!^{2}}
$$

(2) Bessel function of zero order of second kind denoted by $K_{0}(x)$ is

$$
K_{0}(x)=-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m}\right)\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m}+(\log x) J_{0}(x)
$$

## Differential Equations

(3) Bessel function of order $\alpha$ of first kind denoted by $J_{\alpha}(x)$ is defined by

$$
J_{\alpha}(x)=\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!\Gamma(\alpha+m+1)}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m},(\operatorname{Re} \alpha>0)
$$

(4) Bessel function of order $n$ of second kind is defined by equation (3.3.5)
$J_{0}(x)$ is a solution of Bessel equation with $\alpha=0 K_{0}(x)$ is a second solution of Bessel equation with $\alpha=0$ obtained according to theorem 3.2.2 where the roots of indicial equation $r_{1}=r_{2}=\alpha=0 . J_{\alpha}(x)$ is the first solution of Bessel equation where $2 \alpha$ is not a positive integer and $K_{n}(x)$ is the second solution of Bessel equation where $\alpha=n$ a positive integer.

Depending upon the situation choose $\alpha$ and then find the required Bessel function.

## EXAMPLES

Ex. 1. Suppose $\phi$ is any solution of $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+x^{2} y=0$ for $\boldsymbol{x}>0$ and let $\psi(x)=x^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi(x)$. show that $\psi$ satisfies the equation $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+\left(x^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right) y=0$ for $x>0$.

Ans. : Since $\phi$ is a solution, $x^{2} \phi^{\prime \prime}+x \phi^{\prime}+x^{2} \phi=0$
Let $\quad \psi(x)=x^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi(x)$ then $\psi^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{2} x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi+x^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi^{\prime}$
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{\prime \prime}(x) & =-\frac{1}{4} x^{-\frac{3}{2}} \phi+x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi^{\prime}+x^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi^{\prime \prime} \\
x^{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}(x) & =-\frac{1}{4} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi+x^{\frac{3}{2}} \phi^{\prime}+x^{\frac{5}{2}} \phi^{\prime \prime} \\
& =-\frac{1}{4} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi+x^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(x \phi^{\prime}+x^{2} \phi^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{4} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi+x^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(-x^{2} \phi\right) \quad\left(\text { Since } x^{2} \phi^{\prime \prime}+x \phi^{\prime}+x^{2} \phi=0\right) \\
& =-\left(\frac{1}{4}+x^{2}\right) x^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi=-\left(\frac{1}{4}+x^{2}\right) \psi(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\quad x^{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)+\left(x^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right) \psi(x)=0$
and $\psi$ satisfies the equation $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+\left(x^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right) y=0$ for $x>0$.
Ex. 2. Let $\phi$ be a real valued non-trivial solution of $y^{\prime \prime}+\alpha(x) y=0$ on $a<x<\mathrm{b}$

## Let $\psi$ be a real valued non-trivial solution of $y^{\prime \prime}+\beta(x) y=0$ on $a<x<\mathrm{b}$

## Here $\alpha, \beta$ are real valued continuous functions.

## Suppose that $\beta(x)>\alpha(x),(a<x<b)$ Show that if $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are successive zeros of $\phi$ on

 $\boldsymbol{a}<\boldsymbol{x}<\mathrm{b}$, then $\psi$ must vanish at sone point $r_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}<r_{1}<\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{2}}$.Ans. : Suppose $\psi(x) \neq 0$ for $x_{1}<x<x_{2}$ then either $\psi(x)>0 \quad \forall x \in\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ or $\psi(x)<0$ $\forall x \in\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ suppose $\psi(x)>0$ for $x_{1}<x<x_{2}$.

Since $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are successive zeros either $\phi(x)>0$ on $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ or $\phi(x)<0$ on $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ suppose $\phi(x)>0$ on $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\psi \phi^{\prime}-\phi \psi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} & =\psi \phi^{\prime \prime}-\phi \psi^{\prime \prime}=-\alpha(x) \psi \phi+\beta \psi \phi \\
& =(\beta-\alpha) \phi \psi
\end{aligned}
$$

[Since $\phi$ is solution of $y^{\prime \prime}+\alpha y=0, \phi^{\prime \prime}+\alpha(x) \phi=0$ similarly $\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)+\beta(x) \psi=0$ ]
Thus, $\left(\psi \phi^{\prime}-\phi \psi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=(\beta-\alpha) \phi \psi>0(\beta>\alpha, \phi, \psi>0)$ Integration of above inequality between $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ gives

$$
\left[\psi\left(x_{2}\right) \phi^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)-\phi\left(x_{2}\right) \psi^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)\right]-\left[\psi\left(x_{1}\right) \phi^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)-\phi\left(x_{1}\right) \psi^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)\right]>0
$$

But $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are zeros of $\phi$ therefore $\phi\left(x_{1}\right)=\phi\left(x_{2}\right)=0$ and above inequality becomes

$$
\psi\left(x_{2}\right) \phi^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)-\psi\left(x_{1}\right) \phi^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)>0 .
$$

Since $\phi(x)>0$ for $x_{1}<x<x_{2}$ and $\phi\left(x_{1}\right)=0, \phi\left(x_{1}-h\right)<0$ for $h>0$. Therefore

$$
\phi^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Lim}_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi\left(x_{1}\right)-\phi\left(x_{1}-h\right)}{h}>0
$$

Similarly $\phi^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)<0$
Let $\phi^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)=-L_{1}$ and $\phi^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=L_{2}$ then $L_{1}, L_{2}>0,-\psi\left(x_{2}\right) L_{1}-\psi\left(x_{1}\right) L_{2}>0$
i.e.

$$
L_{1} \psi\left(x_{2}\right)+L_{2} \psi\left(x_{1}\right)<0
$$

But $\psi(x)>0$ for $x_{1}<x<x_{2}$ and $L_{1}, L_{2}>0$.
This is a contradiction to our assumption that $\psi(x)>0$ for $x_{1}<x<x_{2}$. Therefore $\psi$ takes both positive and negative values in the interval $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and hence $\exists$ there exists $r_{1} \in\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ such that $\psi\left(r_{1}\right)=0$.

## Ex. 3. Show that $J_{0}$ has an infinity of positive zeros.

Ans. : $J_{0}(x)$ is a solution of differential equation $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+x^{2} y=0$
If $\psi(x)=x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{0}(x)$ then by example $1, \psi$ satisfies

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+\left[1+\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}\right] y=0, \quad(x>0)
$$

The function satisfies $f(x)=\sin x$ satisfies $y^{\prime \prime}+y=0$
Since $1+\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}>1$ and $\sin x=0$ has infinitely many zeroes $x=n \pi, n=0,1,2,3, \ldots$.

## Differential Equations

By above example $\left[\beta(x)=1+\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}\right.$ and $\left.\alpha(x)=1\right]$
$\psi(x)=x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{0}(x)$ has a zero between $n \pi$ and $(n+1) \pi$ for $n=0,1,2, \ldots .$. Thus, $J_{0}(x)$ has infinite number of positive zeros.
Ex. 4. (a) If $\lambda>0$ and $\phi_{\lambda}(x)=x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{0}(\lambda x)$ shows that $\phi_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{4 x^{2}} \phi_{\lambda}=-\lambda^{2} \phi_{\lambda}$.
(b) If $\lambda, \mu$ are positive constants, show that

$$
\left(\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \phi_{\lambda}(x) \phi_{\mu}(x) d x=\phi_{\lambda}(1) \phi_{\mu}^{\prime}(1)-\phi_{\mu}(1) \phi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(1)
$$

(c) If $\lambda \neq \mu$ and $J_{0}(\lambda)=0, J_{0}(\mu)=0$, show that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{\lambda}(x) \phi_{\mu}(x) d x=\int_{0}^{1} x J_{0}(\lambda x) J_{0}(\mu x) d x=0
$$

Ans. (a) : $J_{0}(x)$ is solution of $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+x^{2} y=0$ therefore $J_{0}(\lambda x)$ is solution of $\lambda^{2} x^{2} \ddot{y}+\lambda x \dot{y}+\lambda^{2} x^{2} y=0$ where ' $\cdot$ ' represents differentiation with respect to $\lambda x$.

$$
\text { If } \begin{aligned}
\phi_{\lambda}(x) & =x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{0}(\lambda x) \text { then } \phi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{2} x^{-\frac{1}{2}} J_{0}(\lambda x)+x^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda \cdot J_{0}{ }^{\prime}(\lambda x) \\
\phi_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(x) & =-\frac{1}{4} x^{-\frac{3}{2}} J_{0}(\lambda x)+x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda J_{0}^{\prime}(\lambda x)+x^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{2} J_{0}^{\prime \prime}(\lambda x) \\
x^{2} \phi_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(x) & =-\frac{1}{4} x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{0}(\lambda x)+x^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\lambda^{2} x^{2} J_{0}^{\prime \prime}(\lambda x)+\lambda x J_{0}^{\prime \prime}(\lambda x)\right] \\
& =-\frac{1}{4} x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{0}(\lambda x)+x^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[-\lambda^{2} x^{2} J_{0}(\lambda x)\right] \\
& =-x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{0}(\lambda x)\left[\frac{1}{4}+\lambda^{2} x^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\quad x^{2} \phi_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime \prime}(x)+\left(\frac{1}{4}+\lambda^{2} x^{2}\right) \phi_{\lambda}(x)=0$.

$$
\phi_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime \prime}(x)+\frac{1}{4 x^{2}} \phi_{\lambda}(x)=-\lambda^{2} \phi_{\lambda}
$$

Ans. (b) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\mu}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\frac{1}{4 x^{2}} \phi_{\mu}(x) & =-\mu^{2} \phi_{\mu} \\
\phi_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\frac{1}{4 x^{2}} \phi_{\lambda}(x) & =-\lambda^{2} \phi_{\lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiply first equation by $\phi_{\lambda}$ and second equation by $\phi_{\mu}$ and subtract these equations.

$$
\phi_{\mu}^{\prime \prime} \phi_{\lambda}-\phi_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime} \phi_{\mu}=-\mu^{2} \phi_{\mu} \phi_{\lambda}+\lambda^{2} \phi_{\mu} \phi_{\lambda}=\left(\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) \phi_{\lambda} \phi_{\mu} .
$$

Thus, $\quad\left(\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) \phi_{\lambda} \phi_{\mu}=\left(\phi_{\mu}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{\lambda}-\phi_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime} \phi_{\mu}\right)^{\prime}$
Integrate above equation between 0 to 1 . Since

$$
\phi_{\lambda}(x)=x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{0}(\lambda x), \phi_{\lambda}(0)=0 \text { and } \phi_{\mu}(x)=x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{0}(\mu x), \phi_{\mu}(0)=0 .
$$

Therefore

$$
\left(\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \phi_{\lambda}(x) \phi_{\mu}(x) d x=\phi_{\mu}^{\prime}(1) \phi_{\lambda}(1)-\phi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(1) \phi_{\mu}(1)
$$

Ans. (c) : $\quad\left(\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \phi_{\lambda}(x) \phi_{\mu}(x) d x=\phi_{\mu}{ }^{\prime}(1) \phi_{\lambda}(1)-\phi_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}(1) \phi_{\mu}(1)$
Since, $\quad J_{0}(\lambda)=0, \phi_{\lambda}(1)=0$ and $J_{0}(\mu)=0 \Rightarrow \phi_{\mu}(1)=0$.
$\therefore \quad\left(\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \phi_{\lambda}(x) \phi_{\mu}(x) d x=0$
i.e. $\quad \int_{0}^{1} \phi_{\lambda}(x) \phi_{\mu}(x) d x=\int_{0}^{1} x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{0}(\lambda x) x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{0}(\mu x) d x=0$
i.e. $\quad \int_{0}^{1} x J_{0}(\lambda x) J_{0}(\mu x) d x=0$.

Ex. 5. Show that $J_{0}{ }^{\prime}(x)=-J_{1}(x)$.
Ans. : $\quad J_{0}(x)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!^{2}}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m}$

$$
J_{0}^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!^{2}} \frac{2 m x^{2 m-1}}{2^{2 m}}
$$

$$
=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!^{2}} \frac{m x^{2 m-1}}{2^{2 m-1}}
$$

$$
\left.=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{(m+1)!^{2}} \frac{(m+1) x^{2 m+1}}{2^{2 m+1}} \quad \text { (Replace } m \text { by } m+1\right)
$$

$$
=-\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!(m+1)!}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m}
$$

$$
=-J_{1}(x)
$$

Ex. 6. Define, $\frac{1}{\lceil(k)}$ when $\boldsymbol{k}$ is a non-positive integer to be zero. Show that if $\boldsymbol{n}$ is a positive integer the formula for $J_{-n}(x)$ gives.

$$
J_{-n}(x)=(-1)^{n} J_{n}(x)
$$

Ans. :

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{-n}(x) & =\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!\Gamma(m-n+1)}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m} \\
& \left.=\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-n} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!(m-n)!}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m} \quad \quad \text { (As } \frac{1}{\Gamma(k)}=0 \text { for } k \leq 0\right) \\
& \left.=\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+n}}{(m+n)!m!}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m+2 m} \quad \quad \text { (Replace } m \text { by } m+n\right) \\
& =(-1)^{n}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!(m-n)!}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m} \\
& =(-1)^{n} J_{n}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Ex. 7. Show that

(a) $\left(x^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}(x)=x^{\alpha} J_{\alpha-1}(x)$
(b) $\quad\left(x^{-\alpha} J_{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}(x)=-x^{-\alpha} J_{\alpha+1}(x)$

Ans. (a) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{\alpha}(x)=\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!\lceil(m+\alpha+1)}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m} \\
& \therefore \quad x^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}(x)=\frac{x^{2 \alpha}}{2^{\alpha}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!\lceil(m+\alpha+1)}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{\alpha}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!\Gamma(m+\alpha+1)} \frac{x^{2 m+2 \alpha}}{2^{2 m}} \\
& \left(x^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2^{\alpha}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}(2 m+2 \alpha)}{m!\lceil(m+\alpha+1)} \frac{x^{2 m+2 \alpha-1}}{2^{2 m}} \\
& =x^{\alpha}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!\Gamma(m+\alpha)}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m} \\
& =x^{\alpha} J_{\alpha-1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Ans. (b) : $\quad J_{\alpha}(x)=\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \sum \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!\Gamma(m+\alpha+1)}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{-\alpha} J_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2^{\alpha}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!\Gamma(m+\alpha+1)}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m} \\
& \left(x^{-\alpha} J_{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2^{\alpha}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!\Gamma(m+\alpha+1)} \frac{2 m x^{2 m-1}}{2^{2 m}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{\alpha}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1} 2(m+1)}{(m+1)!\Gamma(m+\alpha+2)} \frac{x^{2 m+1}}{2^{2 m+2}} \\
& =-\frac{x}{2^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!\Gamma(m+\alpha+2)} \frac{x^{2 m}}{2^{m}} \\
& \text { But } \quad J_{\alpha+1}(x)=\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\alpha+1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!\Gamma(m+\alpha+2)}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m} \\
& \therefore \quad-x^{-\alpha} J_{\alpha+1}=-\frac{x}{2^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!\Gamma(m+\alpha+2)}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left(x^{-\alpha} J_{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}=-x^{-\alpha} J_{\alpha+1}$

## 8. Show that

(a) $J_{\alpha-1}(x)-J_{\alpha+1}(x)=2 J_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime}(x)$
(b) $J_{\alpha-1}(x)+J_{\alpha+1}(x)=2 \alpha x^{-1} J_{\alpha}(x)$

Ans. $\quad x^{\alpha} J_{\alpha-1}(x)=\left(x^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}=\alpha x^{\alpha-1} J_{\alpha}+x^{\alpha} J_{\alpha}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-x^{-\alpha} J_{\alpha+1}(x)=\left(x^{-\alpha} J_{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}=-\alpha x^{-\alpha-1} J_{\alpha}+x^{-\alpha} J_{\alpha}^{\prime} \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) Multiply equation (i) by $x^{-\alpha}$ and equation (ii) by $x^{\alpha}$ and add.

$$
J_{\alpha-1}(x)-J_{\alpha+1}(x)=\left(\alpha x^{-1}-\alpha x^{-1}\right) J_{\alpha}+J_{\alpha}^{\prime}+J_{\alpha}^{\prime}=2 J_{\alpha}^{\prime}(x)
$$

Thus, $J_{\alpha-1}(x)-J_{\alpha+1}(x)=2 J_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime}(x)$
(b) Multiply equation (i) by $x^{-\alpha}$ and equation (ii) by $x^{\alpha}$ and subtract.

$$
J_{\alpha-1}(x)+J_{\alpha+1}(x)=2 \alpha x^{-1} J_{\alpha}(x)
$$

Thus, using results of example 7 we have proved the required result.

Ex. 9. Show that $K_{0}{ }^{\prime}(x)=-K_{1}(x)$
Ans. : $K_{0}(x)=-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m}\right)\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m}+\log x J_{0}(x)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{0}^{\prime}(x)=-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m}\right) \frac{2 m x^{2 m-1}}{2^{2 m}}+\frac{1}{x} J_{0}(x)+\log x J_{0}^{\prime}(x) \\
&=-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m}\right) \frac{m x^{2 m-1}}{2^{2 m-1}}+\frac{1}{x}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!^{2}}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m}\right)+\log x J_{0}^{\prime} \\
&= \frac{x}{2}-\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m}\right) \frac{m x^{2 m-1}}{2^{2 m-1}}+\frac{1}{x}\left(1-\frac{x^{2}}{4}\right)+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!^{2}} \frac{x^{2 m-1}}{2^{2 m}} \\
& \quad+\log x J_{0}^{\prime}(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{x}+\frac{x}{4}+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!^{2}} \frac{x^{2 m-1}}{2^{2 m-1}}\left[\frac{1}{2}-m\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m}\right)\right]+\log x J_{0}^{\prime}(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{x}+\frac{x}{4}+\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} x^{2 m-2}}{m!^{2} 2^{2 m-2}}\left[-\frac{1}{2}-m\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m-1}\right)\right]+\log x J_{0}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Replace $m$ by $m+1$ and use the result $J_{0}{ }^{\prime}=-J_{1}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{0}^{\prime}(x)= & \frac{1}{x}+\frac{x}{4}+\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{(m+1)!m!}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m}[
\end{aligned} \begin{array}{r}
\left.-\frac{1}{2(m+1)}-\frac{(m+1)}{(m+1)}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m}\right)\right] \\
\\
=\frac{1}{x}+\frac{\log x \cdot J_{1}(x)}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \sum \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m!(m+1)!}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 m}\left[\frac{1}{m+1}+2\left(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{m}\right)\right] \\
\\
=-\log x \cdot J_{1}(x)
\end{array}
$$

Thus, $K_{0}{ }^{\prime}(x)=-K_{1}(x)$

## EXAMPLES

1. Let $\phi$ be any solution for $x>0$ of the Bessel equation of order $\alpha$

$$
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+\left(x^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right) y=0
$$

Put $\psi(x)=x^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi(x)$. show that $\psi$ satisfies equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+\left[1+\frac{\frac{1}{4}-\alpha^{2}}{x^{2}}\right] y=0
$$

2. Show that if $\alpha>0$ then $J_{\alpha}$ has an infinite number of positive zeros.
3. Show that $J_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ satisfies the Bessel equation of order one

$$
x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}+\left(x^{2}-1\right) y=0
$$

4. For a fixed $\alpha>0$ and $\lambda>0$ let $\phi_{\lambda}(x)=x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{\alpha}(\lambda x)$ show that

$$
\phi_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime \prime}+\left\lfloor\frac{\frac{1}{4}-\alpha^{2}}{x^{2}}\right\rfloor \phi_{\lambda}=-\lambda^{2} \phi_{\lambda}
$$

5. If $\lambda, \mu$ are positive show that

$$
\left(\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \phi_{\lambda}(x) \phi_{\mu}(x) d x=\phi_{\lambda}(1) \phi_{\mu}^{\prime}(1)-\phi_{\mu}(1) \phi_{\lambda}^{\prime}(1)
$$

## Unit 4 : Regular singular points at infinity

At the beginning of chapter 3 we have defined singular points of linear differential equation of order $n$ on the domain $|x|<\infty$. In unit 2 of chapter 3 we have discussed the power series solutions of second order differential equation with regular singular points. These singular points lie in a finite plane $|x|<\infty$. Often it is necessary to investigate solution of the differential equation for large values of $|x|$. A simple way of doing this is to change the independent variable by its reciprocal $x=\frac{1}{t}$ and study the solution of the resulting equation near $t=0$. If the resulting equation possesses the regular singular point of $t=0$. We say that the original equation has a regular singular point at infinity. The results on analytic solution and equations with regular singular point at $t=0$ can be applied to the transformed equation. Analysis of equation at $t=0$ gives the analysis of given equation for infinite $x$.

Let us consider the second order differential equation

$$
L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1}(x) y^{\prime}+a_{2}(x) y=0
$$

for large values of $|x|$.
Suppose $\phi$ is a solution of $L(y)=0$ for $|x|>r_{0}$ for some $r_{0}>0$.
Define $t=\frac{1}{x}$ and let $\tilde{\phi}(t)=\phi\left(\frac{1}{t}\right), \tilde{a}_{1}(t)=a_{1}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right), \tilde{a}_{2}(t)=a_{2}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$. These functions will exist for $|t|<\frac{1}{x_{0}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \tilde{\phi}(t)}{d t}
\end{aligned}=\frac{d}{d x} \phi(x) \cdot \frac{d x}{d t}=\phi^{\prime}(x)\left(\frac{-1}{t^{2}}\right) \Rightarrow \phi^{\prime}(x)=-t^{2} \frac{d \tilde{\phi}}{d t} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{\prime \prime}(x) & =t^{4} \frac{d^{2} \tilde{\phi}(t)}{d t^{2}}-2 t \phi^{\prime}(x) \\
& =t^{4} \frac{d^{2} \tilde{\phi}}{d t^{2}}-2 t\left(-t^{2} \frac{d \tilde{\phi}}{d t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
L(\phi) & =\tilde{L}(\tilde{\phi})=t^{4} \tilde{\phi}^{\prime \prime}(t)+2 t^{3} \tilde{\phi}^{\prime}(t)+\tilde{a}_{1}(t)\left(-t^{2}\right) \tilde{\phi}^{\prime}(t)+\tilde{a}_{2}(t) \tilde{\phi}(t) \\
& =t^{4} \tilde{\phi}^{\prime \prime}(t)+\left[2 t^{3}-\tilde{a}_{1}(t) t^{2}\right] \tilde{\phi}^{\prime}(t)+\tilde{a}_{2}(t) \tilde{\phi}(t) \\
L(\phi) & =0 \text { gives } \tilde{L}(\tilde{\phi})=0 . \text { Thus, } \tilde{\phi} \text { satisfies } \\
\tilde{L}(y) & =t^{4} y^{\prime \prime}+\left[2 t^{3}-\tilde{a}_{1}(t) t^{2}\right] y^{\prime}+\tilde{a}_{2}(t) y=0 \tag{3.4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to $t$.
Convertsely if $\tilde{\phi}$ satisfies $\tilde{L}(y)=0$ the function $\phi$ will satisfy $L(y)=0$. The equation (3.4.1) is called the induce equation associated with $L(y)=0$ and the substitution $x=\frac{1}{t}$.

## Definition 3.4.1 :

We say that infinity is a regular singular point for $L(y)=y^{\prime \prime}(x)+a_{1}(x) y^{\prime}(x)$ $+a_{2}(x) y(x)=0$ if $t=0$ is a regular singular point of

$$
\tilde{L}(y)=t^{4} y^{\prime \prime}(t)+\left[2 t^{3}-t^{2} \tilde{a}_{1}(t)\right] y^{\prime}(t)+\tilde{a}_{2}(t) y(t)=0
$$

$\tilde{L}(y)$ is equivalent to the equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(t)+\frac{2 t-\tilde{a}_{1}(t)}{t^{2}} y^{\prime}(t)+\frac{\tilde{a}_{2}(t)}{t^{4}} y(t)=0
$$

On comparing this equation with the equation in definition 3.1.3(b) we see that

$$
p(t)=\frac{2 t-\tilde{a}_{1}(t)}{t^{2}} \text { and } q(t)=\frac{\tilde{a}_{2}(t)}{t^{4}}
$$

If $\tilde{a}_{1}(t)=t \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k} t^{k}$ and $\tilde{a}_{2}(t)=t^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k} t^{k}$ where the series converge for $|t|<\frac{1}{r_{0}}, r_{0}>0$, then the denomiantor of $p(t)$ will not contain a factor $t$ to a power higher than one and the denominator of $q(t)$ will not contain a factor $t$ to a power higher than two. By definition 3.1.3(b) $t=0$ is a regular singular point of $\tilde{L}(y)=0$ and therefore infinity is a regular singular point of $L(y)=0$.

## EXAMPLES

Ex. 1. Check whether infinity is regular singular point of $x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+a x y^{\prime}+b y=0$, where $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}$ are constants.

Ans. : Put $\quad x=\frac{1}{t}$.

## Differential Equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime}(x)=\frac{d y}{d t} \cdot \frac{d t}{d x}=-t^{2} \dot{y}(t) \text { where .dot (.) represents differentiation with respect to } t . \\
& y^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{d}{d x}[y(x)]=\frac{d}{d t}\left[y^{\prime}(x)\right] \frac{d t}{d x}=\left[-t^{2} \ddot{y}(t)-2 t \dot{y}(t)\right]\left(-t^{2}\right) \\
&=t^{4} \ddot{y}(t)+2 t^{3} \dot{y}(t) \\
& x^{2} y^{\prime \prime}(x)+a x y^{\prime}+b y=\frac{1}{t^{2}}\left[t^{4} \ddot{y}(t)+2 t^{3} \dot{y}(t)\right]+a \cdot \frac{1}{t}\left[-t^{2} \dot{y}(t)\right]+b y \\
&=t^{2} \ddot{y}(t)+[2-a] t \dot{y}(t)+b y \\
& L[y(x)]=0 \text { implies } \\
& \ddot{y}(t)+\frac{2-a}{t} y(t)+\frac{b}{t^{2}} y(t)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This equation is of form $y^{\prime \prime}+p y^{\prime}+q y=0$. Since denomination of $p$ contains a factor $t^{r}, r \ngtr 1$ and denominator of $q$ contain a factor $t^{r}, r \ngtr 2, t=0$ is a regular singular point. Thus, infinity is a regular singular point of the given differential equation.

## Ex. 2. Show that infinity is not a regular singular point for the equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+a y^{\prime}+b y=0
$$

where $a, b$ are constants, not both zero.
Ans. :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime}(x)=-t^{2} \dot{y}(t) \\
& y^{\prime \prime}(x)=t^{4} \ddot{y}(t)+2 t^{3} \dot{y}(t) \\
& y^{\prime \prime}(x)+a y^{\prime}(x)+b y(x)=0 \text { gives } \\
& t^{4} \ddot{y}(t)+2 t^{3} \dot{y}(t)-a t^{2} \dot{y}(t)+b y(t)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

and

Therefore

Here

$$
\ddot{y}(t)+\frac{2 t-a}{t^{2}} \dot{y}(t)+\frac{b}{t^{4}} y(t)=0
$$

$$
p(t)=\frac{2 t-a}{t^{2}} \text { and } q(t)=\frac{b}{t^{4}}
$$

[If $a=b=0$ then $p(t)=\frac{2}{t}$ and $q(t)=0$. Since denominator of $p(t)$ contain a factor $t^{r}, r \ngtr 1$ and denominator of $q(t)$ contains a factor $t^{r}, r=0 \ngtr 2 t=0$ is a regular singular point and infinity is regular singular point of the equation.]

Since either $a$ or $b$ is non-zero, $p(t)=\frac{2 t-a}{t^{2}}$ contains the determinator $t^{r}$ with $r=2>1$ or $q(t)=\frac{b}{t^{4}}$ contains the denominator $t^{r}$ with $r=4>2$. Therefore $t=0$ is an irregular singular point of the transformed equation and infinity is an irregular singular point of the given equation.

## Ex. 3. Show that infinity is a regular singular point for the Legendre equation

$$
\left(1-x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}-2 x y^{\prime}+\alpha(\alpha+1) y=0
$$

where $a, b$ are constants, not both zero.

Ans. :

$$
y^{\prime}(x)=-t^{2} \dot{y}(t), y^{\prime \prime}(x)=t^{4} \ddot{y}(t)+2 t^{3} \dot{y}(t), x=\frac{1}{t}
$$

$\therefore \quad$ Legendre equation becomes,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1-\frac{1}{t^{2}}\right)\left[t^{4} \ddot{y}+2 t^{3} \dot{y}\right]-2 \frac{1}{t}\left(-t^{2} \dot{y}\right)+\alpha(\alpha+1) y=0 \\
& \left(t^{2}-1\right) t^{2} \ddot{y}+2\left(t^{2}-1\right) t \dot{y}+2 t \dot{y}+\alpha(\alpha+1) y=0 \\
& \ddot{y}+\frac{2 t}{\left(t^{2}-1\right)} \dot{y}+\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{t^{2}\left(t^{2}-1\right)} y=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $p(t)=\frac{2 t}{\left(t^{2}-1\right)}$ contains a factor $t^{r}$ in the denominator with $r=0 \ngtr 1$ and $q(t)=\frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{t^{2}\left(t^{2}-1\right)}$ contains a factor $t^{\mathrm{r}}$ in the denominator with $r=2 \ngtr 2$.

Therefore by definition 3.1.3(b) $t=0$ is a regular singular point of the transformed equation and infinity is a regular singular point of a given equation.
4. Find two linearly independent solutions of the equation $\left(1-x^{2}\right) y^{\prime \prime}-2 x y^{\prime}+2 y=0$ of the form $x^{-r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} x^{-k}$ valid for $|\boldsymbol{x}|>\mathbf{1}$

Ans. : Put $x=\frac{1}{t}$ then

$$
y^{\prime}(x)=-t^{2} \dot{y}(t), \quad y^{\prime \prime}(x)=t^{4} \ddot{y}+2 t^{3} \dot{y}(t)
$$

Given equation becomes
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1-\frac{1}{t^{2}}\right)\left[t^{4} \ddot{y}+2 t^{3} \dot{y}\right]-2 \frac{1}{t}\left(-t^{2} \dot{y}\right)+2 y=0 \\
& \left(t^{2}-1\right)\left[t^{2} \ddot{y}+2 t \dot{y}\right]+2 t \dot{y}+2 y=0 \\
& L(y)=t^{2}\left(t^{2}-1\right) \ddot{y}+2 t^{3} \dot{y}+2 y=0
\end{aligned}
$$

From example 2 we observe that $x=0$ is a regular singular point of $L(y)=0$.
Let $\phi$ be a solution of $L(y)=0$ of the form

$$
\phi(t)=t^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} t^{k}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(\phi)= t^{2}\left(t^{2}-1\right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r)(k+r-1) c_{k} t^{k+r-2}+2 t^{3} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r) t^{k+r-1}+2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} t^{k+r} \\
& \begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r)(k+r-1) c_{k} t^{k+r+2}-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+r)(k+r-1) c_{k} t^{k+r} \\
&+2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k+r) t^{k+r+2}+2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} t^{k+r} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}[(k+r)(k+r-1)+2(k+r)] c_{k} t^{k+r+2} \\
& \quad-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}[(k+r)(k+r-1)-2] c_{k} t^{k+r} \\
&=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}[(k+r)(k+r+1)] c_{k} t^{k+r+2}-(r(r-1)-2) c_{0} t^{r}-((r+1)(r)-2) c_{1} t^{r+1} \\
& \quad-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[(k+r)(k+r-1)-2] c_{k} t^{k+r} \\
&=-\left[r^{2}-r-2\right] c_{0} t^{r}-\left[r^{2}+r-2\right] c_{1} t^{r+1}-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[(k+r)(k+r-1)-2] c_{k} t^{k+r} \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left[(k+r-2)(k+r-1) c_{k-2}\right] t^{k+r} \\
&=- \quad-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left\{[(k+r)(k+r-1)-2] c_{k}-[(k+r-2)(k+r-1)] c_{k-2}\right\} t^{k+r}
\end{aligned} \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

The indicial equation is
$q(r)=r^{2}-r-2=0$ gives $r=-1,2$ Since $r_{1}-r_{2}=2+1=3$ a positive integer we try a series solution using the smallest root, $r=-1$.

At $r=-1, L(\phi)=0$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 . c_{0}=0,2 c_{1}=0 \text { and } \\
& {[(k-1)(k-2)-2] c_{k}-(k-3)(k-2) c_{k-2}=0 \quad k=2,3,4,5, \ldots . .} \\
& k=2,-2 c_{2}=0 \text { gives } c_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$k=3,0 . c_{3}=0$ that is $c_{3}$ is orbitrary
Thus, $c_{0}$ and $c_{3}$ are arbitrary whereas $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$.
Since $c_{2}=0$, all even terms $c_{2 k}=0, k=1,2,3, \ldots$. and

$$
c_{k}=\frac{(k-3)(k-2)}{(k-1)(k-2)-2} c_{k-2} \quad k=4,5, \ldots .
$$

In particular

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{2 k+1} & =\frac{(2 k-2)(2 k-1)}{2 k(2 k-1)-2} c_{2 k-1} \quad k=2,3,4, \ldots . \\
& =\frac{(2 k-2)(2 k-1)}{(2 k-2)(2 k+1)} c_{2 k-1} \\
& =\frac{2 k-1}{2 k+1} c_{2 k-1}, k=2,3,4, \ldots . . \\
c_{2 k+1} & =\left(\frac{2 k-1}{2 k+1}\right)\left(\frac{2 k-3}{2 k-1}\right)\left(\frac{2 k-5}{2 k-3}\right)\left(\frac{2 k-7}{2 k-5}\right) \cdots \cdot \frac{1}{3} c_{3} \\
& =\frac{c_{3}}{2 k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we get a solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(t) & =c_{0} t^{-1}+c_{3} t^{2}+c_{5} t^{4}+c_{7} t^{6}+\ldots \\
& =c_{0} t^{-1}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{2 k+1} t^{2 k} \\
& =c_{0} t^{-1}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{3}}{(2 k+1)} t^{2 k} \\
& =c_{0} t^{-1}+c_{3} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2 k}}{(2 k+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we get two solutions

$$
\phi_{1}(t)=t^{-1} \text { and } \phi_{2}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2 k}}{2 k+1}
$$

Let $\quad \phi_{2}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k}(t)$.
By ratio test

$$
\left|\frac{d_{k+1}(t)}{d_{k}(t)}\right|=\left|\frac{t^{2 k+2}}{2 k+3} \times \frac{2 k+1}{t^{2 k}}\right|=\left|\frac{(2 k+1) t^{2}}{(2 k+3)}\right|
$$

Since $\quad \stackrel{L t}{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{2 k+1}{2 k+3}\right|=1$
The series converger for $|t|<1$.
But $\quad x=\frac{1}{t}$, therefore

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=x \text { and } \phi_{2}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{-2 k}}{2 k+1} \text { are two solutions of given equation. Second }
$$

converges for $|x|>1$.

## Ex. 5. For each equation locate and classify all its singular points.

(a) $x^{3}(x-1) y^{\prime \prime}+(x-1) y^{\prime}+4 x y=0$
(b) $x^{2}\left(x^{2}-4\right) y^{\prime \prime}+2 x^{3} y^{\prime}+3 y=0$
(c) $y^{\prime \prime}+x y=0$
(d) $x^{2}(x-4)^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+3 x y^{\prime}-(x-4) y=0$

Ans. : In chapter 3 Unit I, example 3, we have classified all its singular points in a finite plane. It remains to check whether infinity is a singular point and whether it is a regular singular point.
(a) $a_{0}(x)=x^{3}(x-1)=0$ gives $x=0, x=1$ are singularities $x=0$ is irregular singular point whereas $x=1$ is a regular singular point.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { put } x=\frac{1}{t} \text { then } y^{\prime}(x)=\frac{d y}{d t} \cdot \frac{d t}{d x}=\dot{y}(t)\left(-t^{2}\right) \text { and } y^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{d}{d t}\left(\dot{y}(t)\left(-t^{2}\right)\right) \frac{d t}{d x} \\
& \begin{aligned}
=\left[-t^{2} \ddot{y}(t)-2 t \dot{y}(t)\right]\left[-t^{2}\right] \text { so } y^{\prime \prime}(x)=t^{4} \ddot{y}+2 t^{3} \dot{y} . \\
\qquad \begin{aligned}
L(y) & =x^{3}(x-1) y^{\prime \prime}+(x-1) y^{\prime}+4 x y \\
& =\frac{1}{t^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{t}-1\right)\left[t^{4} \ddot{y}+2 t^{3} \dot{y}\right]+\left(\frac{1}{t}-1\right)\left(-t^{2}\right) \dot{y}+4 \frac{1}{t} y \\
= & (1-t) \ddot{y}+\left(\frac{2}{t}-2-t+t^{2}\right) \dot{y}+\frac{4}{t} y
\end{aligned} \\
L(y)=(1-t) \ddot{y}+\frac{2-(2+t) t+t^{3}}{t} \dot{y}+\frac{4}{t} y
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

$L(y)=0$ can be put in the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \ddot{y}+\frac{(t-1)\left(t^{2}-2\right)}{(1-t) t} \dot{y}+\frac{4}{t(1-t)} y=0 \\
\text { or } & \ddot{y}+\frac{t^{2}-2}{t} \dot{y}+\frac{4}{t(1-t)} y=0
\end{array}
$$

This equation is of the type $y^{\prime \prime}+p(t) y^{\prime}+Q(t) y=0$ where $p(t)=\frac{t^{2}-2}{t}$ and $Q(t)=\frac{4}{t(1-t)}$
Since the denominator of $p(t)$ contains a factor $t^{r}$, for $r=1 \ngtr 1$ and $q(t)$ contains a denominator $t^{r}$, for $r=1 \ngtr 2, t=0$ is a regular singular point.

Thus, $x=1$ and infinity are regular singular whereas $x=0$ is irregular singular point.
(b) Put $x=\frac{1}{t}$ then $y^{\prime}(x)=-t^{2} \dot{y}$ and $y^{\prime \prime}(x)=t^{4} \ddot{y}+2 t^{3} \dot{y}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(y) & =x^{2}\left(x^{2}-4\right) y^{\prime \prime}+2 x^{3} y^{\prime}+3 y \\
& =\frac{1}{t^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{t^{2}}-4\right)\left[t^{4} \ddot{y}+2 t^{3} \dot{y}\right]+\frac{2}{t^{3}}\left(-t^{2} \dot{y}\right)+3 y \\
& =\left(1-4 t^{2}\right) \ddot{y}+\left(\frac{2}{t}-8 t-\frac{2}{t}\right) \dot{y}+3 y \\
& =\left(1-4 t^{2}\right) \ddot{y}-8 t \dot{y}+3 y
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $t=0$ is not a singular point of $\left(1-4 t^{2}\right) \ddot{y}-8 t \dot{y}+3 y=0$, infinity is not a singular point of the given equation.
(c) $y^{\prime \prime}+x y=\left(t^{4} \ddot{y}+2 t^{3} \dot{y}\right)+\frac{1}{t} y$.

Therefore $L(y)=t^{4} \ddot{y}+2 t^{3} \dot{y}+\frac{1}{t} y=0$ can be written in the form $\ddot{y}+p(t) \dot{y}+q(t) y=0$ where $p(t)=\frac{2}{t}$ and $q(t)=\frac{1}{t^{5}}$.
Here $t=0$ is a singular point but since the denominator of $q(t)$ contains a factor $t^{r}, r=5>2, t=0$ is not a regular singular point.

Since $t=0$ is irregular singular point infinity is irregular singular point of the equation $y^{\prime \prime}+x y=0$.
(d) $x=0$ is regular singular point and $x=4$ is irregular singular point.

Put $x=\frac{1}{t}$ then $y^{\prime}=-t^{2} \dot{y}, y^{\prime \prime}=t^{4} \ddot{y}+2 t^{3} \dot{y}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(y) & =x^{2}(x-4)^{2} y^{\prime \prime}+x y^{\prime}-(x-4) y \\
& =\frac{1}{t^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{t}-4\right)^{2}\left[t^{4} \ddot{y}+2 t^{3} \dot{y}\right]+\frac{1}{t}\left[-t^{2} \dot{y}\right]-\left(\frac{1}{t}-4\right) y \\
& =(4 t-1)^{2} \ddot{y}+\left[\frac{2(4 t-1)^{2}}{t}-t\right] \dot{y}+\left(\frac{4 t-1}{t}\right) y \\
& =(4 t-1)^{2} \ddot{y}+\left[\frac{31 t^{2}-16 t+1}{t}\right] \dot{y}+\left(\frac{4 t-1}{t}\right) y
\end{aligned}
$$

$L(y)=0$ can be written in the form $\ddot{y}+p(t) \dot{y}+q(t) y=0$ where $p(t)=\frac{31 t^{2}-16 t+1}{(4 t-1)^{2} \cdot t}$ and
$q(t)=\frac{1}{t(4 t-1)}$
Since $t=0$ is a singularity of $p(t)$ and $q(y)$ and is a simple pole by definition 3.1.3(a) $t=0$ is a regular singular point and infinity is regular singular point of the given equation.


## Contents :

Unit 1: The method of successive approximations.
Unit 2 : Convergence of the successive approximations.

## Introduction :

In the last three chapters we have seen the methods of finding a solution to the given linear differential equations. For linear differential equation with constant coefficients there is a method to find all the solutions whereas for linear equations with variable coefficients, there are very few types of equations whose solutions can be expressed in terms of elementary functions and therefore we go for power series solutions. All the equations considered so far were linear differential equations.

In this chapter we consider the general first order equation $y^{\prime}=f(x, y)$ where $f$ is some continuous function (need not be linear in $y$ ) Only in special cases it is possible to find explicit analytic expressions for the solutions of $y^{\prime}=f(x, y)$.

Our main purpose in this chapter is to prove that a wide class of initial value problems

$$
y^{\prime}=f(x, y), \quad y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}
$$

has a solution. Though it may not be possible to find out the exact solution, it is feasible to construct a sequence of approximate solutions that may converge to the exact solution.

## Unit 1: Methods of successive approximations

In this unit we study the general problem of finding solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=f(x, y), \quad y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0} \tag{4.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $f$ is any continuous real valued function defined on some rectangle

$$
R=\left\{(x, y) /\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq a,\left|y-y_{0}\right| \leq b, a, b>0\right\}
$$

in the real $(x, y)$ plane.
A function $\phi$ is a solution of equation (4.1.1) if $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$ and $\phi^{\prime}(x)=f(x, \phi(x))$.

## Differential Equations

## Theorem 4.1.1

A function $\phi$ is a solution of the initial value problem (4.1.1) on an interval I if and only if it is a solution of the integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(t, y) d t \text { on I } \tag{4.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof : Suppose $\phi$ is a solution of the initial values problem on I. Then

$$
\phi^{\prime}(t)=f(t, \phi(t)) \text { and } \phi\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}
$$

Since $\phi$ is continuous on I and $f$ is continuous on $R$, the function $F$ defined by

$$
F(t)=f(t, \phi(t))
$$

is continuous on I.

$$
\phi^{\prime}(t)=f(t, \phi(t)) \text { and } \phi\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}
$$

On integrating above equation between $x_{0}$ and $x$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \phi^{\prime}(t) d t & =\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(t, \phi(t)) d t \\
\phi(x)-\phi\left(x_{0}\right) & =\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(t, \phi(t)) d t \\
\phi(x) & =y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(t, \phi(t)) d t \quad\left(\text { as } \phi\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or
Thus $\phi$ is solution of (4.1.2)
Conversely suppose $\phi$ satisfies (4.1.2) on I that is

$$
\phi(x)=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(t, \phi(t)) d t
$$

Differentiate this equation with respect to $x$ and use the fundamental theorem of integral calculus. The integral equation becomes

$$
\phi^{\prime}(x)=f(x, \phi(x)) \text { for all } x \in I .
$$

From (4.1.2) it is obvious that $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$.
Thus $\phi$ is a solution of equation (4.1.1).
Successive approximate solutions
As a first approximation to a solution defined

$$
\phi_{0}(x)=y_{0} .
$$

Then $\phi_{0}$ satisfies an initial condition but does not in general satisfy the differential equation.
Since $\phi_{0}$ is a first approximate solution, substitute $y=\phi_{0}$ in equation (4.1.2) to generate second approximate solution. Call this solution as $\phi_{1}$ then

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{0}(t)\right) d t .
$$

Clearly $\phi_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$. Therefore $\phi_{1}$ satisfies initial condition.
If we continue the process and define successively

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{0}(x) & =y_{0}, \\
\phi_{k+1}(x) & =y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{k}(t)\right) d t \quad(k=0,1,2, \ldots \ldots) \tag{4.1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We get a sequence of functions $\left\{\phi_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$. If this sequence converges then it may happen that the limit function will turn out to be the solution of differential equation (4.1.1).

We now show that there is an interval I containing $x_{0}$ where all the functions $\phi_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots \ldots$ exist. Since $f$ is continuous on a compact set $R$, it is bounded on $R$, that is there exists a constant $M>0$ such that

$$
|f(x, y)| \leq M \quad \text { for all }(x, y) \in R .
$$

## Theorem 4.1.2

The successive approximations defined by (4.1.3) exist and are continuous on

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& I=\left\{x /\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq \alpha \text { where } \alpha=\min \{a, b / M\}\right\} \\
\text { and for } \quad x \in I, \quad\left(x, \phi_{k}(x)\right) \in R .
\end{array}
$$

The function $\phi_{k}$ satisfy

$$
\left|\phi_{k}(x)-y_{0}\right| \leq M\left|x-x_{0}\right| \quad \text { for all } x \text { in } I
$$

Proof : We will prove this result by mathematical induction,
(i) Clearly $\phi_{0}(x)=y_{0}$ is continuous on I and

$$
\left|\phi_{0}(x)-y_{0}\right|=0
$$

Thus the theorem is true for $k=0$.
(ii) $\phi_{1}(x)=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{0}(t)\right) d t$

$$
=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, y_{0}\right) d t
$$

Since $f$ is continuous and continuous function is integrable, $\phi_{1}(x)$ exist.

$$
\left|\phi_{1}(x)-y_{0}\right|=\left|\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, y_{0}\right) d t\right| \leq \int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left|f\left(t, y_{0}\right)\right| d t \leq M\left|x-x_{0}\right|
$$

Therefore $\left|\phi_{1}(x)-y_{0}\right| \leq M\left|x-x_{0}\right|$
Since $f$ is continuous on $R$ the function $F_{0}$ defined by

$$
F_{0}(t)=f\left(t, y_{0}\right)
$$

is continuous on I. Therefore $\phi_{1}$ defined by

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} F_{0}(t) d t
$$

is continuous on I.
The theorem is true for $k=1$.
(iii) Assume that the theorem is true for $\phi_{k}$
(iv) To prove the result for $\phi_{k+1}$

We know that $\left(t, \phi_{k}(t)\right) \in R$ for $t \in I$.
Since $f$ is continuous on $R$ and $\phi_{k}$ is continuous on I,

$$
F_{k}(t)=f\left(t, \phi_{k}(t)\right)
$$

exist for $t \in I$ and $F_{k}$ is continuous. The function $\phi_{k+1}$ given by

$$
\phi_{k+1}(x)=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{k}\right) d t=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} F_{k}(t) d t
$$

exists and is continuous function on I.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\phi_{k+1}(x)-y_{0}\right|=\left|\int_{x_{0}}^{x} F_{k}(t) d t\right| \leq \int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left|F_{k}(t)\right| d t \leq M\left|x-x_{0}\right| \\
& \text { (Since } \left.\left|F_{k}(t)\right|=\left|f\left(t, \phi_{k}\right)\right| \leq M\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\phi_{k+1}$ exist is continuous and satisfies the required inequality.
Definition : Let $f$ be a function defined for $(x, y)$ in a set $S$. We say $f$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition on $S$ if there exists a constant $K>0$ such that

$$
\left|f\left(x, y_{1}\right)-f\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right| \leq K\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|
$$

for all $\left(x, y_{1}\right),\left(x, y_{2}\right) \in S$. The constant $K$ is called Lipschitz constant.

## Theorem 4.1.3 :

Suppose $S$ is either a rectangle

$$
\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq a,\left|y-y_{0}\right| \leq b \quad(a, b>0)
$$

or a strip

$$
\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq \alpha,|y|<\infty \quad(a>0)
$$

and that $f$ is real valued function defined on $S$.
Such that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ exists, is continuous on $S$ and

$$
\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y)\right| \leq K, \quad \text { for }(x, y) \in S \text { and for some } K>0 \text {. Then } f \text { satisfies a }
$$

Lipschitz condition on $S$ with Lipschitz constant $K$.
Proof : $f\left(x, y_{1}\right)-f\left(x, y_{2}\right)=\int_{y_{2}}^{y_{1}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, t) d t$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f\left(x, y_{1}\right)-f\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right| & =\left|\int_{y_{2}}^{y_{1}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, t) d t\right| \\
& \left.\leq\left|\int_{y_{2}}^{y_{1}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, t)\right| d t \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq\left|\int_{y_{2}}^{y_{1}} K d t\right| \\
& \leq K\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\left|f\left(x, y_{1}\right)-f\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right| \leq K\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|$ for all $\left(x, y_{1}\right)\left(x, y_{2}\right)$ in $S$.

## EXAMPLES

## 1. Consider the initial value problem

$$
y^{\prime}=3 y+1, \quad y(0)=2 .
$$

(a) Show that all the successive approximations $\phi_{0}, \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots .$. exist for all real $x$.
(b) Compute the first four approximations $\phi_{0}, \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}$ to the solution.
(c) Compute exact solution.
(d) Compare exact and approximate solution.

## Answer :

(a) We will prove this result by induction on $k$.

$$
k=0,
$$

$$
\phi_{0}(x)=y_{0}=2
$$

$\phi_{0}$ exist and is continuous.
Assume that $\phi_{K}$ exist and is continuous.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{k+1} & =y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{k}(t)\right) d t \\
& =y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left[3 \phi_{k}(t)+1\right] d t \\
& =y_{0}+3 \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \phi_{k}(t) d t+\left(x-x_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\phi_{k}$ is continuous, $\phi_{K}$ is integrable.
Therefore $\phi_{k+1}$ exist and is continuous.
Thus, $\phi_{0}, \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots .$. exist for all real $x$.
(b) $\phi_{0}(x)=2$

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{0}(t)\right) d t
$$

Here $f(t, y)=3 y+1$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1}(x)=2+\int_{0}^{x}\left[3 \phi_{0}+1\right] d t \\
&=2+\int_{0}^{x} 7 d t=2+7 x \\
& \phi_{2}(x)=2+\int_{0}^{x}\left[3 \phi_{1}+1\right] d t \\
&=2+\int_{0}^{x}[3(2+7 t)+1] d t \\
&=2+\int_{0}^{x}(21 t+7) d t \\
&=2+\frac{21 x^{2}}{2}+7 x=2+7 x+\frac{21 x^{2}}{2} . \\
& \phi_{3}(x)=2+\int_{0}^{x}\left[3 \phi_{2}(t)+1\right] d t \\
&=2+\int_{0}^{x}\left[3\left(2+7 t+\frac{21}{2} t^{2}\right)+1\right] d t \\
&=2+\int_{0}^{x}\left[7+21 t+\frac{63}{2} t^{2}\right] d t \\
&=2+7 x+21 \frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{63}{2} \frac{x^{3}}{3} \\
&=2+7 x+\frac{21}{2} x^{2}+\frac{21}{2} x^{3} . \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

(c)

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\prime}-3 y & =1 \\
y & =e^{3 x}\left[\int 1 e^{-3 x} d x+c_{1}\right] \\
& =e^{3 x}\left[\frac{e^{-3 x}}{-3}+c_{1}\right] \\
& =-\frac{1}{3}+c_{1} e^{3 x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\quad$ at $x=0, \quad y=2, \quad 2=-\frac{1}{3}+c_{1} e^{0} \quad$ i.e. $c_{1}=\frac{7}{3}$. and

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(x) & =-\frac{1}{3}+\frac{7}{3} e^{3 x} \\
& =-\frac{1}{3}+\frac{7}{3}\left[1+3 x+\frac{(3 x)^{2}}{2}+\cdots\right] \\
& =2+7 x+\frac{21}{2} x^{2}+\frac{63}{8} x^{4}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) $\phi_{0}, \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}$ are respectively first, first 2 , first 3 and first 4 terms of the series solution

$$
y=-\frac{1}{3}+\frac{7}{3} e^{3 x}
$$

2. For each of the following problems compute the first four successive approximations $\phi_{0}, \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}$.
(a) $y^{\prime}=x^{2}+y^{2}, y(0)=0$
(b) $y^{\prime}=1+x y, y(0)=1$
(c) $y^{\prime}=y^{2}, y(0)=1$

## Answers :

(a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{0}(x) & =y_{0}=0, f(x, y)=x^{2}+y^{2} \\
\phi_{1}(x) & =y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{0}(t)\right) d t \\
& =0+\int_{0}^{x}\left(t^{2}+0^{2}\right) d t \\
& =\frac{x^{3}}{3} \\
\phi_{2}(x) & =y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{1}(t)\right) d t \\
& =0+\int_{0}^{x} f\left(t, \frac{t^{3}}{3}\right) d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{x}\left[t^{2}+\left(\frac{t^{3}}{3}\right)^{2}\right] d t \\
& =\frac{x^{3}}{3}+\frac{x^{7}}{63} \\
\phi_{3}(x) & =\int_{0}^{x}\left[t^{2}+\left(\frac{t^{3}}{3}+\frac{t^{7}}{63}\right)^{2}\right] d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\int_{0}^{x}\left[t^{2}+\frac{t^{6}}{9}+\frac{t^{14}}{63^{2}}+\frac{2 t^{10}}{3 \times 63}\right] d t \\
& =\frac{x^{3}}{3}+\frac{x^{7}}{7.9}+\frac{x^{15}}{15 \times 63 \times 63}+\frac{2 x^{11}}{11 \times 3 \times 63} \\
& =\frac{x^{3}}{3}+\frac{x^{7}}{7.9}+\frac{2 x^{11}}{11.3 .68}+\frac{x^{15}}{15.63 .63}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{0}(x) & =1 \quad f(x, y)=1+x y \\
\phi_{1}(x) & =y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left(1+t \phi_{0}\right) d t \\
& =1+\int_{0}^{x}[1+t] d t \\
& =1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{2} \\
\phi_{2}(x) & =1+\int_{0}^{x}\left[1+t\left(1+t+\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)\right] d t \\
& =1+\int_{0}^{x}\left[1+t+t^{2}+\frac{t^{3}}{2}\right] d t \\
& =1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{3}}{3}+\frac{x^{4}}{8} \\
\phi_{3}(x) & =1+\int_{0}^{x}\left[1+t\left(1+t+\frac{t^{2}}{2}+\frac{t^{3}}{3}+\frac{t^{4}}{8}\right)\right] d t \\
& =1+\int_{0}^{x}\left[1+t+t^{2}+\frac{t^{3}}{2}+\frac{t^{4}}{3}+\frac{t^{5}}{8}\right] d t \\
& =1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{3}}{3}+\frac{x^{4}}{8}+\frac{x^{5}}{15}+\frac{x^{6}}{48}
\end{aligned}
$$

(c)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{0}(x) & =y_{0}=1 \quad f(x, y)=y^{2} \\
\phi_{1}(x) & =1+\int_{0}^{x}\left[(1)^{2}\right] d t \\
& =1+x \\
\phi_{2}(x) & =1+\int_{0}^{x}[1+t]^{2} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =1+x+\frac{2 x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{3}}{3} \\
& =1+x+x^{2}+\frac{x^{3}}{3} \\
\phi_{3}(x) & =1+\int_{0}^{x}\left[1+t+t^{2}+\frac{t^{3}}{3}\right]^{2} d t \\
& =1+\int_{0}^{x}\left[1+t^{2}+t^{4}+\frac{t^{6}}{9}+2\left(t+t^{2}+\frac{t^{3}}{3}+t^{3}+\frac{t^{4}}{3}+\frac{t^{5}}{3}\right)\right] d t \\
& =1+x+\frac{x^{3}}{3}+\frac{x^{5}}{5}+\frac{x^{7}}{7 \cdot 9}+2\left(\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{3}}{3}+\frac{x^{4}}{12}+\frac{x^{4}}{4}+\frac{x^{5}}{15}+\frac{x^{6}}{18}\right) \\
\phi_{3}(x) & =1+x+x^{2}+x^{3}+\frac{2}{3} x^{4}+\frac{1}{3} x^{5}+\frac{x^{6}}{9}+\frac{x^{7}}{63}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime}=x^{2}+y^{2} \\
& \text { on } R:|x| \leq 1, \quad|y| \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) Compute an upper bound $M$ for $f(x, y)=x^{2}+y^{2}$ on $R$
(b) On what interval containing $x=0$ will all the sucdessive approximations exist and be such that their graphs are in $R$.

## Answers :

(a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =\sup _{R} f(x, y) \\
& =\sup _{|x| \leq 1,|y| \leq 1}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \\
& =2
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) By theorem 4.1.2

$$
I=\left\{x /\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq \alpha \text { where } \alpha=\min \left(a, \frac{b}{M}\right)\right\}
$$

Here,

$$
x_{0}=0, y_{0}=0, a=b=1 \text { and } M=2
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \therefore \quad I=\left\{x /|x| \leq \alpha \text { where } \alpha=\min \left(1, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \therefore \quad I=\left\{x /|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

4. By computing appropriate Lipschitz constants show that the following functions satisfy Lipschilz conditions on the set $S$.
(a) $f(x, y)=4 x^{2}+y^{2}$ on $S=\{(x, y) /|x| \leq 1,|y| \leq 1\}$
(b) $f(x, y)=x^{2} \cos ^{2} y+y \sin ^{2} x$ on $S=\{(x, y) /|x| \leq 1,|y|<\infty\}$

## Answers :

(a)

$$
f(x, y)=4 x^{2}+y^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f\left(x, y_{1}\right)-f\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right| & =\left|\left[4 x^{2}+y_{1}^{2}\right]-\left[4 x^{2}+y_{2}^{2}\right]\right| \\
& =\left|y_{1}^{2}-y_{2}^{2}\right| \\
& =\left|y_{1}+y_{2}\right|\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

But $|y| \leq 1 \therefore \quad\left|y_{1}\right| \leq 1$ and $\left|y_{2}\right| \leq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid f\left(x, y_{1}\right)-\left(f\left(x, y_{2}\right) \mid\right. & \leq\left(\left|y_{1}\right|+\left|y_{2}\right|\right)\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right| \\
& \leq 2\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore Lipschitz constant $K=2$.
(b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x, y) & =x^{2} \cos ^{2} y+y \sin ^{2} x \\
\left|f\left(x, y_{1}\right)-f\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right| & =\left|\left[x^{2} \cos ^{2} y_{1}+y_{1} \sin ^{2} x\right]-\left[x^{2} \cos ^{2} y_{2}+y_{2} \sin ^{2} x\right]\right| \\
& =\left|x^{2}\left(\cos ^{2} y_{1}-\cos ^{2} y_{2}\right)+\sin ^{2} x\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \leq|x|^{2}\left|\cos ^{2} y_{1}-\cos ^{2} y_{2}\right|+\left|\sin ^{2} x\right|\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right| \\
& \leq\left|\cos ^{2} y_{1}-\cos ^{2} y_{2}\right|+\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

By mean value theorem $f(b)-f(a)=f^{\prime}(c)(b-a)$

$$
\cos ^{2} y_{1}-\cos ^{2} y_{2}=-2 \cos y \sin y\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f\left(x, y_{1}\right)-f\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right| & \leq|2 \cos y \sin y|\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|+\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right| \\
& \leq 3\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $k=3$ is a Lipschitz constant.

## 5. (a) Show that the function $f$ given by

$$
f(x, y)=x^{2}|y|
$$

Satisfies Lipschitz condition on $R=\{(x, y)|x| \leq 1,|y| \leq 1\}$
(b) Show that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ does not exist at $(x, 0)$ if $x \neq 0$.

## Answer :

(a) $\left|f\left(x, y_{1}\right)-f\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right|=\left|x^{2}\right| y_{1}\left|-x^{2}\right| y_{2}| |$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq\left|x^{2}\right|\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right| \\
& \leq 1\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, function satisfies Lipschitz condition with Lipschitz constant $k=1$.
(b) Since $|y|$ is not differentiable at $y=0, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ do not exist at $(x, 0)$ unless $x=0$ if $x=0$ then the function itself is zero.

## EXERCISE

## 1. Compute Lipschitz constant for the following functions.

(a) $f(x, y)=a(x) y^{2}+b(x) y+c(x)$ on $S=\{|x| \leq 1,|y|<2\}(a, b, c$ are continuous functions on $|x| \leq 1)$
(b) $f(x, y)=a(x) y+b(x)$ on $S=\{(x, y) /|x| \leq 1,|y|<\infty\}(a, b$ are continuous functions on $|x| \leq 1)$
(c) $f(x, y)=x^{3} e^{-x y^{2}}$ on $S=\{(x, y) / 0 \leq x \leq a,|y|<\infty\}$
2. (a) Show that the function $f$ given by

$$
f(x, y)=y^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

does not satisfy Lipschitz condition on

$$
S=\{(x, y) /|x| \leq 1,0 \leq y \leq 1\}
$$

(b) Show that this $f$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition on any rectangle $R$ of the form

$$
R=\{(x, y) /|x| \leq a, b \leq y \leq c, a, b, c>0\}
$$

3. Show that the function $\boldsymbol{f}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x, y) & =0, \text { if } x=0,|y| \leq 1 \\
& =2 x, \text { if } 0<|x| \leq 1,-1 \leq y<0 \\
& =2 x-\frac{4 y}{x}, \text { if } 0<|x| \leq 1,0 \leq y \leq x^{2} \\
& =-2 x \text { if } 0<|x| \leq 1, x^{2} \leq y \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

does not satisfy a Lipschitz condition on $R=\{(x, y) /|x| \leq 1,|y| \leq 1\}$.
4. Determine the bound for the function given by $f(x, y)=1-2 x y$

$$
\text { on } \quad S=\left\{(x, y) /|x| \leq \frac{1}{2},|y| \leq 1\right\} .
$$

## Unit 2 : Convergence of successive approximations

In the last unit we have found the successive approximate solutions to a differential equation (4.1.1). In this unit let us prove that this sequence of successive approximate solutions actually converges to the exact solution of differential equation (4.1.1).

## Theorem 4.2.1 : (Existence Theorem)

Let $f$ be a continuous real valued function on the rectangle

$$
R=\left\{(x, y) /\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq a,\left|y-y_{0}\right| \leq b, a>0, b>0\right\}
$$

and let $\quad|f(x, y)| \leq M$ for all $(x, y) \in R$.
Suppose $f$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition with Lipschitz constant $K$ in $R$. Then the successive approximations.

$$
\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}, \quad \phi_{k+1}(x)=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{k}(t)\right) d t, \quad k=0,1,2,3, \ldots \ldots,
$$

Converge on the interval $I=\left\{x /\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq \alpha\right\}$ where $\alpha=\min \left\{a, \frac{b}{M}\right\}$ to a solution $\phi$ of the initial value problem (4.1.1)

$$
y^{\prime}=f(x, y), \quad y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0} \quad \text { on } I
$$

Proof (a): Convergnce of $\left\{\phi_{k}\right\}$
Since the function $\phi_{k}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{k}(x)=\phi_{0}+\left(\phi_{1}-\phi_{0}\right)+\left(\phi_{2}-\phi_{1}\right)+\left(\phi_{3}-\phi_{2}\right) \cdots+\left(\phi_{k}-\phi_{k-1}\right) \\
& \phi_{k}(x)=\phi_{0}(x)+\sum_{p=1}^{k}\left[\phi_{p}(x)-\phi_{p-1}(x)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The sequence $\phi_{k}$ converges, that is $\operatorname{Lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{k}$ exists if and only if the series $\phi_{0}(x)+\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\left[\phi_{p}(x)-\phi_{p-1}(x)\right]$ is a convergent series.

By theorem 4.1.2 the functions $\phi_{p}$ all exist, each is continuous on I and $\left(x, \phi_{p}(x)\right) \in R$ for $x$ in I.

Moreover $\left|\phi_{1}(x)-\phi_{0}(x)\right| \leq M\left|x-x_{0}\right| \quad$ for $x$ in $I$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{2}(x)-\phi_{1}(x) & =\left[y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{1}(t)\right) d t\right]-\left[y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{0}(t)\right) d t\right] \\
& =\int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left[f\left(t, \phi_{1}(t)\right)-f\left(t, \phi_{0}(t)\right)\right] d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\left|\phi_{2}(x)-\phi_{1}(x)\right| \leq\left|\int_{x_{0}}^{x}\right| f\left(t, \phi_{1}\right)-f\left(t, \phi_{0}\right)|d t|
$$

Since $f$ satisfies Lipschitz condition with constant $K$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f\left(t, \phi_{1}\right)-f\left(t, \phi_{2}\right)\right| \leq K\left|\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}\right| \text { and we have } \\
& \left|\phi_{2}(x)-\phi_{1}(x)\right| \leq K\left|\int_{x_{0}}^{x}\right| \phi_{1}(t)-\phi_{0}(t)|d t|
\end{aligned}
$$

But $\left|\phi_{1}(x)-\phi_{0}(x)\right| \leq M\left|x-x_{0}\right|$ for $x$ in I
Therefore $\quad\left|\phi_{2}(x)-\phi_{1}(x)\right| \leq K \int_{x_{0}}^{x} M\left|t-x_{0}\right| d t$
and

$$
\left|\phi_{2}(x)-\phi_{1}(x)\right| \leq K M \frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}{2}
$$

By mathematical induction we will prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi_{p}(x)-\phi_{p-1}(x)\right| \leq M K^{p-1} \frac{\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{p}}{p!} \text { for every } x \text { in } \mathrm{I} . \tag{4.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have seen that this inequality is true for $p=1$ and $p=2$. Let us assume the result for $p=m$ and we will prove it for $p=m+1$.

Without loss of generality assume that $x \geq x_{0}$.
By definition of $\phi_{m+1}$ and $\phi_{m}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{m+1}(x)-\phi_{m}(x) & =\left[y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{m}(t)\right) d t\right]-\left[y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{m-1}\right) d t\right] \\
& =\int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left[f\left(t, \phi_{m}(t)\right)-f\left(t, \phi_{m-1}(t)\right)\right] d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left|\phi_{m+1}(x)-\phi_{m}(x)\right| \leq \int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left|f\left(t, \phi_{m}(t)\right)-f\left(t, \phi_{m-1}(t)\right)\right| d t
$$

Since $f$ satisfies Lipschitz condition we get

$$
\left|\phi_{m+1}(x)-\phi_{m}(x)\right| \leq K \int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left|\phi_{m}(t)-\phi_{m-1}(t)\right| d t
$$

But

$$
\left|\phi_{m}(t)-\phi_{m-1}(t)\right| \leq M K^{m-1} \frac{\left|t-x_{0}\right|^{m}}{m!} .
$$

Therefore $\left|\phi_{m+1}(x)-\phi_{m}(x)\right| \leq \frac{M K^{m}}{m!} \int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left|t-x_{0}\right|^{m} d t$

$$
\leq \frac{M K^{m}}{m!} \frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{m+1}}{m+1}=\frac{M}{K} \frac{\left(K\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)^{m+1}}{(m+1)!}
$$

Thus by induction the inequality (4.2.1) is true for $p=1,2,3, \ldots .$.

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\phi_{p}(x)-\phi_{p-1}(x)\right| \leq \frac{M}{K} \frac{K^{p}\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{p}}{p!}, \\
& \sum_{p=1}^{k}\left|\phi_{p}(x)-\phi_{p-1}(x)\right| \leq \frac{M}{K} \sum_{p=1}^{k} \frac{K^{p}\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{p}}{p!} \leq \frac{M}{K}\left(e^{k\left|x-x_{0}\right|}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

And by weierstrass M-test, left hand series is uniformly convergent. Therefore the series

$$
\phi_{0}(x)+\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\left[\phi_{p}(x)-\phi_{p-1}(x)\right]
$$

is absolutely convergent on I. Let $\phi(x)$ be a limit function of the series. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{k}(x) & ={ }_{k \rightarrow \infty}^{L t}\left\{\phi_{0}(x)+\sum_{p=1}^{k}\left[\phi_{p}(x)-\phi_{p-1}(x)\right]\right\} \\
& =\phi_{0}(x)+\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\left(\phi_{p}(x)-\phi_{p-1}(x)\right) \\
& =\phi(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the sequence $\left\{\phi_{k}\right\}$ of successive approximations is a convergent sequence.
(b) Properties of limit function $\phi$.

The limit function $\phi$ is a continuous function on I.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\phi_{k+1}\left(x_{1}\right)-\phi_{k+1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right| & =\mid\left[y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f\left(t, \phi_{k}(t) d t\right]-\left[y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{2}} f\left(t, \phi_{k}(t) d t\right] \mid\right.\right. \\
& =\mid \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left[f\left(t, \phi_{k}(t)\right] d t-\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{2}}\left[f\left(t, \phi_{k}(t)\right] d t \mid\right.\right. \\
& =\mid \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} f\left(t, \phi_{k}(t) d t \mid\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f$ is bounded by $M$, that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |f(x, y)| \leq M \quad \text { for } \quad(x, y) \in R, \\
& \left|\phi_{k+1}\left(x_{1}\right)-\phi_{k+1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right| \leq M\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right| \quad \forall x_{1}, x_{2} \in I
\end{aligned}
$$

By taking limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$
\left|\phi\left(x_{1}\right)-\phi\left(x_{2}\right)\right| \leq M\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|
$$

Therefore as $x_{2} \rightarrow x_{1}, \phi\left(x_{2}\right) \rightarrow \phi\left(x_{1}\right)$, that is, $\phi$ is continuous on I.
In particular

$$
\left|\phi(x)-\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \leq M\left|x-x_{0}\right|, \quad \forall x \in I
$$

Since $x \in R,\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq \alpha=\min \left\{a, \frac{b}{M}\right\}$ and $\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq \frac{b}{M}$ implies $M\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq b$.
Therefore $\quad\left|\phi(x)-\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \leq M\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq b$
Thus, $x \in I$ and $\left|\phi(x)-\phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \leq b$ implies $(x, \phi(x)) \in R$
(c) Bounds for $\left|\phi(x)-\phi_{k}(x)\right|$

We have $\quad \phi(x)=\phi_{0}(x)+\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\left[\phi_{p}(x)-\phi_{p-1}(x)\right]$
and

$$
\phi_{k}(x)=\phi_{0}(x)+\sum_{p=1}^{k}\left[\phi_{p}(x)-\phi_{p-1}(x)\right]
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\phi(x)-\phi_{k}(x)=\sum_{p=k+1}^{\infty}\left[\phi_{p}(x)-\phi_{p-1}(x)\right] \\
\left|\phi(x)-\phi_{k}(x)\right| \leq \sum_{p=k+1}^{\infty}\left|\phi_{p}(x)-\phi_{p-1}(x)\right|
\end{array}
$$

But

$$
\left|\phi_{p}(x)-\phi_{p-1}(x)\right| \leq \frac{M}{K} \frac{K^{p}\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{p}}{p!} \text { and }\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\alpha
$$

Therefore $\left|\phi_{p}(x)-\phi_{p-1}(x)\right| \leq \frac{M}{K} \frac{K^{p} \alpha^{p}}{p!}$
Thus, $\quad\left|\phi(x)-\phi_{k}(x)\right| \leq \sum_{p=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{M}{K} \frac{K^{p} \alpha^{p}}{p!}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{M}{k} \frac{(K \alpha)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(K \alpha)^{p}}{p!} \\
& \leq \frac{M}{K} \frac{(K \alpha)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} e^{k \alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $k$ we have

$$
\left|\phi(x)-\phi_{k}(x)\right| \leq \frac{M}{K} \frac{(K \alpha)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} e^{K \alpha}
$$

(d) The limit $\phi$ is a solution

We must show that

$$
\phi(x)=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(t, \phi(t)) d t, \quad \text { for all } x \text { in } I .
$$

Since $\phi$ is continuous on I and $f$ is continuous on $R$, the function $F$ defined by

$$
F(t)=f(t, \phi(t)) \text { is continuous on } I \text { and therefore is integrable. }
$$

Thus, $y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(t, \phi(t)) d t$ is we defined
Now

$$
\phi_{k+1}(x)=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{k}(t)\right) d t
$$

Taking limit on both sides we get

$$
\phi(x)={ }_{k \rightarrow \infty}^{L t} \phi_{k+1}(x)=y_{0}+{ }_{k \rightarrow \infty}^{L t} \int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{k}(t)\right) d t
$$

Therefore it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{k \rightarrow \infty}^{L t} \int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{k}(t)\right) d t=\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(t, \phi(t)) d t \\
& \left|\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{k}(t)\right) d t-\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(t, \phi(t)) d t\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left|\int_{x_{0}}^{x}\right| f\left(t, \phi_{k}(t)\right)-f(t, \phi(t))|d t| \\
& \quad \leq K\left|\int_{x_{0}}^{x}\right| \phi_{k}(t)-\phi(t)|d t|
\end{aligned}
$$

But by (c)

$$
\left|\phi_{k}(t)-\phi(t)\right| \leq \frac{M}{K} \frac{(K \alpha)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} e^{K \alpha}
$$

Therefore $\left|\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{k}(t)\right) d t-\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(t, \phi(t)) d t\right| \leq M \frac{(K \alpha)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} e^{K \alpha}\left|x-x_{0}\right|$
Since $\quad \frac{(K \alpha)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{k}(t)\right) d t \rightarrow \int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(t, \phi(t)) d t \text { that is } \\
& { }_{k \rightarrow \infty}^{L t} \int_{x_{0}}^{x} f\left(t, \phi_{k}(t)\right) d t=\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(t, \phi(t)) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

And $\quad \phi(x)=y_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} f(t, \phi(t)) d t$

Thus $\phi$ is a solution of the initial value problem $y^{\prime}=f(x, y), y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$ on $I$.
In theorem 4.2.1 we have shown the existence of solution of initial value problem 4.1.1. The solution thus obtained is a uniques solution.
Picard-Lindel of theorem states that if $f$ is continuous function and satisfies Lipschitz condition on R , then the successive approximations $\phi_{k}$ exist on $\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq \alpha, \phi_{k}$ 's are continuous and converge uniformaly on the interval I to a unique solution passing through $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in R$.
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